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## ABSTRAC"

This report from The Na:lon's Report Card provides further infommation about students' lack of preparation in science, their apparent disinclination to enroll in challencing science courses, and the comparatively low acnievement of Back and Hispanic students, females, economically disadvantaged students, and non-college bound students. These Scienve Report Card results are based on a national survey of nearly 20,000 students in grades 4,8 , and 12 , conducted during the winter and spring of 1990 by the National Assessment of Education Progress (NAEP). The results from the 1990 science assessment were analyzed using item response theory (IRT) methods, allowing NAEP to describe performance across the grades and subpopulations on a 0 to 500 scale. Along this continuum, four levels of proficiency were defined: Level 200: Understands Simple Scientific Principles; Level 250: Applies General Scientific Information; Level 300: Analyzes Scientific Procedures and Data; and Level 350: Integrates Specialized Scientific Information. Overail science proficiency by race/etmicity, gender, region, type of commaity, type of school, parents' nignest levei of education, additional nome factors, types of nigh schoul programs, and plans after high school was determined. Chapters include: (1) roverall Science Proficiency for the Nation and Demographic Subpopulations"; (2) "Levels of Science Proficiency for the National and Demographic Subpopulations"; (3) "Science Proficiency by Content Areas for the Nation, Subpopulations, ans in Relation to High School Course-Taking"; (4) "Attitudes toward Science Education and Students, Experiences in Science"; (5) "Toward Scientific Literacy for All: Instructional Goals and Practices"; and (6) "Who Is Teaching Science? A Profile of the Eighth-Grade Science Teaching Force." The profile survey on teachers included data on race/ethnicity, years of teaching experience, level and type of teaching certification, academic training, teachers' perceptions of their preparation to teach science topics, and teachers' professional activities in science. An ovarview of the procedures used in the 1990 science assessment, the NAEP scale anchoring process for the 1990 science assessment and additional example anchor items, and statistical data for all parss of the survey are appended. (KR)
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## What is The Nation's Report Card?

 represerifative and continuink asxesment of what Amerka's ftudents know and an do in various subject areds. Since 1904 assesments have been conducted pericxdially in reading, mathematics, ximez, writing, history/gergraphy, and other thelos. By making objective informaton on student performance availathe to politymakers at the national, state, and final levels. Natil is an intexral part of our nation's evaluation of the comdition and paseress of extucathon. Dnly information related to dowembe achinvement in collerted under this program. NAFP guaranters the privary of in 'ividual ofudents and their lambles.
 f.ducation. The Commisoloner of Fionation Statisics is revponshle, by law, for carrying out the Natp propect through competifice awards to gualitied organizations. NAFl' neports directly to a he Commistioner, who is alse responsible for providing comtinuing reviews, inc luding valulation stuction and whitation of puble comment. on NAEP's conduat and usctulness.

In 198s, Congress created the Nathonal Assesment Governing Beard NAGB to formulate policy guidelines for NaFP. The tmand is respensible for selecting the suhiext areas to be asseswd, which may include adding to thow specified by Congres; Itenntying appropriate achievement goals for each age and grade; developing asoesoment objertives; devehoping test specifications; designing the assesoment methodotogy: developing guidelines and standards for date analyois and for reporing and disseminating results; devetoping standards and proxedures for interstate, regismat, and nathenal componmom:
 Aversment and frec from racial, cultural, gender, or negiomal bias.
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In $_{n}$ September 1989, at the National
Education Summit in Charlottesville, Virginia, President Bush and the nation's governors adopted six ambitious national education goals. ${ }^{1}$ The President listed these six goals at the presentation of the national education strategy in April 1991:

First, ensure that every child starts school ready to leam; second, raise the high school graduation rate to 90 penent; third, ensure that each American student leaving the fourth, eighth, ant' twelfth grades call demonstrate competence in core subjects; fourth, make our students first in the world in math and science achievement; fifth, ensure that every American adult is literate and has the skills necessary to compete in a global economy and exenise the rights and responsibilities of citizenship; and sixth, liberate every American school from drugs and violence so that schools entounage leaming. ${ }^{2}$

The science achievement results presented in this report pertain directly to three of these national goals. First, the report presents findings for fourth, eighth, and twelfth graders in the core subject of science and second, it provides a national context for considering students' strengths and weaknesses in science and contemplating how these compare with students' skills in other industrialized nations. Perhaps less obviously, but even more importantly, the science achievement results have a direct bearing on the fifth goal. Science education helps prepare individuals to be informed and active participants in civic life, productive workers, and lifelong learners. Maintaining a strong participatory democracy, strengthening our nation's economy, and continuing to achieve advancements in science and technology all demand a scientifically literate citizenry.

[^0]National attention to the quality of science education is further highlighted by a series of reports urging improvements in the science knowledge and skills of our nation's students as they prepare to compete in a world that is becoming more and more technologically sophisticated. 'Scientific research has yielded rich dividends of knowledge and, through technology, produced solutions to innumerable practical problems. Further, solid scientific understanding is vital for all citizens in our contemporary culture where science and technology permeate daily life. Yet, a number of studies released during the past decade have documented the inadequate knowledge and performance of U.S. students in science. ${ }^{+}$In particular, the results described in this report support those presented for NAEI's long-term trend assessment in science in the recent report Tromb in diademic
 Resting, 1971 to 1990; thid Writing, $198+$ to 1990.'

This report from The Nation's Report Card provides further information about students' lack of preparation in science, their apparent disinclination to enroll in challenging science courses, and the comparatively low achievement of Black and Hispanic students, females, economically disadvantaged students, and non-college bound students. These Science Report Card results are based on a national survey of nearly $20,0 \mathrm{XX})$ students in grades 4,8 , and 12 , conducted during the winter and spring of 1990 by the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP). Fach student partcipating in the assessment answered a series of constructed-response and multiple-choice questions designed to measure his or her knowledge and understanding of the life sciences, the physical sciences, the earth and space sciences, and the nature of science. Information about their science experiences and instruction was collected from students at all three grades, and the teachers of eighth graders who participated in the assessment also completed questionnaires about their backpround, training, and instructional approaches. Because of the

[^1]relatively low amount of science instruction at grade 4 , and the large percentage of highschool seniors not enrolled in science courses, eighth grade was judged as the best among the three grades for collecting teacher information. Additionally, the middle school years are often students' first major encounter with science, and therefore can be particularly influential in laying the groundwork for future science study.

The results from the 1990 science assessment were analyzed using item response theory (IKT) methods, allowing NAEP to describe performance across the grades and subpopulations on a 0 to 500 proficiency scale. Along this continuum, four levels of proficiency were defined:

Level 200: Understands Simple Scientific Principles
Level 250: Applies General Scientific Information
Ievel 300: Analyzes Scientific Procedure; and Data
level 350: Integrates Specialized Scientific Intormation
Descriptions of the knowledge, skils, and understandings represented at each level were developed based on the types of questions answered correctly by the students performing at each level. (More detailed information on the 1990 NAEP science assessment and the proficiency scare can be found throughout this report and in Appendices $A$ and B.)

HIGHLIGHTS FROM NAEP'S
1990 SCIENCEREPORTCARD

- Fewer than one-half of the nation's high-school seniors demonstrated the knowledge and reasoning abilities typical of performance at level 300 , which included applying scientific knowledge to interpret data in tables and graphs, evaluating and designing science experiments, and possessing some in-depth knowledge of scientific information.
- Approximately two-thirds of the nation's eighth graders and approximately one-third of the fourth graders demonstrated the knowledge typical of performance at level 250, which included understanding basic information in the physical sciences and basic ecological principles, and a beginning ability to interpret experimental resuits.
- At grades 4, 8, and 12, large disparities in science proficiency existed between White and Asian/Pacific Islander students and their Black and Hispanic counterparts. These differences occurred in each of the four content areas covered by the NAEP science assessment - the life sciences, physical sciences, earth and space sciences, and the nature of science.
- No gender difference in overall science proficiency existed at grade 4. However, there were statistically significant differences favoring males at both grades 8 and 12 . The number of content areas in which males held an achievement advantage increased with each grade assessed. At grade 4, males had higher proficiency than females only in the earth and space scienres, but at grade 8 they also had higher proficiency in physical science. At grade 12, males had higher average proficiency than females in all three traditional science disciplines. In contrast, at all three grades, females had higher proficiency than did males in the nature of science.
- Socioeconomic status also was related to student achievement in science. At all three grades, students from advantaged urban communities performed better than students from disadvantaged urban communities. Also, average science achievement showed a positive relationship with parents' education level.
- NAEP's results by region revealed that fourth, eighth, and twelfth graders from the Northeast had higher average proficiency than their grade-level counterparts from the Southeast. High school seniors from the Southeast had lower science achievement, on average, than those from each of the other three regions of the country.
- Several factors in the students' home environment also were related to proficiency in science. At all three grades, students who had access to more reading materials in the home performed better, on average, than students who had access to fewer materials; students who watched six or more hours of television each day had lower average proficiency than those who watched less television; and students who had both parents living at home had higher average proficiency than those from single parent families or those who lived apart from both parents.
- Among high school seniors, average science proficiency increased progressively in direct relation to the number of science courses taken. Most students reported taking at least a year of coursework in biology, but only about half reported taking a year or more of chemistry, and even fewer - 29 percent - reported taking physics courses for that length of time. Similar percentages of males and females had taken a year or more of both biology and chemistry, but a higher percentage of males than females had taken that much physics.
- Schools do not place a special priority on science, particularly at the fourth-grade level. Fewer than half of the fourth graders attended elementary schools that placed a sperial priority on science, and fewer than one-third of twelfth graders attended high schools that
did so. In addition, only half of the fourth graders reported having instruction in science almost every day.
- Most students reported that they liked science, but the percentages of students so reporting was lower at grades 8 and 12 than at grade 4 .
- When asked whether they had ever done experiments or projects using six common types of scientific materials and equipment, either in or out of school, only about onethird of eighth graders and about one-half of twelfth graders reported that they had used five or six of these materials in projects or experiments. These students had higher proficiency than did their grade-level counterparts who reported having used fewer than five of these types of materials.
- Despite the emphasis on "doing science" in most recommendations for science instruction, students in many high school science classrooms are not gaining extensive experience with laboratory activities. One-fourth of the high school seniors taking science courses reported that they never did experiments in their science classes and nearly $\mathbf{6 0}$ percent reported never working on science projects that took a week or more.
- Doing science homework is also not a prominent activity for many twelfth-grade science students - 41 percent reported never working on homework for their science class. However, twelfth-grade science students that spent more time on homework had higher proficiency than did their classmates who spent less time or did no science homework.
- Lecturing and the use of textbooks remain a mainstay in many science classrooms. Seventy-six percent of twelfth-grade science students and 61 percent of eighth-grade students reported that their teachers lecture in their science class at least several times a week, and nearly half of the eighth graders were taught by teachers who reported relying primarily on textbooks to determine what they teach.
- The nation's eighth graders are taught science by teachers who have an average of 12 years of experience teaching science. Almost all eighth graders were taught by teachers who had taken two or more college courses in bioiogy; about two-thirds were taught by teachers who had taken two or more courses in chemistry; about 60 percent were taught by teachers who had taken two or more courses covering earth science, such as geology; and slightly more than half were taught by teachers who had taken two or more courses in physics. However, about three-fourths of the eighth graders were enrolled in earth or
physical science classes, the content areas in which teachers reported taking the least college coursework.

The major results described above are discussed in more depth throughout this report. In addition, the report presents pertinent, detailed results regarding students' experiences in science and regarding their teachers' academic preparation and instructional practices in science classrooms. Throughout the report, students' average proficiency in science is reported in relation to a single variable at a time - however, it is important to note that proficiency may be influenced by many different factors acting in concert.

Chapter One summarizes overall average science achievement for the nation and demographic subpopulations on the NAEP science scale. The percentages of students in each grade who performed at or above four defined levels on the NAFP proficiency scale are discussed in Chapter Two. Chapter Three contains an examination of average proficiency in four science content areas and of the relationship between proficiency in those content areas and high school course-taking patterns in science. Chapter Four presents information about the priority schools place on science, students' attitudes toward science, and students' experiences with activities in science, both in and out of school. Chapter Five examines the relationship between instructional practices in science classrooms and student achievement, and Chapter 6 describes the demographic characteristics and academic preparation of eighth-grade students' science teachers.

Taken as a whole, this report provides a rich and detailed view of students' achievement and educational experiences in science that can serve as a foundation for further inquiry about the factors that affect student performance in science and a springboard for action required to improve science education in American schools.


W
hat is the average science proficiency of fourth-, eighth- and twelfth-grade students in the United States? Are there differences in proficiency between male and female students or among students from different racial/ ethnic subpopulations? Does proficiency vary among students from different regions of the country or different types of communities? Does it vary with the level of education of students' parents? Is the proficiency of students attending public schools different from that of students attending private schools? This chapter of the report presents results for students at grades 4,8 , and 12 ald for important demographic subpopulations of studeats at each grade.

To ensure that NAEP's science assessment was well balanced and that it reflected the goals and purposes of science education, a comprehensive development and review process was used to create a conceptual framework for the assessment. This framework defined three critical areas of science understanding:
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- a grasp of the salient concepts in the three traditional content areas of science - the life sciences, physical sciences, and earth and space sciences;
- an awareness of the nature of science, encompassing scientific processes, the values and principles underlying scientific work, and the characteristics of scientific knowledge; and
- an ability to use scientific thinking skills, including knowing science, solving science problems, and conducting scientific inquiries. ${ }^{6}$

The assessment involved nationally representative samples of students at grades 4 , 8 , and 12 , with approximately 6,500 students from public and private schools participating at each grade. Students' knowledge and understandings were measured using both multiple-choice and constructed-response questions, some of which required essays or short written responses and others which required students to mark or draw responses to indicate direction or location as well as to graph data.

The achievement results were analyzed using item response theory (IRT) scaling procedures, which allowed NAEP' to estimate students' average proficiency on a scale ranging from 0 to $5(0)$. This science scale provides a way to compare achievement across grades and populations of students and to relate performance to a variety of home, school, and instructional factors. Throughout this report, differences in average proficiency or percentages of students were determined to be statistically significant at the . 05 level using an application of the Bonferroni procedure. More detailed information on NAEP scaling procedures and the Bonferroni method can be found in Appendix A, which provides an overview of the procedures used in NAFP's 1990 science assessment. Definitions of student subpopulations can also be found in Appendix A.
average science profictency
FOR THE NATION:
GRADES 4, 8, AND 12 TABLE 1.1 presents the average science proficiency of fourth-, eighth- and twelfth-grade students. On average, eighth graders performed 30 scale points higher than fourth graders, and twelfth graders performed 31 scale points higher than eighth graders.

[^2]
## 1. 13 1 1: 1.1

lsernge se ienceraticience for the dations

| GRADE 4 | GRADE 8 | GRADE 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $233(0.9)$ | $263(1.2)$ | $294(1.2)$ |

The standard errors of the estimated proficiencies appear in parentheses. It can be said with 95 percent certainty that for each population of interest, the value for the whole population is within plus or minus two standard erross of the estimate for the sample.

The 1990 Science Report Cord: NAFP's Assersment of Fownth, Eighth, and Tweffh Croders (National Center for Education Statistics, U.S. Department of Education, 1992).

AVERAGE SCIENCE PROficiency
BYRACE/ETHNICITY Proficiency results for five racial/ethric subpopulations are shown in FIGURE 1.1. There were large gaps in average proficiency between White students and Black students and between White students and Hispanic students at all three grades assessed. Also, the average proficiency of Hispanic students was higher than that of Black students at all three grades. At each grade, the difference in average proficiency between White students and Hispanic students was approximately 30 scale points, and the difference between White students and Black students was 37,42 , and 46 scale points at grades 4,8 , and 12 , respectively. It is important to note, however, that these differences reflect averages for entire groups of students and that, in general, students from each racial/ethnic group were among both the higher and lower performers.

At all three grades, the proficiency of Asian/Pacific Islander students was not significantly different from that of White students, but was higher than that of Black students and Hispanic students. The average proficiency of fourth-grade American Indian students was lower than that of White and Asian/Pacific Islander fourth graders, but higher than the average proficiency of Black and Hispanic fourth graders. At grade 8, the performance of American Indian students was not significantly different from that of students in any of the other four racial/ethnic subgroups. At grade 12 , their average proficiency was lower than that of White students, higher than the average proficiency of Black students, and not significantly different from the average proficiency of Asian/ Pacific Islander and Hispanic students.

## FlilRI. 1.1

Distribution at stalemesatm



Percent of
Students


The standard errons of the estimated percentages and proficiencies appear in parentheses. It can be said w'th 95 percent certainty that for each population of interest, the value for the whole poputation is within ptus or minus two standard emors of the estimate for the sample.
I Interpret with caution - the nature of the sample does not allow accurate determination of the variability of these estimated statistics.
The 1990 Science Report Cord: NAEP's Assessment of Fownth, Eighth, ond Twetth Groders (National Center for Education Statistics, U.S. Department of Education, 1992).

## AVERAGE SCIENCE PROFICIENCY

BY GENDER The science proficiency results by gender presented in FIGURE 1.2 reveal that, on average, there was no gender difference in overall science proficiency at giade 4. However, at grades 8 and 12 , small, but significant, differences in average proficiency existed between males and females, with males performing 4 scale points higher than females at grade 8, and 10 points higher at grade 12 .


The standard errors of the estimated percentages and proficiencies appear in parentheses. It can be said with 95 percent certalnty that for each population of interest, the value for the whote population is within phus or minus two standard errors of the estimate for the sampte.
The 1990 Science Report Cond: NAEP's Assessment of Founth, Eighth, and Twetth Croders (National Center for Education Statistics, U.S. Department of Education, 1992).

Proficiency results by gender for White, Black, and Hispanic students, presented in TABLE 1.2, reflect the same general pattern as the national gender results. For each of the three racial/ethnic groups, there was virtually no difference in performance by gender at grade 4, but by grade 12 significant differences in prorformance emerged. At grade 12, across the racial/ethnic groups there was an 8 to 10 soint gap between the average proficiency of males and that of females. These results suggest that Black and Hispanic females may be at a particular disadvantage as they leave high school to attend college, enter the work force, or join in other daily pursuits typical of our technologically oriented society.

## | पВ II I 1.2

## Distribution an sudents and to erage se ience Proticiems br Race I lhmie it and enemer

|  | Percent of Students | Average Proficiency |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 4 |  |  |
| White |  |  |
| Male | 36 (0.7) | 243 (1.3) |
| Femate | 34 (0.7) | 241 (1.1) |
| Black |  |  |
| Mate | 7 (0.3) | 205 (1.8) |
| Female | 8 (0.4) | 206 (1.8) |
| Mlapank |  |  |
| Male | 6 (0.2) | 213 (1.6) |
| Female | 5 (0.2) | 211 (1.9) |
| grade 8 |  |  |
| White |  |  |
| Male | 36 (0.8) | 274 (1.8) |
| Femate | 35 (0.7) | 271 (1.4) |
| Black |  |  |
| Maje | 7 (0.3) | 232 (2.9) |
| Female | 8 (0.3) | 230 (2.1) |
| Mappank |  |  |
| Mate | 5 (0.2) | 243 (3.0) |
| Female | 5 (0.2) | 239 (2.5) |
| Cradt 12 |  |  |
| White |  |  |
| Mate | 36 (0.8) | 307 (1.5) |
| Female | 37 (0.7) | 298 (1.3) |
| Black 6 (0,4) $261(20)$ |  |  |
| Male | 6 (0.4) | 261 (2.7) |
| Female | 8 (0.4) | 253 (2.9) |
| Mlispanic |  |  |
| Mate | $4(0.3)$ $4(0.3)$ | 278 (3.1) 268 (3.5) |
| Female |  |  |

The standard errors of the estimated percentages and proficiencies appear in parentheses. It can be said with 95 percent certainty that for each population of interest, the value for the whole population is within plus or minus two standard errors of the estimate for the sample. Data are not presented for Asian/Pacific slander or American Indian students because breatidown of these groups by gender resulted in too few students in each category.
The 1990 Science Report Cord: NAEP's Assessment of Founth, fighth, ond Twefth Groders (National Center for Education Statistics, U.S. Department of Education, 1992).

## average science proficiency

BYRECION There were no significant differences in average proficiency among students from the Northeast, Central, or West regions of the country at the three grade levels, as presented in TABLE 1.3. However, students from the Northeast had a significantly higher average proficiency than did students from the Southeast at all three
grades. The average proficiency of students from the Southeast was also lower than that of students in the Central region at grades 8 and 12, and lower than that of students from the West region at grade 12.

## ITBI.F 1.3 <br> Bistribution of Students and Werage se ience Proficienc! by Region

Percent of Students
Avarage Proficiency

| GRADF 4 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mortheast | $22(0.8)$ | $236(1.9)$ |
| Southeast | $24(0.8)$ | $227(2.3)$ |
| Central | $27(0.8)$ | $234(2.2)$ |
| Mest | $28(0.8)$ | $234(2.0)$ |
|  |  |  |
| GRADE 8 |  | $26(1.0)$ |
| Morthemst | $24(0.8)$ | $269(3.2)$ |
| Southeast | $25(0.7)$ | $265(2.0)$ |
| Centrad | $30(0.9)$ | $263(2.8)$ |
| West |  |  |


| GRAOL 12 | $24(1.0)$ | $300(3.3)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mortheast | $21(0.8)$ |  |
| Southeast | $27(0.5)$ | $279(2.7)$ |
| Central | $29(0.9)$ | $295(2.0)$ |
| West |  | $297(2.9)$ |

The standard errors of the extimated percentages and proficiencies appeat in parentheses. It can be said with 95 percent ceitainly that for each population of interest, the vatue for the whole population is withun plus or minus two standard errors of the estimate for the sample.
The 1990 Science Report Card: NAEP's Assessment of Fourth, Eighth, and Twelth Groders (National Center for Education Statistics, U.S. Department of Education, 1992).

## AVERACE SCIENCE PROficiency

BYTYPE OF COMMUNITY Results that relate students' science proficiency to the types of communities in which they attend school present an opportunity to monitor the link between community socioeconomic circumstances and educational performance. TABLE 1.4 shows average science proficiency for students attending school in three extreme community types - advantaged urban, disadvantaged urban, and extreme rural - as well as the average proficiency of students in types of communities other than these three. (Criteria for defining each of these community types are described in Appendix A.)

# ITBIE I.4 <br>  <br> Wenge Seme Proficion? lypeot (ommmit! 

Percent of Students
Average Profidency

| GRADE 4 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Advantaged Urban | 11 (1.7) $9(1.1)$ | $209(2.6)$ |
| Dismbentaged Urban | 11 (1.8) | 235 (2.6) |
| Other | 69 (2.8) | 233 (1.0) |
| Grade \% |  |  |
| Advantaged Urban | 10 (2.2)! | 283 (4.1)! |
| Disadvartaged Urban | 9 (1.7) | 242 (4.2) |
| Extreme Bura | 11 (2.1) | 257 (3.2) |
| Other | 69 (2.8) | 264 (1.5) |
| CRade 12 |  |  |
| Advantaged Ufian | 10 (2.4)! | 304 (4.4)! |
| Dhecivantaged Urban | 12 (2.5) | 273 (5.3) |
| Extreme Rural | 11 (2.7)! | 291 (3.9)! |
| Other | 67 (3.5) | 296 (1.6) |

The standand errors of the estimated percentages and proficiencies appear in parentheses. It can be said with 95 percent certainty that for each population of interest, the value for the whole population is within plus or minus two standard errors of the estimate for the sample.
I Interpret with caution - the nature of the sample does not allow accurate determination of the variability of these estimated statistics.
The 1990 Science Report Card: NaEP's Assesmment of Fourth, Eighth, ond Twefth Groders (National Center for Education Slatistics, U.S. Department of Education, 1992).

At all three grades, students attending schools in disadvantaged urban communities had lower average science proficiency than did those in advantaged urban, extreme rural, and other types of communities. Also, at grades 4 and 8, students from advantaged urban communities performed better than students from extreme rural or other types of communities. There were no significant differences in performance, however, between students attending school in extreme rural communities and thase in types of communities classified as "other" at any of the three grade levels.

## averace science proficiency

BY TYPE OF SCHOOL The results in TABLE 1.5 summarize average proficiency for students attending public schools, Catholic schools, and other types of private schools. At grades 4 and 8 , students attending Catholic or other private schools outperformed those attending public schools by approximately 10 to 14 scale points, on average. However, at grade 12, public-school students' average proficiency was approxi-
mately equal to that of students in Catholic and other private schools. There were no significant differences in average proficiency between Catholic-school students and students attending other private schools at any grade level.

## I:\BII I. 5 <br>  

Percent of Students
Average Proficiency

| Pubilic Schools Ceftholic Schools Other Pivate Schools | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 89(1.1) \\ 7(0.9) \\ 5(0.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 231(1.0) \\ & 241(2.0) \\ & 247(3.1) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CRADE : |  |  |
| Pubric Schools <br> Cutholit Schools <br> Other Prlvate Schools | $\begin{gathered} 89(1.3) \\ 7(1.1) \\ 4(0.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 262(1.4) \\ & 276(1.9) \\ & 274(3.9) \end{aligned}$ |
| CRADE 12 |  |  |
| Public Schools Catholic schools Other Private Schools | 90 (1.4) 5 (1.1) 4 (1.0) | $\begin{aligned} & 293(1.3) \\ & 301(3.4) \\ & 302(4.9) \end{aligned}$ |

The standard errors of the estimated percentages and proficiencies appear in parentheses. It can be said with 95 percent certainty that for each population of interest, the value for the whote population is within plus or minus two standard errons of the estimate for the sample

The 1990 Science Report Cord: NAEP's Assessment of Founth. Eighth, and Tweffh Groders (National Center for Education Statistics, U.S. Department of Education, 1992).

## AVERAGE SCIENCEPROFICIENCY

## BY PARENTS' HIGHEST

LEVEL OF EDUCATION In addition to collecting information about basic demographic characteristics, NAEP obtained data from students regarding various home and school background factors that could play a prominent role in influencing educational achievement. TABLE 1.6 displays assessment results by the highest level of education reported for either parent. It should be noted that at grade 4,35 percent of the students did not know the education level of either parent.

At all three grades, students whose parents had at least some education beyond high school had higher average proficiency than those whose parents had no education beyond high school. Similarly, at grades 8 and 12 , students whose parents had graduated from college had a higher proficiency, on average, than did students in the other three
groups. For students in the higher two grade levels, there was a significant increase in proficiency with each increase in the level of parents' education.

## T\BII: 1.6

## 

 by Pameas flighest leat of lamationPercent of Students
Average Profidency

| Did Mot Pasho is | 5 (0.4) | 221 (2.2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cradrated from MS | 16 (0.7) | 226 (1.4) |
| Soume Efecation Alter ids | $9(0.4)$ | 242 (1.8) |
| Craduated Frem College | 35 (1.1) | 243 (1.2) |
| CRadi 8 |  |  |
| Dad Mot Finth HS |  |  |
| Gradunted From MS | 25 (0.8) | 254 (1.3) |
| Sown Education After MS | 19 (0.8) | 268 (1.4) |
| Gricuated from Coliege | 40 (1.6) | 276 (1.7) |
| Fiadot 12 |  |  |
| Dad Not Rushh His | 8 (0.6) | 269 (2.5) |
| Graduated From HS | 24 (0.8) | 279 (1.3) |
| Some Ederation After HS | 26 (0.8) | 295 (1.3) |
| Graduated From College | 40 (1.3) | 308 (1.4) |

The standard errors of the extimsted percentages and proficienciss appear in parentheses. It can be said with 95 percent certainly that for each population of interest, the value for the whole population is within plus or minus two standard erron of the estimate for the sample. Within each grade, percentages of sudents do not totat 100 percent because some students did not know their parents' highest level of education.
The 1990 Srience Report Cord: NAEP's Assessment of fourth, Eighth, and Twefth Groders (National Center for Education Statrstics, U.S. Department of Education, 1992).

## AVERAGE SCIENCE PROFICIENCY

## BY ADDITIONAL FACTORS

RELATED TO THE HOME TABLE 1.7 presents average science proficiency results according to a number of school and home factors that have been related to academic achievement.

For all grades, students who had access to a greater number of types of reading materials at home (i.e., books, magazines, newspapers, an encyclopedia) had higher average science proficiency than did students with access to fewer types of materials. Also, at all three grade levels, students who read 6 to 10 or more than 10 pages for school and homework each day performed significantly better than those who read 5 or fewer pages. At grade 12 , students who reported reading more than 10 pages daily had higher average achievement than students who read 6 to 10 pages.

## I \1BII 1.7




| CRADE |  | CRADE 8 |  | GRadP 12 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent of Students | Average Proficiency | Percent of Stadents | Average Proficiency | Percent of Students |  |


| Types of Deeding meterials in the Home |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zero to two types | 34 (1.0) | 222 (1.1) | 20 (0.7) | 241 (1.7) | 14 (0.7) | 272 (1.9) |
| Three types | 34 (0.7) | 235 (1.1) | 30 (0.8) | 260 (1.2) | 27 (0.8) | 2.59 (1.5) |
| Four types | 33 (0.9) | 244 (1.0) | 50 (0.9) | 274 (1.5) | 59 (1.0) | 301 (1.3) |
| Davy Ansount of Thine Spent on Monemonk Al sublects |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None asslgned | 21 (1.3) | 237 (1.5) | 6 (0.5) | 249 (3.6) | 12 (0.7) |  |
| Did not do it | 5 (0.3) | 215 (1.7) | 7 (0.5) | 245 (2.3) | $9(0.4)$ | 292 (2.8) |
| One-hatf hour or less | 34 (1.1) | 233 (1.2) | 20 (0.7) | 261 (1.9) | 21 (0.6) | 295 (1.6) |
| One hour | 24 (0.7) | 237 (1.3) | 40 (0.8) | 268 (1.3) | 32 (0.7) | 296 (1.3) |
| Two hours | 17 (0.8) | 228 (1.4) | 19 (0.6) | 268 (1.7) | 18 (0.8) | 299 (1.8) |
| More than two hours | - | - | 8 (0.5) | 265 (3.0) | $9(0.5)$ | 303 (2.4) |
| Delly Pages Read for School mind Homewort All Sublects |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Five or fewer pages | 26 (0.8) | 226 (1.1) | 32 (0.9) | 253 (1.6) | 3s (1.1) | 281 (1.5) |
| Six to 10 pages | 21 (0.7) | 234 (1.3) | 28 (0.6) | 266 (1.5) | 24 (0.8) | 292 (1.3) |
| More than 10 pages | 53 (1.1) | 236 (1.1) | 40 (1.0) | 271 (1.6) | 43 (1.3) | 304 (1.5) |
| Days of School Missed last Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | - | - | 44 (0.8) | 269 (1.1) | 30 (0.9) | 300 (1.4) |
| One or two days | - | - | 33 (0.6) | 268 (1.6) | 38 (0.6) | 297 (1.7) |
| Three days or more | - | - | 23 (0.6) | 249 (1.8) | 32 (0.8) | 285 (1.6) |
| Parents Ifving in Home |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Both parents | 75 (0.8) | 238 (1.0) | 77 (0.6) | 270 (1.3) | 75 (0.7) | 300 (1.1) |
| Single parent | $20(0.7)$ | 222 (1.3) | $19(0.6)$ | 251 (1.7) | 20 (0.7) | 286 (1.9) |
| Neither parent | 5 (0.4) | 205 (2.2) | 4 (0.3) | 231(3.9) | 5 (0.3) | 274 (3.6) |
| Dally Hours of Talevialon Vlewing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| zero to one hour | 18 (0.7) | 235 (1.3) | 14 (0.6) | 273 (2.7) | 34 (1.1) | 304 (1.7) |
| Two hours | 19 (0.6) | 242 (1.4) | 22 (0.8) | 271 (2.1) | 27 (0.6) | 296 (1.5) |
| Three hours | 16 (0.5) | 238 (1.4) | 22 (0.5) | 267 (1.2) | 19 (0.6) | 291 (1.5) |
| Four to five hours | 21 (0.6) | 236 (1.2) | 28 (0.8) | 260 (1.3) | 15 (0.7) | 279 (1.7) |
| SIx hours or more | 26 (0.7) | 219 (1.2) | 14 (0.5) | 241 (1.9) | 5 (0.4) | 263 (2.7) |

[^3]Fourth-grade students who reporied spending at least one hour or even one-half hour or less on homework for all of their subjects each day performed significantly better than those who had been assigned homework but did not do it. However, those fourth graders who completed one hour or less of homework also outperformed students who spent two hours on homework. This cifference may be due in part to the fact that teachers often assign more homework to those students who might benefit from additional work. The difference may also result from higher-performing students completing their homework in less time than lower-performing students. It also should be noted that 21 percent of fourth graders reported that they had no homework assigned to them.

At grade 8 , there were no differences in average science proficiency among students who spent more than two hours, two hours, or one hour on all their homework each day, but students who spent one or two hours on homework performed better than those who only did homework for one-half hour or less. At grade 12, there were no differences in performance among students who reported spending more than two hours, two hours, one hour, or one-half hour or less on homework.

Average proficiency results for another school variable - the number of days of school missed in the month prior to the assessment - indicated that students in grades 8 and 12 who missed none or only one or two days of school during that month performed better than did students who missed three or more days. Significant proficiency differences were also found in relation to another factor - the number of parents living in the home. At all three grades, students who had both parents living at home had higher average proficiency than students who had one parent living at home, who, in turn had higher proficiency than students who had neither parent living at home.

Students were also asked how many hours they spent watching television each day. At grades 8 and 12, there was a general decline in average science proficiency with increased amounts of time spent watching television. Students who reported watching television six or more hours each day had lower average proficiency than students in the groups reporting that they watched five hours or less, and eighth- and twelfth-grade students who watched four or five hours each day had lower proficiency than students in groups who reported watching television for three hours or less. This pattern was not as evident at grade 4, although students who watched six or more hours of television daily did have lower average proficiency than those who watched for less than six hours.

```
AVERAGE PROFICIENCY BYTYPE
```

OFHIGHSCHOOL PROGRAM

AND PLANS AFTER HIGHSCHOOL As shown in TABLE1.8, at grade 12, the type of high school program in which students were enrolled and their plans after graduation were directly related to their average science proficiency. Twelfth graders who were enrolled in academic programs had substantially higher average proficiency than did those enrolled in either general or vocational/technical programs. Also, on average, students enrolled in general programs outperformed those in vocational/technical curricula. Consistent with the results for type of high school program, high school seniors planning to attend a four-year college had considerably higher average science proficiency than those who planned to work full-time, attend a two-year college or vocaional, technical, or business school, or who had other plans after graduation.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { TABI, ! } 1.8 \\
& \text { Distaibution al Students and Werage Seience Proficienes } \\
& \text { by lipe of High School Program } \\
& \text { and llans after ligh Scheol (iraduation }
\end{aligned}
$$

TYPE OF HIGH-SCHOOL PROGRAM:

| ACADEMIC | GENERAL |  | VOCATIONAL/TECHNICAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pencent of <br> Students | Average <br> Proficiency | Percent of <br> Students | Average <br> Proficlency | Percent of <br> Studonts |
| $57(1.3)^{\circ}$ | $309(1.3)$ | $34(1.1)$ | $277(1.4)$ | $8(0.6)$ |
| Proficiency |  |  |  |  |

PLANS AFTER HIGH SCHOOL:

| WORkine fuil ilar. |  | 2 YR COHILG OR vor the his scroot |  | I YRCOULETOR SHAKE AÉADtMr |  | Oinfr |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent of Students | Average Proficiency | Percent of Students | Average Proficiency | Percent of Students | Average Proficiency | Percent of Students | Average Proficiency |
| 14 (0.6) | 274 (1.8) | 25 (1.0) | 278 (1.5) | 53 (1.2) | 311 (1.3) | 8 (0.4) | 279 (2.4) |

[^4]
## 1 \131.l 1.9 <br>   



The standard errors of the estimated percentages appear in parentheses. It can be said with 95 percent certainty that for each population of interest, the value for the whole population is within plus or minus two standard errors of the estimate for the sample.
Interpret with caution - the nature of the sample does not allow accurate deternination of the variability of these estimated statistics.
The 1990 Science Report Cord: NaEP's Assessment of Fourth, Eighth, and Twefth Groders (National Center for Education Statistics, U.S. Department of Education, 1992).

PERCENTAGES Of STUDENTS
WITHIN DEMOGRAPHIC
SUBGROUPS BY AVERAGE
SCHOOL PERFORMANCE NAEP identified the top one-third and the bottom one-third of the schools based on students' average science proficiency by school, and then examined the characteristics of students attending those schools with regard to race/ethnicity, type of community, region, and parents' highest level of education. TABLE 1.9 presents the percentages of students from demographic subgroups who attended the top one-third and the bottom one-third performing schools.

As might be anticipated based on the average proficiency resulte across racial/ ethnic subpopulations, at all three grades approximately 30 to 40 percent of White students and 25 to 40 percent of Asian/Pacific Islander students attended schools that ranked in the top one-third based on average science performance, compared to the approximately 10 to 15 percent of Black students and 15 to 20 percent of Hispanic students who attended these schools. Also, approximately 65 to 75 percent of Black students attended schools in the lower-performing one-third.

At all three grades, 65 to 70 percent of students from advantaged urban communities attended the top one-third schools. In comparison, approximately 65 to 80 percent of students from disadvantaged urban communities attended the bottom one-third schools. The results by region and by parents' highest level of education also reflect the average proficiency results for the nation. At each of the three grade levels, the percentages of students from the Northeast attending the top one-third schools was significantly higher than the percentages of students from the Southeast who attended those schools. Also, significantly higher percentages of students whose parents had graduated from college attended the top one-third schools than did those students whose parents had no further education beyond high school.

PERCENTILES Of AVERAGE
SCIENCE PROFICIENCY
FOR THE NATION Performance distributions by percentile for fourth-, eighth-, and twelfth-grade students are presented in TABLE 1.10. These results serve to emphasize the tremendous range in student performance on the assessment within each grade. At grade 4, the lowest performing 10 percent of the students had proficiency of 191 or below, while the top 10 percent of fourth graders had proficiency of 272 or above, which was roughly comparable to the median proficiency for eighth graders (265). Addjtionally, the top 10 percent of fourth graders performed better than the bottom 25 percent of twelfth graders.

Great variation in proficiency also occurred at grade 8, where nearly 25 percent of the students had proficiencies at or below the median proficiency of fourth graders (234), and nearly another 25 percent had proficiencies at or above the median for twelfth graders (291). At grade 12, one-fourth of the students performed at or below the median proficiency for grade 8 (265), and about 10 percent performed at or below a level similar to the median for fourth graders - 234. The top 10 percent of the twelfth graders, however, performed at or above the 350 level, indicating some in-depth science understanding among the top-performing high-school seniors.


The standard errors of the estimated proficiencies appear in parentheses. It can be said with 95 percent certainty that for each population of interest, the value for the whole population is within plus or minus two standard errors of the estimate for the sample.
The 1990 Science Repont Cord: NAEP's Assessment of Fourth, Eighth, and Iweith Groders (National Center for Education Statistics, U.S. Department of Education, 1992).

SUMMARY Results from the 1990 NAEP science assessment revealed many significant differences in achievement among major demographic subpopulations. At all three grades, White students, Asian/Pacific Islander students, and Hispanic studenis had higher proficiency, on average, than Black students. In general, the average proficiency of White and Asian/Pacific Islander students was significantly higher than that of Hispanic studet ${ }^{\text {- }}$.

No gender difference in average science achievement existed at grade 4; however, small, but significant, differences favoring males were evident at grades 8 and 12 . Average proficiency results by region of the country showed that students from the Northeast performed better than students from the Southeast at all three grades. At grade 12, students from the Southeast had lower proficiency than twelfth graders from each of the other three regions.

Student proficiency in science was also related to socioeconomic factors. Students from advantaged urban communities had higher average proficiency than their gradelevel counterparts from disadvantaged urban communities, and, in general, students whose parents had more education performed better than students whose parents had less education.

Several additional home and school variables were also related to differences in students' achievement. In general, at all three grades, students with access to a greater number of types of reading materials at home had higher average science achievement than students with fewer available types of reading materials. Also, in general, fourth- and eighth-grade students who did their homework had higher proficiency than those who did not do their assigned homework. At all three grade levels, students who read more for school and homework had higher average proficiency than did students who read less. In contrast, students who watched more than six hours of television each day had significantly lower science proficiency, on average, than those who watched less television. Students who missed two or fewer days of school in the month preceding the assessment performed better than those who had missed three days or more. In addition, students living with both parents had higher average proficiency than did students living with one parent, who, in turn, performed better than students living apart from both parents.

At grade 12, average proficiency was related to students' type of high school program and their plans after high school. High school seniors in academic programs had higher average science proficiency than those in general or vocational/technical programs, and students who planned on attending a four-year college after high-school graduation performed better than those who had other types of plans after high school.

These results support several themes prevalent in the science reform literature. One such dominant theme, clearly expressed in Project 2061's Science for All Americans, is that race, sex, or economic circumstances must no longer be permitted to be factors in determining who does and who does not receive a good education in science.' According to that report, "to neglect the science education of any (as has happened too often to girls and minority students) is to deprive them of a basic education, handicap them for life, and deprive the nation of talented workers and informed citizens - a loss the nation can ill afford."

[^5]The NAEP results, however, show large performance discrepancies for Black and Hispanic students and students attending disadvantaged urban schools as well as a gender gap that appears to emerge as part of school science education.

Along parallel lines, the numerous advocates for sclentific literacy for all Americans point out that science can no longer be reserved for the elite or college-bound. Students who expect to join the workforce immediately after high school also need a strong base of scientific knowledge beyond that provided by a narrow focus on trade skills. ${ }^{8}$ Here again, the NAEP findings suggest large gaps in science achievement between college-bound seniors and their classmates with other types of post-high-school plans. Iwelfth graders in vocational/technical high-school programs had particularly low achievement, performing at about the same level as the median proficiency for eighth grade.

Finally, these results tend to confirm the importance of an emphasis on academic learning in the home. ${ }^{4}$ The vast majority of students spend a disproportionate amount of time watching television as opposed to reading or doing homework. Parents can play a critical ule in strengthening their children's education by exercising the supervision necessary to ensure regular school attendance, completion of homework assignments, and time spent reading rather than watching television.

[^6]$\begin{array}{llllllllll}\text { C } & \mathbf{H} & \text { A } & \text { P } & \text { T } & \text { E } & \text { R } & \text { T } & \text { W } & \text { O }\end{array}$


LEVELSOF SCIENCE PROFICIENCY

T he data presented in Chapter One provide information about overall science achievement for the nation's fourth-, eighth-, and twelfth-grade students and for important demographic subpopulations at each of these grades. However, average proficiency values alone do not provide information about the range of science knowledge and skills possessed by students. What types of assessment questions can typically be answered correctly by students with an average proficiency of 200? What additional skills and understandings distinguish an average science proficiency of $\mathbf{3 0 0}$ from an average proficiency of $\mathbf{2 5 0}$ ? In order to answer questions such as these and provide a basis for describing students' science knowledge and skills as measured by NAEP's 0 to 500 science scale, descriptions of four levels of science proficiency were developed (200, 250, 300 and 350 ). In theory, NAEP could have defined proficiency levels above 350 or below 200; however, so few students in the assessment performed at the extreme ends of the 0 to 500 scale that it was not useful to do so. For example, descriptions of five levels of proficiency $(150,200,250,300$, and 350 ) were developed and reported for the 1986 NAEP science assessment and the 1990 NAEP science trend assess-
ment. However, the results for the 1990 NAEP cross-sectional assessment, described in this report, revealed that nearly all students in each grade performed at or above level 150 proficiency. Therefore, in this report, example items and results for proficiency levels are presented only for levels $200,250,300$, and 350.

The four levels of science proficiency are described in FIGURE 2.1. The descriptions were developed to provide information about the types of questions that were answered correctly by students at one level on the scale and how these differ from the questions answered correctly by students at the next lower level. The descriptions summarizing performance at the four levels were originally developed subsequent to NAEP's 1986 science assessment. At that time, sets of anchor items - one set for each level - were delineated and studied by a panel of science specialists, who carefully considered and articulated the overarching types of knowledge, skills, and reasoning abilities demonstrated by correct responses to the questions in each set. For the present report, NAEP repeated the process of delineating sets of anchor items based on the 1990 assessment item pool and data to verify that the general descriptions were still appropriate. As illustrated in this chapter and in Appendix B, the anchor questions for the 1990 assessment fit the general descriptions developed for 1986 with only slight modifications. (A more complete description of the empirical analysis underlying this scale-anchoring process is included in Appendix B.)

## FIGRI: 2.1 <br> 

## 

Students at this level are developing some understanding of simple scientific principles, particularty in the life sciences. For example, they exhibit some rudimentary knowledge of the structure and function of plants and animals.

## LEVEL 250 APPLES CENERAL SCIENTIFC BIFORMATIOM

Students at this level can interpret data from simple tables and make inferences about the outcomes of experimental procedures. They exhibit knowledge and understanding of the life sciences, including a familiarity with some aspects of animal behavior and of ecological relationships. These students also demonstrate some knowledge of basic information from the physical sciences.

## 

Students at this level can evaluate the appropriateness of the design of an experiment. They have more detailed scientific knowledge and the skill to apply their knowledge in interpreting information from text and graphs. These students also exhibit a growing understanding of principles from the physical sciences.

## 

 Students at this level can infer relationships and draw conclusions using detailed scientific knowiedge from the physical sciences, particularty chemistry. They also can apply basic principles of genetics and interpret the societal implications of research in this field.Student performance at each of the proficiency levels results from an interaction between the understanding of facts, concepts, and principles and the reasoning ability to apply knowledge to novel situations, infer relationships, evaluate experimental designs, and integrate various types and sources of information. The arrangement of the levels of proficiency on a hierarchical scale does not necessarily imply that the knowledge and skills described in successive levels are acquired by students in a hierarchical manner, but the deririptions associated with the proficiency levels do describe the knowledge and skills that are typical of the groups of students who performed at successively higher levels of proficiency.

## levels of scienceproficiency

 FOR THENATION:CRADES 4, 8, AND 12 The percentages of fourth-, eighth-, and twelfth-grade students who performed at or above each of the four proficiency levels are displayed in FIGURE 2.2.

At grade 4,85 percent of the students performed at or above level 200 , demonstrating a consistent grasp of the knowledge of basic facts and principles typical of performance at this level, and 31 percent performed at or above Level 250 . As might be expected, because students at this grade level have not encountered the full range of material encompassed by the NAEP science scale, very few (1 percerit) performed at or above level 300 and virtually no fourth graders reached level 350 .

At the eighth grade, substantial percentages of students performed at or above the lower two proficiency levels, with 94 percent and 64 percent attaining levels 200 and 250 respectively. However, only small percentages of eighth graders attained the two higher proficiency levels, despite the fact that many new eighth-grade curriculum frameworks call for beginning development of the understandings and reasoning skills typified by performance at these two levels." Eighteen percent of eighth graders performed at or above Level 300 , and 1 percent reached level 350 .

Nearly all twelfth-grade students ( 99 percent) demonstrated the basic knowledge characteristic of Level 200, and 84 percent performed at or above Level 250, showing a consistent ability to apply simple scientific information. However, fewer than half of these students ( 45 percent) performed at or above Level 300 and fewer than one in ten students

[^7]( 9 percent) attained level 350 . These results support the findings from i: 1990 NAEP science trend assessment, ${ }^{11}$ and show that most eighth-and twelfth-grade students know some basic scientific facts and principles. However, especially considering the technological needs of today's societv, a disproportionately low percentage of these students possess in-depth scientific knowledge or the ability to accomplish even relatively straightforward tasks requiring application or thinking skills.

> HICHRI: 2.2
> Percentages of Stutents at or atome


Level 200


Level 250



Level 300
Crade 4 | 1 (0.2)
Grade $8 \longrightarrow 18$ (1.0)


Leved 350
Grade 4 O(0.0)
Grade 8 1(0.2)
Grade 12 (0.8)
The standard empors of the extimated percentages appeas in parentheses. It can be said with 95 percent certainty that for each population of interest, the value for the whole population is within plus or minus two standard errors of the estimate for the sample. When the percentage of students is etther 0 or 100 , the standard error is inestimstbe. However, precentages 99.5 percent and greater were rounded to 100 percent and percentages lexs than 0.5 percent were rounded to 0 percent.
The 1990 Science Report Card: NAEP's Assessment of founth, Eighth, and Iwelth Graders (National Center for £ducation Statistics, U.S. Deparment of Etucation, 1992).

EXAMPLESOF ANCHOR ITEMS
FOR THE FOUR LEVELS
OF SCIENCE PROFICIENCY This section provides examples of 25 scale-anchor items from the 1990 science assessment. These items were likely to be answered correctly by students performing at the given level of proficiency and much less likely to be answered by students performing at the next lower proficiency level. The specific criteria used to define anchor items for each level of proficiency are described in Appendix B. The illustrative questions presented in this chapter are intended to exemplify the content and skills that are typical of performance at a given proficiency level, but they do not cover the eritire spectrum of anchor items for each level or the range of content and skills covered by the 1990 science assessment. Additional anchor items are presented in Appendix B, although some anchor items are kept secure for use in future assessments to monitor trends in science performance.

Each example item is accompanied by results for each grade at which the item was administered. The results include the overall percentage of students who answered the item correctly and the percentage of students performing at or near each proficiency level who answered the item correctly (see "Percent Correct for Anchor Levels"). For example, for the first item shown for Level 200, 79 percent of the fourth graders answered the question correctly. Of the fourth graders whose proficiency was at or near Level 200, 69 percent answered the item correctly. In addition, 92 percent of the students who performed at or near Level 250 and 98 percent of the students at or near level 300 also answered the question correctly. Because the NAEP science scale encompasses content typically covered across the entire elementary and secondary school curricula, it would be unlikely (but not impossible) for many fourth-grade students to have performed at the higher range of the scale. Because virtually no fourth-grade students performed at or above level 350 , results for the sample questions are not listed at that level.

The percentage of students reaching a particular level is the percentage that achieved at or above that level. For example, the percentage of fourth graders performing at or above 200,85 percent, as shown in FIGURE 2.2, represents the proportion of students in the population that would have received a score of 200 or better on the hypothetical 500 -item test represented by the 0 to 500 NAEP science scale. In comparison, for the first example question, 69 percent of the students who achieved at about Level 200 answered this item correctly, while 79 percent of all students at all levels of achievement answered the item correctly. Because the percentage of students attaining a particular anchor level is based on overall achievement, and the percentage of students correctly answering a particular question illustrating that anchor level is based only on
achievement for that item, the two percentages provide different types of information and generally will not be the same. Therefore, when discussing overall performance, the information in Figure 2.2 is most useful, but for discussing performance on individual items, the percentages shown with each item should be used.

LEVEL 200 -
UNDERSTANDS SIMPLE
SCIENTIFIC PRINCIPLES The example assessment items for level 200 suggest that students performing at or above this level are developing an understanding of some basic scientific facts and principles in the life sciences, such as knowing that animals must breathe oxygen to stay alive and knowing that a snake has dry skin and hatches from an egg. These students also were able to recognize similarities and differences among organisms, as exemplified by the "grasshopper-wasp" and "animal tracks" questions. In addition, these students were typically able to read a simple bar graph.

## EXAMPII: I.EVII. 2()



The organism above is most closely related to which of the following?
A

(B)


C


D


## I:XAMPIE: II:VIL. 20



Which of the following gases must an animal breathe in order to remain alive?

A Helium
B Hydrogen
C Nitrogen
(D) Oxygen

## EXAMPLE: LEVEL 200



A small animal with dry skin and no legs that hatches from an egg is probably
A) a snake

B a worm
C an eel
D a lizard

## IXAMPIE: I.IVEI. 2(0)


Begrent Correct for Anchor i frodh

|  | 250 | 399 | 350 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 72 | 90 | 95 | $\underline{0}$ |

## ofe Domestic Cat

Dog

Look at the drawing of the cat and dog tracks above. Which of the tracks below was made by an animal in the cat family?

A


B


C


## I:XAMPI I: II:VEL 2()

| Percent Corent for Anohor lexpis |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 200 | 259 | 300 | 350 |
| 79 | 93 | 100 |  |



Substance
On the basis of the informatic: in the graph above, which of the following substances has the greatest mass?

A $A$
B B
(C) $C$

D $D$

## LEVEL 250 - APPLIES

GENERAL SCIENTIFIC
INFORMATION Students performing at O above level 250 were typically able to demonstrate knowledge of some basic information in the physical sciences, such as predicting the direction of movement of a rock being pulled in two directions and explaining why stars appear to be smaller than the moon. These students also showed some understanding of basic ecology, as seen in their ability to identify a food chain, and some ability to apply their knowledge of the physical and chemical characteristics of sugar to interpret data in a simple table. A beginning understanding of experimental design also was evidenced by these students, as , ustrated by the "water evaporation" item.

I:XAMPIE: I.EVEL 250

|  |  |  |  | Peromit Comedion Andier lanels |  |  |  | Percent Comert for Anchorleveds |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 209 | 250 | 389 | 359 | 309 | 280 | 3200 | 359 |  | 270 | 300 | 359 |
| 40 | 68 | 88 | - | 49 | 78 | 94 | 94 | 45 | 72 | 90 | 96 |

As shown below, two children are moving a heavy rock by pulling with equal force on two ropes attached to it. Assume the ground is smooth and level. Draw an arrow to show the direction that the rock will slide along the ground.


## EXAMPII: LI:V1:I. 25()



Stars are bigger than the Moon, but they appear to be smaller when you look at them. Why? Write your answer in your answer book.


## EXAMPIL: LIVVIL 25()



Juan thinks that water will evaporate faster in a warm place than in a cool one. He has two identical bowls and a bucket of water. He wants to do an experiment to find out if he is correct. Which of the following should he do?

A Place two bowls with the same amount of water in a warm place.
B Place a bowl of water in a cool place and a bowl with twice the amount of water in a warm place.

C Place a bowl of water in a cool place and a bowl with half of the amount of water in a warm place.

D Place a bowl of water in a cool place and a bowl with the same amount of water in a warm place.

## I:XAMPI I: I.IVEL 25()



Which of the groups of animals pictured below forms a food chain?





## I:XAMPI F: I.IVEI 25()

| Percent Comet for Andior lexels |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2009 |  |  | 380 |
| 38 | 70 | 95 | - |


| Pencent Cormat for Anchor leveht |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 200 | 259 | 300 | 359 |
| 60 | 81 | 92 | 98 |


| Percent Comet for Anchorlevels |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 200 | 250 | 3 Pa | 350 |
| 58 | 80 | 92 | 95 |


| Property | Substance $A$ | Substance B | Substance C | Substance $D$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | Solid | Solid | Solid | Liquid |
| Attracted <br> to Magnet? | Yes | No | No | Yes |
| Dissolves <br> in Water? | No | No | Yes | No |
| Color | Black | White | White | Silver |

Look at the chart above. Which of the substances shown above could be sugar?
A $A$
B B
(C)

D $D$

LEVEL 300 - ANALYZES
SCIENTIFIC PROCEDURES
AND DATA The set of example items for Level 300 shows that students performing at or above this level were gaining a somewhat more advanced understanding of principles from the physical sciences, as evidenced by the "light energy," "candle in a box," and "greenhouse effect" questions, and the item which required students to draw the position of the moon during a solar eclipse. These students also appeared to have a more in-depth understanding of basic ecological principles, as demonstrated by their ability to graphically depict the change in the size of a prey population after the extinction of the predator population. A high percentage of students performing at or above Level 300 successfully interpreted information in somewhat detailed graphs, as shown by the "moths and light" item, and were able to design simple experiments, such as those in the "antacid seltzer" and "sugar cubes" items. These students also appeared to be developing an understanding of the nature of science, as shown by their performance on the items asking them to identify which in a series of questions could be answered most easily with an experiment, and to recognize how scientists deal with conflicting hypotheses.

## EXAMPIL: IRVEL 30

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pricent Correct for Anchor Ients |  |  |  |
| $\frac{200}{33}$ | ${ }_{4}^{259}$ | $\frac{380}{82}$ | $\frac{350}{93}$ |



Which of the following provides the best evidence that light is a form of energy?

A Light reflects from a smooth surface like glass.
B Light raises the temperature of an object on which it falls.
C Light usually travels in straight lines.
D Light diffracts when it passes through a narrow opening.

## EXAMPIE: LEVFL 300

| Pruent Correct for Anchoc lemen |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 209 | 259 | 300 | 350 |
| 22 | 35 | 65 | 91 |

Which of the following may lead to an increase in the greenhouse effect?
A) An increase in atmospheric concentration of carbon dioxide from increased burning of fossil fuels

B A decrease in the amount of atmospheric dust from volcanic activity
C An increase in solar flares on the Sun's surface
D An increase in variation of Earth's orbit around the Sun

## EXAMPIE: LIVVEI 300


Pement Comiot for Andorimets

| 209 | $\frac{250}{36}$ | $\frac{300}{77}$ | $\underline{30}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



Volume = 400 Cubic Centimeters

Box X

Aintight Box


Volume = 1,000 Cubic Centimeters Box Z

The three identical candles shown above are lit at the same time. In which order will they probably go out?

A $X$, then $Y$, and then $Z$
B) $Y$, then $Z$, and then $X$
$C Z$, then $X$, and then $Y$
D $Z$, then $Y$, and then $X$

## IXAMPIF: IIVII 3()

| Perrenti Coment for Anchorlewels |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Pexcent Comet for Andior tevels |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 209 | 240 | 309 | 350 | 290 | 250 | 300 | 398 | 290 | 259 | 380 | 359 |
| 5 | 31 | 70 | - | 13 | 45 | 83 | 96 | 20 | 39 | 77 | 93 |

Below is a diagram of our Sun and Earth. Where will the Moon be during a solar eclipse as seen from the Earth? Draw the Moon on the dotted line in that position.


## EXAMPLE: LIVVEL 300

## 

| Percent Cemert for Andor levety |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 230 | 250 | 300 | 350 |
| 28 | 50 | 85 | - |

Cleo wants to conduct an experiment to find out whether an antacid seltzer fizzes longer in hot water than in cold water. In what order should she perform the following steps?

1. Place one antacid seltzer tablet in each jar.
2. Pick identical jars.
3. Time how long the antacid seltzer tablet fizzes in each jar.
4. Pour 50 milliliters ( mL ) of hot water into one jar and 50 mL of ice water into another jar.

A $1,2,3,4$
B $2,1,3,4$
(C) $2,4,1,3$

D 3, 2, 4, 1

## IXAMPIE: I.EVEL 3(0)

| Percent Correct fry Ancher lomely |  |  |  | Percent Cometior Anchor lempls |  |  |  | Percent Crant ioc Anchorlouth |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 200 | 225 | 300 | 350 | 290 | 250 | 300 | 359 | 200 | 250 | 300 | 350 |
| 2 | 9 | 56 | 0 | 7 | 27 | 71 | 90 | 8 | 30 | 73 | 92 |

The graph below shows how the populations of two species change with time. Species 1 has no predators other than Species 2. Complete the Species 1 line to show how the population will change from time $X$ to time $Y$ after Species 2 dies off from a widespread disease.


## IXAMPIE: IEVEL 30)



|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 209 | 229 | 300 | 150 |
| 28 | 49 | 77 | 94 |

Questions 8-9 refer to an experiment in which moths were captured by attracting them to either whitt or yellow light. The results are shown in the graph below.


The average number of moths canght per day in yellow light is about
A 5
B) 12

C 20
D 28

FXAMPII: I IVVEI. 3()



Sugar Cubes:
Loose Sugar
Two forms of sugar are shown above - solid cubes and packets of loose crystals. One cube has the same amount of sugar as one packet. Write your answers to the following questions in your ANSWER BOOK.

Describe what you would do to find out which form dissolves faster, using the following materials: 2 identical drinking glasses, a stopwatch, spoons, a measuring cup, and water.

First I would measure the same Amount of water and put both in $\%$ identical drinking classes. Then at the sane, dep
 sumer cane in the other with the spon-I urild mix the crater and with the stop watch time the time it takes foe each to dissolve.

## EXAMPIE: I.EVEL 300

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 209 | 250 | 209 | 350 |
| 23 | 36 | 75 | - |


|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 789 | 20 | 82 | 358 |
| 35 | 50 | 81 | 94 |

Which of the following questions would be the easiest to answer with an experiment?

A How many uses are there for magnets?
B Which is the stronger of two magnets?
C What makes a magnet strong?
D How are magnets made?

## EXAMPIE: LEVEL 300

| Percenc Cormat focAnchor lameth |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 200 | 280 | 300 | 35 |
| 12 | 43 | 73 | 92 |

A scientist develops a theory to explain some phenomena that previous theories could not. However, this theory leads to predictions that are contrary to other scientists' expectations. What should be done in response to these results?

A Ignore the expectations and accept the theory.
B Reject the theory since it is contrary to the expectations.
C Revise the theory so that it agrees with the expectations.
D Design experiments to test for the predictions made by the theory.
E Develop another theory that predicts what the scientists expected.

LEVEL 350 -INTEGRATES
SPECIALIZEDSCIENTIFIC
INFORMATION An examination of the set of example items illustrating performance at Level 350 reveals that a high percentage of students performing at or above this level had developed a somewhat advanced understanding of experimental design and could use somewhat more detailed knowledge from life, physical, and earth sciences to help them draw conclusions and infer relationships from data in figures and tables. For example, students performing at Level 350 were more likely than those at Level 300 to draw valid conclusions from a figure containing results from an experiment with two variables (temperature and germination/nongermination) and successfully predict the type of fossil that would be found in a particular rock layer, given information about fossils in adjacent rock layers.

## I:XAMPII: ILVI:L 350

| Percent Comet for Anchor lewelt |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 289 | 250 | 300 | 350 |
| 26 | 22 | 37 | 66 |


| Percent Comed foc Anchor levels |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 200 | 230 | 300 | 350 |
| 22 | 17 | 40 | 70 |

Jamal has twenty silk worm larvae. Half are 2 centimeters long and half are 4 centimeters long. He knows the length of time it takes the smaller larvae to consume 100 grams of mulberry leaves. Which of the following information should he collect for the 4 -centimeter larvae in order to compare the eating rates of the two sets of larvae?

A Time for all ter larvae to eat 100 grams of leaves
B Time for each larva to eat one leaf
C Weight of leaves eaten by all ten larvae in an hour
D Number of leaves eaten by all ten larvae in a day

## EXAMPIE: IIVTL 350

| Pencent Comext fromentor lexut |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 209 | 28 | 329 | 350 |
| 16 | 19 | 36 | 69 |


| Erownt cormet for Anchor lexpls |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 299 | 20 | 329 | 389 |
| 14 | 21 | 36 | 72 |


| $\triangle$ (c) $\triangle$ |
| :---: |
| * (a) |
| (9) $\triangle$ (0) $\triangle$ (3) |
| $\Delta \Delta \Delta \Delta$ |



Northem U.S. Southwest U.S. Northem Europe
If the diagrams above represent rock layers at three different places on Earth and the shapes in the diagrams represent the type of fossils found in each rock layer, which of the frllowing is most likely to be found immediately below the lowest rock layer in the Southwest U.S.?
$A \bigcirc$
B o
C
D) $\Delta$

## EXAMPIF: I.EVIL 350

| Pument Comet for Anctoriments |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 209 | 20 | 370 | 350 |
| 5 | 19 | 42 | 73 |

An antacid seltzer tablet is dropped into each of two glasses containing equal amounts of water. The temperature of the water is $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in Glass 1 and $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in Glass 2 . In each glass, bubbles of gas are released as the tablet dissolves. It takes 30 seconds for the tablet to react completely in Glass 1 and 100 seconds for the tablet to react completely in Glass 2 . From these results alone, one can conclude that

A temperature has no effect on the rate of chemical reactions
B increasing the volume of water increases the rate at which the antacid seltzer reacts with water

C the rate of all chemical reactions increases as the temperature increases
D the rate of chemical reactions doubles for every $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ incrinses in temperature

E the rate at which the antacid seltzer reacts with water is faster in hot water than in cold water

## FXAMPIF: LEVEL 350

| Proment Correx for Ander month |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300 | 20 | 392 | 350 |
| 31 | 33 | 48 | 86 |


| Pencent Comet for Anstorlowh |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 200 | 28 | 309 | $3 \times 0$ |
| 37 | 34 | 50 | 89 |

Which of the following methods could be used to find out how much space is taken up by rocks placed in a 1 -liter container?

A Weigh the rocks, and then weigh the container, then sultract the weight of the container from the rocks.
$B$ Empty the container and count the number of rocks.
C Fill the container holding the rocks with water, pour the water into a measuring cup, then subtract the amount of water from the entire capacity of the container.

D Weigh the container empty, fill it with the rocks and water, then weigh it again.

## EXAMPIF: IIVLI 350

| Eercent Corme for Ancher levels |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 209 | 250 | 309 | 350 |
| 15 | 20 | 40 | E0 |

Questions 17-18 refer to an experiment that is set up to determine the volume of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ consumed by germinating and nongerminating (dry) peas, each at two different temperatures. The data are shown below.
O. Consumption (mL)


Which of the following conclusions is best supported by the data?
A Nongerminating peas have a higher rate of respiration than do germinating peas.

B Light is required for pea seed germination.
C In nongerminating peas, oxygen consumption is directly proportional to oxygen concentration.

D Less carbon dioxide is produced by germinating pea seeds at $26^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ than at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

E In germinating peas, an increase in temperature results in an increase in oxygen consumption.

## LEVELS OF SCIENCE PROFICIENCY

BYGENDER TABLE 2.1 summarizes the results by gender for students performing at or above each of the four proficiency levels.


## PERCENT OF STUDENTS AT OR ABOVE

|  | 'evel 200 | Level 250 | Level 300 | Level 350 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADE 4 |  |  |  |  |
| Male Female | $\begin{aligned} & 85(1.0) \\ & 84(1.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32(1.7) \\ & 29(1.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1(0.2) \\ & 1(0.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0(0.0) \\ & 0(0.0) \end{aligned}$ |
| GRADI 8 |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Male } \\ & \text { Female } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 94(0.8) \\ & 94(0.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65(1.6) \\ & 63(1.5) \end{aligned}$ | 21 (1.5) | $1(0.4)$ $0(0.1)$ |
| GRADE 12 |  |  |  |  |
| Mate Female | $\begin{aligned} & 99(0.3) \\ & 98(0.3) \end{aligned}$ | 86 (1.0) 83 (1.1) | $49(1.4)$ $40(1.3)$ | $13(1.1)$ $6(0.7)$ |

The standard errors of the estimated percentages appear in parentheses. It can be said with 95 percent certainty that for each population of interest, the value for the whole papulation is within plus or minus two standard errors of the estimate for the sample. When the percentage of students is either 0 or 100 , the standard error is inestimable. However, percentages 99.5 percent and greater were rounded to 100 percent and percentages less than 0.5 percent wese rounded to 0 percent.
The 1990 Science Report Card: NAEP's Assessment of Fourth, Eighth, and Iwefth Craders (National Center for Education Statistics, U.S. Department of Education, 1992).

At grade 4, the results for both males and females paralleled those for the nation as a whole and there were no significant differences in the percentages of male and female fourth graders performing at or above any of the proficiency levels. At grade 8, a significantly higher percentage of males than females performed at or above Level 300 (21 compared to 15 percent). At grade 12, the gender gap was more pervasive, with statistically significant differences favoring males at Levels 250,300 , and 350 . Among the high school seniors, 49 percent of the males performed at or above Level 300 and 13 percent reached level 350 , as compared to 40 percent and 6 percent of the females, respectively. These results support other recent evidence showing that gender differences in achievement in science increase between the elementary grades and high school, and highlight the continuing need for efforts to improve the opportunities for female high school students to learn science. ${ }^{12}$

[^8]
## LEVELS OF SCIENCE PROFICIENCY

BYRACE/ETHNICITY The percentage of students who performed at or above each of the four proficiency levels by race/ethnicity are presented in TABLE 2.2. Large disparities in the percentages of students from different racial/ethnic subpopulations are present for at least two of the proficiency levels in each of the three grades.

```
            I\BII: 2.2
```




PERCENT OF STUDENTS AT OR ABOVE
Level 200 Lovel 250 Level 300 Level 350

| GRade 1 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White | 93 (0.8) $58(2.7)$ | 40 $50(1.6)$ 10 | $1(0.3)$ 0 (0.2) | $0(0.0)$ $0(0.0)$ |
| Mispank | 66 (2.4) | 10 (1.2) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) |
| Astan/Pactic istander | 88 (3.1) | 29 (5.2) | 2 (1.5) | 0 (0.0) |
| American Indian | 81 (5.3) | 20 (4.8) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) |
| Gradi 8 |  |  |  |  |
| White | 97 (0.5) | 74 (1.3) | 23 (1.3) | 1 (0.3) |
| Elact | 80 (2.5) | 31 (2.5) | 3 (0.8) | 0 (0.1) |
| Happmak | 87 (1.7) | 42 (2.8) | $5(0.9)$ | 0 (0.1) |
| Adino/Pactilic islander | 96 (1.9) | 71 (4.8) | 23 (4.1) | 1 (0.6) |
| Americma molian | 92 (2.8)! | 54 (11.6)! | 8 (2.8)! | 0 (0.0) |
| GRADE 12 |  |  |  |  |
| White | 100 (0.1) | 91 (0.8) | 53 (1.4) | $12(0.9)$ |
| Etact | 94 (1.4) | 57 (3.0) | 12 (2.0) | 1 (0.6) |
| Mespamic | 98 (0.8) | 70 (3.4) | 23 (2.9) | 3 (1.0) |
| Astas/Praific indender | 99 (1.4) | 90 (3.2) | 60 (7.4) | $17(5.0)$ |
| Americos lindion | 100 (0.7)! | 89 (5.6)! | 33 (9.3)! | 2 (0.0) |

The standard emors of the extimated percentages appeas in parentheses. it can be said with 95 percent certainty that for each population of interest, the value for the whole population is within phus of minus two standard errors of the estimate for the sample. When the percentage of students is either 0 or 100 , the standard error is inestimable. However, pencentages 99.5 percemt and greater were rounded to 100 percent and percentages less than 0.5 percent were rounded to 0 percent.
I Interpret with caution - the nature of the sample does not allow eccurate determination of the variability of these estimated statistics.
The 1990 Science Report Cond NAEP's Assersment of fouth, Eighth, and Twefith Craders (National Center for Education 5tatistics, U.S. Department of Education, 1992).

At grade 4, significantly higher percentages of White and Asian/Pacific Isiander students reached Level 200 and 250 than did their Black and Hispanic counterparts. The results for Level 250 are particularly striking. Forty percent of White and about 30 percent of Asian/Pacific Islander fourth graders performed at or above this level, as compared to 10
percent of Hispanic and 5 percent of Black fourth graders. These data further support the results presented in Chapter One showing that, on average, substantial differences in science achievement exist by the fourth grade between White students and their Black and Hispanic counterparts.

Similar differences can also be observed in the data for the eighth and twelfth grades. At grade 8, higher percentages of White and Asian/Pacific Islander students achieved at or above levels 200, 250, and 300 than did Black or Hispanic students and at grade 12 , these differences occurred at levels 250,300 , and 350 . It is especially worth noting that while more than half of White and Asian/Pacific Islander twelfth-grade students reached Level 300 or above, only 23 percent of Hispanic students and 12 percent of Black students did so.

The percentages of American Indian students who performed at or above each of the four proficiency levels generally fell between the percentages of White students and the percentages of Black students. However, because the size of the sample of American Indian students was relatively small and the science proficiency for this subpopulation was somewhat variable, only a few of the apparent differences between the percentages of American Indian students and students in the other four racial/ethnic groups were statistically significant. Significantly higher percentages of American Indian students than Black students performed at or above Level 200 at each of the three grades and at or above Level 250 at grades 4 and 12 . A significantly lower percentage of American Indian students than White students performed at or above Level 250 at grade 4 and at or above level 300 at grade 8.

LEVELS Of SCIENCE PROficiency
BY REGION Regional results for students performing at or above each of the four proficiency levels are displayed in TABLE 2.3.

In general, at grades 4 and 8 , the percentages of students at or above each of the four proficiency levels paralleled the results for the nation as a whole. A higher percentage of fourth graders in the Northeast achieved at or above Level 250 than in the Southeast and higher percentages of eighth graders in the Northeast and Central regions achieved at or above Levels 250 and $\mathbf{3 0 0}$ than did their counterparts in the Southeast.

### 1.1311 2.3




PERCENT OF STUDENTS AI OR ABOVe:
Level 200 Level 250 Level 300 Level 350


The standard errors of the estimated percentages appears in parentheses. It can be said with 95 percent certainty that for each population of interest, the value '3 the whole population is within plus or minus two standard errors of the estimate for the sample. When the percentage of students is either 0 or 100 , the standard error is inestimable. However, percentages 99.5 percent and greater were rounded to 100 percent and percentages less than 0.5 percent were rounded to 0 percent.
The 1990 Science Report Cord: NAEP's Assessment of Fourth, Eighth, and Twelfth Graders (National Center for Education Statistics, U.S. Department of Education, 1992).

At grade 12, the disparity between students in the Southeast and the other three regions occurred more consistently. The percentages of twelfth-grade students from the Northeast, Central, and West regions performing at or above levels 250, 300, and 350 were significantly higher than the percentages of twelfth graders in the Southeast who performed at or above these three proficiency levels.

## LEVELS OF SCIENCE PROfICIENCY

SY TYPE OF COMMUNITY To provide some information relevant to socioeconomic level, NAEP analyzed results for students attending schools in three extreme types of communities - advantaged urban, disadvantaged urban. and extreme rural - as compared to students in the remaining types of communities. The results by type of community are shown in TABLE 2.4.

### 1131.1.2.4




|  | PERCENT OF STLDENTS AI OR ABOVE |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Level 200 | Level 250 | Level 300 | Level 350 |
| Crade 4 |  |  |  |  |
| Advantaged Urban | 96 (1.5) | 55 (3.9) | 3 (0.9) | $0(0.0)$ |
| Disadvantaged Urben | 59 (3.9) | 10 (2.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) |
| Entreme Rural | 89 (2.7) | 30 (3.5) | 0 (0.4) | 0 (0.0) |
| Other | 85 (1.1) | 30 (1.4) | 1 (0.2) | 0 (0.0) |
| Crades ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Adrantaged Urban | 99 (0.6)! | 82 (2.6)! | 34 (5.4)! | $2(1,0)!$ |
| Dtsadvinteged Urban | 84 (3.2) | 43 (3.8) | 8 (1.7) | 0 O(0.2) |
| Extreme Rural | 93 (1.7) | 58 (4.1) | 13 (2.4) | 0 (0.3) |
| Other | 94 (0.8) | 65 (1.5) | 18 (1.1) | 1 (0.3) |
| cradt 12 |  |  |  |  |
| Advantiged Urban | $99(0.9)!$ | 87 (2.8)! | $57(4.4)$ ! | 14 (2.2)! |
| Dismdranteged Uriman | 96 (1.8) | 69 (5.0) | 27 (3.7) | 4 (1.0) |
| Extreme Mural | $99(0.0)!$ | 85 (3.0)! | 41 (3.8)! | 7 (1.7)! |
| Other | 99 (0.2) | 86 (1.1) | 47 (1.5) | 10 (1.1) |

The standard errors of the estimated percentages appear in parentheses. It can be said with 95 percent certainty that for each population of interest, the value for the whole population is within plus or minus two standard errors of the estimate for the sample. When the percentage of students is either 0 or 100 , the standard enor is inestimable. However, percentages 99.5 percent and greater were rounded to 100 percent and percentages less than 0.5 percent were rounded to 0 percent.
! interpret with caution - the nature of the sample does not allow accurate determination of the variability of these estimated statistics.

The 1990 Science Report Card: NAEP's Assessment of fourth, Eighth, and Twetth Graders (National Center for Education Statistics, U.S. Department of Education, 1992).

These results reveal large discrepancies between the science achievement of students in disadvantaged urban communities and that of their grade-level counterparts in each of the other community types. At grades 4 and 8 , the percentages of students in disadvantaged urban communities who performed at or above Levels 200 and 250 were lower than the percentages of students from advantaged urban communities, extreme rural communities, and communities classified as "other" who performed at these levels. These differences also existed at Levels 250 and 300 at grade 12. In addition, higher percentages of students from advantaged urban and "other" community types achieved at or above Level 300 at grade 8 and Level 350 at grade 12 than did students from disadvantaged urban communities. Taken as a whole, these results indicate that relatively high percentages of students from disadvantaged urban communities have difficulty
understanding and applying basic scientific principles or performing the more advanced evaluative and integrative activities expected of students who might pursue further ccursework in science.

In each of the three grades, the percentages of students from extreme rural communities who performed at or above the four proficiency levels did not differ significantly from the percentages of students from communities classified as "other" who performed at or above these same levels. However, when compared with resuits for students from advantaged urban communities, lower percentages of students from extreme rural communities performed at or above Level 250 at grade 4; Levels 200, 250, and 300 at grade 8; and levels 300 and 350 at grade 12.

## LEVELS OF SCIENCE PROfICIENCY

BYTYPEOFSCHOOL TABLE 2.5 presents the percentages of public and private school students performing at or above each level of proficiency. Private school students include students attending Catholic schools and students attending other types of private schools.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { IMBII: } 2.5
\end{aligned}
$$

|  | PERCENT OF STUDENTS AT OR ABOVE |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Leval 200 | Level 250 | Level 300 | Level 350 |
| Grade 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Pribice Schools | 83 (1.0) | 29 (1.4) | 1 (0.2) | 0 (0.0) |
| Pinvate Schools | 94 (1.2) | 42 (3.1) | 2 (0.4) | 0 (0.0) |
| GRaid: : |  |  |  |  |
| Public Schools |  | $\cdots 3)$ | 17 (1.1) | $1(0.2)$ |
| Private Schools | 98 (0.8) | ( $\because$ ) | 23 (1.7) | 1 (0.5) |
| crade iz |  |  |  |  |
| Pratic Schools | 99 (0.2) | 35 (1) 9 | 44 (1.3) | 9 (0.8) |
| Private Schools | 100 (0.2) | 41 (1.5) | 52 (2.7) | 10 (1.7) |

[^9]In each of the three grades, because most students attend public schools, the percentages of public school students achieving at or above each proficiency level generally paralleled the percentages for the national sample. However, the percentages of private school students were higher than the percentages of public school students for a number of the levels at each grade - at Levels 200 and 250 at grade 4; at Levels 200, 250, and 300 at grade 8; and at Levels 250 and 300 at grade 12.
levels of science proficiency
by parents'hichest
LEVEL OFEDUCATION Percentages of students achieving at or above the four proficiency levels by parents' highest level of education are shown in TABLE 2.6.


The standard efrors of the extimated percentages appear in parentheses. It can be said with 95 percent certainty that for each population of interest, the value for the whole population is within plus or minus two standard errors of the extimate for the sampte. When the percentage of students is either 0 or 100 , the standard error is inestimable. However, percentages 99.5 percent and greater were rounded to 100 percent and percentages less than 0.5 percent were rounded to 0 percent.
The 1990 Science Report Cord: NAEP's Assesment of fouth, Eighth, ond Twefth Grod. ©s itational Center for Education Statistics, U.S. Department of Education, 1992).

In general, for students in all three grades, those with parents with progressively more education tended to achieve progressively better on the science assessment. At grade 4, the percentages of students at or above l.evels 200 and 250 whose parents had not graduated from high school or had gracuated from high school (but had no further education) were lower than the percentages of students who had at least one parent who had some education beyond high school or had graduated from college. Similar relationships between parents' level of education and the percentages of students at or above Levels 200, 250, and 300 occurred at grade 8 and at Levels 250,300 , and 350 at grade 12. For example, 59 percent of the twelfth graders who had at least one parent who had graduated from college performed at or above level 300 compared to 45 percent whose parents had only some post-secondary education, 30 percent whose parents had graduated from high school, and 21 percent whose parents had not graduated from high school.

SUMMARY Results from NAEP's 1990 science assessment presented for a range of four levels of proficiency support the overall achievement results presented in Chapter One, and show that only small percentages of students in any of the three grades consistently demonstrated the abilities characteristic of performance at the highest two proficiency levels. Even for high school seniors about to enter the work force or pursue post-secondary education and training, fewer than one-half performed at or above Level 300 , which was typified by the ability to apply knowledge to interpret tables and graphs, evaluate and design experiments, and demonstrate some detailed knowledge of scientific information. In addition, at all three grades, the percentages of White and Asian/Pacific 1slander students performing at or above the highest levels generally reached by students in each grade were significantly larger than the corresponding percentages of Hispanic and Black students. Also, within each of the three grades, substantially larger percentages of students from advantaged urban communities attained higher levels than did their counterparts from disadvantaged urban communities. No differences in the percentages of male and female students existed at any level of proficiency at grade 4; however, a slightly greater percentage of males than females performed at or above level 300 at grade 8. This gender difference occurred at Levels 250, 300, and 350 at grade 12 , indicating that gender differences in science achievement, particularly at the highest levels of proficiency, increase as students progress through school.

Few differences in the percentages of students performing at or above the four proficiency levels occurred by region. However, at grade 12, smaller percentages of students from the Southeast performed at or above levels 250, 300, and 350 than did
students in the other three regions. Higher percentages of private school students performed at or abo": two or more proficiency levels at all three grades, as compared to their public school counterparts. In general, for students in all three grades, the higher the level of parental education, the higher the percentages of students performing at or above each of the highest three proficiency levels.

In their 1983 report to the American people, Educating Americans for the 21st Century, the National Science Board Commission presented a plan of action for improving mathematics and science education for secondary students so that their achievement would be the best in the world by 1995. ${ }^{13}$ These recommendations included providing opportunity and high standards of excellence for all students - wherever they lived, whatever their race, gender, or economic condition. Today, as we face a revised national goal of having U.S. students first in the world in science and mathematics achievement by the year 2000, the NAEP data presented in Chapters One and Two indicate that the earlier call for universal opportunities and excellence in science education is just as pertinent nearly a decade later as it was in 1983.

[^10]
# CIENCE PROFICIENCY BY CONTENT AREAS FOR THE NATION, SUBPOPULATIONS, AND IN RELATION TO HIGH SCHOOL COURSE-TAKING 


hat is the proficiency of students in the various disciplines of science? How much science course work do students take during high school? This chapter presents information about students' proficiency in particulc. science content areas and, for high-school seniors, the relationship between course-taking in those particular content areas and proficiency. Although the disciplines of science are interconnected in many ways, in order to parallel the present curriculum, the framework underlying NAEP's 1990 science assessment reflected three traditional disciplines of science: life sciences, physical sciences, and earth and space sciences. ${ }^{14}$ In addition, an
awareness and understanding of the nature of science - that is, a recognition of science as a way of knowing - served as a foundation for the framework. Results are presented for the three traditional content areas and for the nature of science content area, each of which is briefly described in FIGURE 3.1.

## II(BLR1:3.1

## 

## IIII vi:101)

Concepts in the life sciences can be placed along a continuum, ranging from the topicspecific to the highly integrated and interdisciplinary. Most students gain some topic-specific knowledge and understandings in this content area through life experiences; thus, some topic-specific questions, such as asking students to classify plants and animals, ere most appropriate at the earlier grade levels. In contrast, items for older students - for example, questions dealing with energy transtormations or genetics - require integration of knowtedge from several disciplires, as these students are assumed to have mastered a detailed knowiedge of the simpler (i.e., topic-specific) categories. The major categories of topics in the life sciences included in the 1990 assessment include cellular and molecular biology, energy transformations, genetic continuity and development, evolution, diversity and systematics, structure and function of organisms, behavior, and ecology.

## 

The physical sciences deal with the fundamental components of the natural universe space, time, matter, and energy. Students should understand the properties of matter and how the elements are organized in the periodic table. Students should also understand that the universe is not static; rather, matter and energy are continually being transformed in space and time, producing chemical and physical changes. A grasp of the laws of mechanics, and the interaction of light and matter, provides a way of understanding that among all of nature's transformations, a few invariabte (conserved) quantities are known to exist, including mass-energy, electrical charge, and linear and angular momentum. In addition, an understanding of energy - more specifically, the laws of thermodynamiss - permits one to predict if and in what manner a change will occur. The six sets of topics included in the 1990 scienre assessment are motion, conserved quantities, waves, particulate nature of matter, properties of matter, and changes.

## ITIIIVIPMUSIINM

Knowledge and understanding of key concepts in the earth and space sciences provides students with a more informed view of their place on Earth, and of Earth's place within the universe. These concepts, in tum, build students' capacity to participate in public decisions, particularty those conceming environmental issues. Earth's place within the universe, plate tectonics, water and rock cycles, and the Earth's history constitute the earth and space science topics included in the 1990 assessment.

## [11 \11 Ri (11 ( It \1)

Three aspects of the nature of science were included in the 1990 assessment - processes, principles, and knowledge. The processes of science encompass observing, classifying, and inferring; interpreting data; formulating hypotheses; designing experiments; and conducting inquiries. The nature of values and principles undertying scientific work include: knowledge is valued, questioning is essential, data are fundamental, verification is essential, and logic is respected. The nature of scientific knowledge'was defined according to five major tenets: scientific knowledge is 1) tentative, 2) public, 3) empirically based, 4) based on replicable observations, and S) cumulative.

## AVERAGE PROFIEIENCYIN SCIENCE

BYCONTENTAREAS The average proficiency results for the four content areas are presented in FIGURE 3.2, which summarizes student performance at grades 4, 8, and 12 for each content area. As expected, average proficiency at grade 12 exceeded that at grade 8, and average proficiency at grade 8 exceeded that at grade 4 in each of the content areas. Within each of the content areas, with the exception of the nature of science, the difference in performance between grades 4 and 8 was generally comparable to the difference between grades 8 and 12 .

## FIGURE 3.2

 ( onlent treas for the Vation


## Life Sciences

Grade 4
229 (0.9)
Grade 8
263 (1.2)
Grade 12


## Physical Sclences

Grade 4


Earth and Space Sclences
Grade 4
234 (0.9)
Grade 8
Grade 12


Nature of Science
Grade 4
234 (1.0)
Grade 8
260 (1.4)
Grade 12
299 (1.3)

The standard errors of the estimated proficiencies appear in parentheses. It can be said with 95 percent certainty that for each population of interest, the value for the whote popstation is within plus or minus two standard errors of the estimate for the sample.
The 1990 Science Report Cond: NAEP's Assessment of Founth, Eighth, ond Twefth Groders (National Center for Education Statistics, U.S. Department of Education, 1992).

## AVERAGEPROFICIENCYIN

SCIENCE CONTENT ARE•S
BY RACE/ETHNICITY Average pmiciency in the four content areas for White, Blacl., Hispanic, Asian/Pacific Islander, and American Indian students is shown in TABLE 3.1. At grade 4, in all four content areas, White students had higher average proficiency than students in other racial/ethnic groups, with the exception of Asian/Pacific Islander students. Also, Asian/Pacific Islander and American Indian students outperformed their Black and Hispanic counterparts. Hispanic fourth graders had higher average proficiency than fourth-grade Black students in the area of earth and space sciences, but the two groups had similar average proficiency in the remaining three content areas.

| Distribution of Stukentsind Inerage Proficione in <br>  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent of Students | LHe Sciences | Physical <br> Sciances | Emith and Space Sciances | Matmre of Sclence |
| CrRiDf 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Whinte | 70 (0.5) | 238 (1.0) | 245 (1.2) | 243 (1.1) | 242 (1.1) |
| Black | 15 (0.4) | 204 (1.6) | 207 (2.0) | 204 (1.5) | 212 (1.7) |
| Mispanic | 11 (0.3) | 209 (1.8) | 213 (1.6) | 215 (1.6) | 212 (1.7) |
| Aslan/Pactic Blander | $2(0.3)$ | 227 (4.1) | 238 (3.9) | 233 (3.6) | 238 (3.5) |
| Amerticar mintin | 2 (0.3) | 222 (3.8) | 229 (4.0) | 228 (3.6) | 226 (3.8) |
| GRader 8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 71 (0.4) | 273 (1.4) | 271 (1.4) | 276 (1.5) | 270 (1.5) |
| Black | 15 (0.4) | 233 (2.3) | 232 (2.3) | 228 (2.6) | 230 (2.7) |
| Meppanic | 10 (0.3) | 242 (2.4) | 241 (2.2) | 242 (2.3) | 236 (2.4) |
| Adion/Pactic miander | 3 (0.4) | 272 (4.0) | 271 (3.9) | 270 (4.3) | 267 (5.2) |
| Arberican midion | 1 (0.5)! | 252 (9.7)! | 250 (7.8)! | 257 (7.3)! | 244 (15.6)! |
| CRADE 12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 73 (0.4) | 305 (1.1) | 300 (1.7) | 301 (1.3) | 307 (1.4) |
| Blect | 14 (0.5) | 262 (2.0) | 253 (3.1) | 247 (2.8) | 267 (3.0) |
| Mispank | 8 (0.3) | 275 (2.7) | 271 (3.2) | 270 (2.9) | 277 (3.9) |
| Adiva/Pactic bilonder | 4 (0.2) | 309 (7.1) | 310 (8.3) | 304 (6.6) | 312 (6.9) |
| Americma Indima | 1 (0.2)! | 287 (4.5)! | 283 (5.6)! | 289 (6.1)! | 283 (9.6) |

The standard enrors of the extimated percentages and proficiencies appeas in parentheses. It can be said with 95 percent certainty that for each population of interest, the value for the whole population is within phus or minus two standard errors of the estimate for the sample.
! Interpret with caution - the nature of the sample does not allow accurate determination of the variability of these extimated statistics.
The 1990 Science Report Cond: NAEP's Assessment of Fourth, Eighth, and Twefth Groders (National Center for Education Statistics, U.S. Department of Education, 1992).

At grade 8, White and Asian/Pacific Islander students had higher average proficiency than did Black and Hispanic students in all four science content areas. In addition, Hispanic and American Indian eighth graders outperiormed their Black counterparts in the area of earth and space sciences.

At grade 12, the pattern was similar to that at grade 8. For all four content areas, White and Asian/Pacific Islander twelfth graders had higher average proficiency than did Black and Hispanic twelfth graders. Hispanic and American Indian twelfth graders performed better than their Black counterparts in every content area except the nature of science.

In general, White and Asian/Pacific Islander students tended to have the highest average proficiency across the content areas, but their relative position tended to shift from the lower to the upper grades. Although the differences in performance were not statistically significant, the pattern for all four content areas was one of White students outperforming their Asian/Pacific Islander classmates at grade 4, the differences between the two groups being negligible at grade 8, and the Asian/Pacific Islander students having a slight advantage at grade 12. For the remaining three racial/ethnic subgroups at all three grades across all four content areas, the pattern was one of American Indian students tending to outperform Hispanic students, who, in turn, tended to have higher proficiency than their Black classmates.

AVERACE PROFICIENCY IN
sCIENCE CONTENT AREAS
BYCENDER As presented in TABLE 3.2, the analysis of student achievement in the science content areas by gender shows several interesting patterns. First, for the three major content areas of life, physical, and earth and space sciences, a male advantage appeared to emerge as students progress through school. At grade 4, males had higher proficiency than did females in the earth and space sciences, but there was no difference in performance between the genders in either the life or physical sciences areas. However, at grade 8, males outperformed females in the physical sciences area as well as the earth and space sciences and at grade 12 , they had higher proficiency than did females in all three of these traditional science content areas. In contrast, at all three grades females had higher proficiency than did males in the area covering the nature of science.

# 1.13113.2 <br>  <br>  

|  | Percent of stadants | His Sciances | Physical Sclences | Exth and Space Sclences | Neture of Sclence |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grabl 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 51 (0.7) | 229 (1.2) | 237 (1.3) | 237 (1.2) | 231 (1.1) |
| Fempele | 49 (0.7) | 229 (1.2) | 234 (1.1) | 230 (1.1) | 236 (1.1) |
| CRabl ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mine | 50 (0.8) | 264 (1.7) | 265 (1.6) | 270 (1.6) | 257 (1.7) |
| Female | 50 (0.8) | 263 (1.2) | 260 (1.4) | 259 (1.5) | 264 (1.5) |
| GRade 12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mre | 48 (0.8) | 299 (1.5) | 299 (2.1) | 300 (1.5) | 296 (1.7) |
| Fenale | 52 (0.8) | 293 (1.1) | 284 (1.3) | 283 (1.4) | 301 (1.5) |

The standard errors of the extimated percentages and proficiencies appess in parentheses. It can be said with 95 percent certainty that for each population of interest, the value for the whole population is within plus or minus two standard errors of the estimate for the sample.
The 1990 Science Repont Cord: NAEP's Assesment of Founth, Eighth, and Twelth Groders (National Center for Education Statistics, U.S. Department of Education, 1992).

## average proficiency in

SCIENCE CONTENT AREAS
BYREGION The regioral results by science content area are summarized in TABLE 3.3. At grade 4, there were no significant differences across the regions in performance for either the life sciences or nature of science content areas. However, fourth graders in the Southeast did have lower average proficiency in the physical sciences than did students in the other three regions of the country, as well as lower average proficiency in the earth and space sciences area than their counterparts in the Northeast and West. Eighth graders in the Northeast performed better than those in the Southeast in all four science content areas. Also, eighth graders in the Central region had significantly higher proficiency than those in the Southeast in two content areas - life sciences and the nature of science. At grade 12, however, students in the Southeast had lower average proficiency than their counterparts in the other three regions of the country in all four content areas.

# [1]3] 1 3.3 <br>   

|  | Percent of Students | Hfe <br> Sciences | Physleal Sciances | Earth and Space Sclences | Mature of Science |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast | 22 (0.8) | 231 (2.0) | 239 (2.5) |  | 235 (2.1) |
| Southeast | 24 (0.8) | 224 (2.2) | 228 (2.5) | 226 (2.4) | 233 (2.3) |
| Central | 27 (0.8) | 232 (2.1) | 237 (2.4) | 234 (2.4) | 232 (2.1) |
| Wert | 28 (0.8) | 229 (2.1) | 238 (2.2) | 237 (2.1) | 234 (2.0) |
| GRadit b |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeest | 21 (1.0) | 268 (3.0) | 270 (3.3) | 270 (3.6) |  |
| Southeast | 24 (0.8) | 257 (2.1) | 256 (1.7) | 257 (2.3) | 254 (2.2) |
| Central | 25 (0.7) | 265 (1.8) | 263 (2.3) | 266 (2.1) | 263 (2.3) |
| Mest | 30 (0.9) | 264 (3.0) | 261 (2.7) | 265 (3.1) | 258 (2.8) |
| grade 12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast | 24 (1.0) | 303 (2.8) | 298 (3.8) | 298 (3.5) | 306 (3.5) |
| Southenst | 21 (0.8) | 283 (2.1) | 276 (3.6) | 272 (2.8) | 288 (2.5) |
| Central | $27(0.5)$ $29(0.9)$ | 298 (2.0) | 293 (2.6) | 294 (1.8) | 298 (2.9) |
| West | 29 (0.9) | 298 (2.7) | 295 (2.9) | 296 (3.5) | 301 (3.0) |

The standard errors of the estimated percentages and proficiencies apprar in parentheses. It can be said with 95 percent certainty that for each population of interest, the value for the whole population is within plus of minus two standard errors of the estimate for the sample.
The 1990 Science Repont Cord: NAEP's Assesment of Fowth, Eighth, and Tweith Groders (National Center for Education Statistics, U.S. Department of Education, 1992).

## AVERAGE PROFICIENCYIN

## SCIENCE CONTENT AREAS

BYTYPE OF COMMUNITY
TABLE 3.4 presents the average proficiency in the four content areas for students attending schools in advantaged urban, disadvantaged urban, and extreme rural communities as compared to those attending schools in other types of communities. In general, across the four content areas and the three grades assessed, students attending schools in advantaged urban communities tended to have higher average proficiency than students in extreme rural schools or in other types of communities, and the students in these two types of communities tended to perform better than those attending schools in disadvantaged communities.

There were some deviations from this pattern at grade 8 and, particularly, at grade 12. Eighth graders living in disadvantaged urban communities and in extreme rural communities performed similarly in the area of physical sciences. Also, those living in extreme rural communities had lower average proficiency in the area of the nature of science than did those living in communities classified as "other."

At grade 12, students attending schools in disadvantaged urban communities had lower average proficiency than students attending schools in the remaining three types of communities, with two exceptions - in the life sciences and earth and space sciences their performance was not significantly different from that of twelfth graders attending schools in extreme rural communities. Also, even though the pattern of higher performance for advantaged urban students is evident in the results, the differences between their performance and that of students attending schools in extreme rural communities and communities classified as "other" were not statistically significant in any of the four content areas.

## T.\13II: 3.4

Distribution of shalents and Ivertge Proficiens! in



| Advataged Ubtan | 11 (1.7) | 245 (2.5) | 256 (2.9) | 254 (2.5) | 251 (2.7) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plandrateged Uriman | 9 (1.1) | 205 (2.8) | 212 (3.0) | 209 (2.7) | 211 (2.4) |
| Extreme Rival | 11 (1.8) | 233 (2.4) | 237 (3.2) | 235 (3.1) | 235 (2.4) |
| Ofher | $69(2.8)$ | 229 (1.1) | 235 (1.2) | 234 (1.1) | 234 (1.2) |
| GRaid: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Advantared Urban | $10(2.2)!$ | 285 (4.7)! | 282 (3.8)! | 285 (4.2)! | 280 (4.2) |
| Disadvaituged Utim | 9 (1.7) | 243 (3.7) | 244 (4.4) | 241 (4.8) | 237 (4.2) |
| Extrem | 11 (2.1) | 257 (3.4) | 256 (3.1) | 261 (4.1) | 252 (3.7) |
| Other | 69 (2.8) | 264 (1.3) | 263 (1.5) | 265 (1.7) | 262 (1.6) |
| CRAOH 1: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adneoteged Urman | 10 (2.4)! | 306 (3.8)! | 303 (5.0)! | 300 (4.8) | 309 (5.1)! |
| Dicetrantagad IVben | 12 (2.5) | 278 (4.9) | 269 (5.3) | 268 (7.1) | 278 (4.4) |
| Extreme Exill | 11 (2.7)! | 294 (4.1)! | 287 (4.0)! | 289 (4.0)! | 296 (4.4) |
| Other | 67 (3.5) | 298 (1.4) | 294 (1.9) | 294 (1.7) | 301 (1.6) |

The standard erfors of the extimated percentages and proficiencies appear in parentheses. It can be said with 95 percent certainty that for each popudation of interest, the value for the whole population is within plus or minus two standard errors of the extimate for the sample.
I interpret with castion - the nature oi the sample does not allow accurate detemination of the variabitity of these estimated statistics.
The 1990 Science Report Cord: NAEP's Assessment of fourth, Eighth, and Twefth Groders (National Center for Education Statistics, U.S. Deparment of Education, 1992).

## AVERAGE PROFICIENCYIN

SCIENCE CONTENT AREAS
BY TYPE OF SCHOOL Average proficiency across the content areas for students attending public, Catholic, and other (non-Catholic) private schools are shown in TABLE 3.5. At grade 4, students attending private schools - both Catholic and other private schools - had higher average proficiency than students attending public schools across all four science content areas, At grade 8, students attending Catholic schools outperformed public school students across all four science content areas, as did students attending other private schools in life sciences and earth and space sciences. There were almost no differences in performance among students attending the different types of schools at grade 12, although Catholic school students did have higher proficiency than public school students in the nature of science content area.

## T:\BII: 3.5
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The standard errors of the estimated percentages and proficiencies appear in parentheses. It can be said with 95 percent certainty that for each population of interest, the value for the whole population is within plus or minus two standard errors of the estimate for the sample.
The 1990 Science Report Cord: NAEP's Assessment of fourth, Eighth, and Twelfth Graders (National Center for Education Statistics, U.S. Department of Education, 1992).

AVERAGE PROFICIENCYIN
SCIENCECONTENT AREAS BY
PARENTS'HIGHESTEDUCATIONLEVEL Therelationship between parents' education level and average science proficiency across the content areas is presented in TABLE 3.6. The results show a strong, positive relationship between level of parents' education and average proficiency across all three grades assessed and all four science content areas. In general, similar to the results presented for overall proficiency in Chapter One, the more well-educated the parents, the higher the students' average proficiency in each of the four content areas.

At grade 4, students whose parents had post-high school education, including college degrees, had higher average proficiency in each content area than students whose parents had not graduated from high school or had graduated from high school, but had no further schooling. However, it should be noted that approximately one-third of the students at grade 4 did not know their parents' level of education. At grades 8 and 12, where nearly all students reported their parents' level of education, the relationship between parents' education level and average science proficiency in the content areas was even stronger. For all four content areas, students whose parents had graduated from college outperformed those students whose parents had some post-high school education, who, in turn, outperformed students whose parents had no education beyond high school graduation. Students whose parents had not graduated from high school had the lowest average proficiency.

OVERALL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SCIENCE AIID MATHEMATICSCOURSE-TAKING AND
SCIENCEPROFICIENCY, GRADE 12 The first part of this chapter presented results showing the relationship between performance in the four science content areas and various background characteristics. The remainder of this chapter will be devoted to a discussion of the relationship between high school course-taking in the various content areas and proficiency. However, as an overview, to illustrate the strong effect that course-taking has on proficiency, TABLE 3.7 shows the direct relationship between course-taking in science and mathematics, respectively, and overall science proficiency.

For each successive category of science course-taking, average science proficiency increased by approximately 20 points. Thus, twelfth graders who had taken eight or more semesters of science since the ninth grade had higher average proficiency than those who had taken six to seven semesters. Similarly, those who had taken six to seven semesters of
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Percent of

Students $\quad$\begin{tabular}{c}
Ufe <br>
Sciences

$\quad$

Physical <br>
Sciences

 

Earth end <br>
Spece Sciences of Sclence
\end{tabular}

| CRADE 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ddd Not Finlah HS | $5(0.4)$ | $219(2.7)$ | $223(3.3)$ | $222(2.7)$ | $224(2.3)$ |
| Gradanted from HS | $16(0.7)$ | $224(1.7)$ | $227(1.9)$ | $226(1.6)$ | $226(1.6)$ |
| Some Edeation After MS | $9(0.4)$ | $237(2.2)$ | $247(2.4)$ | $243(2.6)$ | $289(1.8)$ |
| Graduated From College | $35(1.1)$ | $238(1.3)$ | $246(1.5)$ | $244(1.3)$ | $243(1.4)$ |


| Did Met Finhth HS | $9(0.6)$ | 242 (2.8) | 239 (2.4) | 243 (2.7) | 239 (2.4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Graduated from iss | 25 (0.8) | 255 (1.6) | 254 (1.5) | 256 (1.4) | 250 (1.7) |
| Some Education After MS | 19 (0.8) | 268 (1.5) | 268 (1.7) | 270 (i.8) | 266 (1.5) |
| Gradunted Prom Coliege | 40 (1.6) | 277 (2.0) | 275 (1.8) | 278 (1.7) | 275 (1.8) |


| Dai Mot Pnish MS | 8 (0.6) | 275 (2.8) | 265 (3.0) | 264 (2.7) | 275 (3.0) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gralunted from H5 | 24 (0.8) | 283 (1.4) | 274 (1.6) | 277 (1.7) | 283 (1.9) |
| Some Elucation After H5 | 26 (0.8) | 298 (1.4) | 291 (1.8) | 293 (1.5) | 303 (1.7) |
| Graduated From College | 40 (1.3) | 309 (1.3) | 308 (1.8) | 306 (1.8) | 312 (1.6) |

The standard errors of the estimated percentages and proficiencies appear in parentheses. It can be said with 95 perrent certainty that for each population of interest, the value for the whote population is within plus or minus two standand errors of - the estimate for the sample. Within each grade, percentages of students do not total 100 percent because some students did not know their parents' highest levet of education.
The 1990 Scrence Report Cord: NAEP's Assessment of Fouth, Eighth, and Twelfh Groders (National Center for Iducation Statistics, U.S. Department of Education, 1992).
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|  | 0.3 |  | 45 |  | (i) |  | 8 OR MORE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Purcent of 5 tuments | Avercipe Proficinacy | Parcent of Suadents | Average Proflichang | Percent of stadents | Average Proficiency | Parcent of students | Averige Profichency |
| Sclence | 28 (1.4) | 269 (1.7) | 22 (0.8) | 290 (1.5) | 25 (1.0) | 311 (1.7) | 25 (1.2) | 332 (1.4) |
| Mathematics | 21 (1.1) | 265 (2.1) | 20 (0.7) | 287 (1.6) | 23 (0.9) | 303 (1.6) | 36 (1.1) | 324 (1.4) |

The standard errors of the extimated percentages and proficiencies appear in parentheses. It can be said with 95 percent certainty that for each population of interest, the value for the whole population is within plus or minus two standard errors of the estimate for the sample.
The 1990 Science Report Cord: NAEP's Assessment of Fourth, Eighth, ond Twefth Craders (National Center for Education Statistics, U.S. Department of Education, 1992).
science had higher average proficiency than those who had taken four to five semesters of science and those who had taken zero to three semesters had the lowest average proficiency.

There was a similar relationship between overall science proficiency and the number of semesters of mathematics courses taken since the ninth grade. Those twelfth graders with progressively more mathematics coursework performed much better than those with less coursework.

## AVERACE SCIENCE PROFICIENCY

BY SCIENCECOURSE-TAKING TABLE 3.8 summarizes high school course-taking in biology, chemistry, and physics by race/ethnicity, gender, and for public school and private school students. The comparisons are betwee $n$ those twelfth graders who reported at least one year of study in grades 9 through 12 and those who reported less than one year of study. For biology course-taking, overall average science proficiency is presented as well as average proficiency on the life sciences subscale. For both chemistry and physics, overall average science proficiency is shown as well as average proficiency on the physical sciences subscale.

Several patterns are apparent from Table 3.8. First, almost without exception, across the three types of courses and various subpopulations, the group of high school seniors reporting at least one year of course-taking had higher average science proficiency, both overall and in each particular content area, than did the group reporting less than one year of study.

Second, it can be seen that while most high school seniors had taken at least one year of biology, only about half had taken one year of chemistry and far fewer ( 29 percent) reported at least one year of physics study. Additionally, there were differences in coursetaking, especially in chemistry and physics, by racial/ethnic subgroup, gender, and public/ private school status. For example, Asian/Pacific Islander students were more likely to have taken one year or more of both chemistry and physics than were students from other racial/ethnic groups. A greater percentage of private school than public school students reported taking one year or more of both chemistry and physics. Also, higher percentages of males than females had taken physics courses for at least a year.

Finally, in some instances, the differences in proficiency between high- and lowperforming groups were larger for students who had taken coursework than for students who had not taken coursework. This was particularly evident in the area of physics coursetaking. For example, the gap between White students' average physical science proficiency and that of their Black and Hispanic counterparts was larger for students who had taken physics than for those who had not. These results, and those concerning racial/ethnic and



The standard errors of the estimated percentages and proficiencies appear in parentheses. I can be said with 95 percent certainly that for each population of interest, the value for the whole population is within plus or minus two standard errors of the estimate for the sample.
I interpret with caution - the nature of the sample does not allow accuarte determination of the variability of these estimated statistics.
The 1990 Science Report Cord: NAEP's Assessment of Fourth, Eighth, and Twelfth Gropers (National Center for Education Statistics, U.S. Department of Education, 1992).
gender differences in course-taking discussed above, tend to confirm a growing body of research indicating that, from kindergarten through high school, many White females and Black and Hispanic males and females have substantially different experiences in science than do White males. For example, they have fewer routine dally experiences with the tools, materials, and equipment of science, they are called on less often in science classes, and schools and society have generally lower expectations regarding their performance."

SUMMARY The results by science content areas indicated that the differences in performance by racial/ethnic groups apparent in the overall science results presented in Chapter One were also pervasive across the four content areas of life sciences, physical sciences, earth and space sciences, and the nature of science. For each content area, White and Asian/Pacific Islander students had higher average proficiency than did Hispanic and Black students. Also, students attending schools in disadvantaged urban communities had lower average proficiency than their counterparts attending schools in advantaged urban communities across all four content areas. In many cases, disadvantaged urban students had lower average proficiency than students living in any other type of community, including extreme rural areas. Additionally, the strong, positive relationship between level of parents' education and higher average proficiency was evident across all four content areas.

At grade 4 , differences in regional performance were less prevalent than they were at grades 8 and 12. At grade 8 , students in the Northeast consistently outperformed those in the Southeast. At grade 12, students in the Southeast had lower average proficiency than students in the other three regions of the country in each of the four content areas.

Gender differences in content area proficiency were not as prevalent at grade 4 as at grades 8 and 12. At grade 4, males had higher average proficiency in the area of earth and space sciences, females had higher average proficiency in the area of the nature of science, and there were no gender differences in performance in the other two content areas. At grade 8, however, males also had higher average proficiency than did females in the area of the physical sciences and, at grade 12 , they also gained the advantage in the area of the life sciences. It is interesting to note that in contrast to the overall results, females had higher average proficiency than males in the area of the nature of science at all three grade levels.

14 M. C. Linn and J. S. Hyde, Gender, Mathematics and Sclence, Educutional Rescaniter 18 (8):17-27, 1989. J. B. Kahle and M. K. Lakes, The Myth of Equality in Science Classrooms, Joumal of Rescarch in Sjence Teuching 20:131-140, 1983.
Jeannie Oakes, "Opportunities, Achtevement, and Choice Women and Minority Students in Science and
Mathematios," in Review of Research in Edtuotion, Volume 16, ed. C. Cazden (Washington, D.C.: American
Educational Research Association, 1990).

At grade 4, students who attended Catholic schools and other private schools had higher proficiency than fourth graders who attended public schools in each of the four content areas. These differences were somewhat less evident at grade 8 , and were virtually nonexistent at grade 12 .

The NAEP results showed a direct relationship between high school science course-taking and average proficiency. More course work in each content area was related to higher proficiency in that content area and to higher overall science performance. Although most twelfth-grade students reported at least a year of course-taking in biology, only about half reported taking at least a year of chemistry and fewer ( 29 percent) reported that much physics study. A greater percentage of Asian/Pacific islander students had taken at least a year of chemistry and physics than their classmates in other racial/ethnic groups as had a greater percentage of private school students, compared to their public school counterparts. More males than females had taken a year or more of physics. Finally, among students who do persevere in school science to the extent of enrolling in physics courses, the data indicate a widening performance gap between White students and their Black and Hispanic counterparts. These results support contentions that educators need to be more aware of the support systems and instructional strategies that lead to more equitable education in science classrooms.


HLow important is science education to schools and to students? Do students enjoy or dislike science? What experiences do they bring to the formal study of science, and to what extent does school provide relevant experiences? Background questions that were asked of students, their teachers, and the administrators of their schools as part of the 1990 science assessment provide some answers to these questions and insight into the subgroup differences in science proficiency discussed throughout the first three chapters of this report.

## SCHODLS' REPORTS

## ONTHEPRIORITYOF SCIENCE Despite the emphasis given to

 science in many recent national initiatives, ${ }^{16}$ science education continues to remain a relatively low priority in our nation's schools. TABLE 4.1 summarizes the percentages of students who attended schools that reported placing a special priority on specific subject areas. Fewer than half of the fourth-grade students attended schools that gave special priority to science, compared to three-fourths or more who attended schools that gave special attention to mathematics, reading, and writing. This relatively lower emphasis on science was also evident at grades 8 and 12. The same low priority surfaced in the most recent Gallup Poll on attitudes toward public schools, ${ }^{17}$ which showed that the national education goal emphasizing science and mathematics learning - "By the year 2000, American students will be first in the world in mathematics and science achievement" received the public's lowest priority ranking among the six national education goals adopted by the President and governors. ${ }^{1 s}$
# IABII: +. 1 <br>   

PERE FNTAGE OF STUDENTS IN SCHOOI S WITH PRIORTTIES
IN PARTICUAR CURRICULUM AREAS

| Has your school <br> Identified any of the <br> following subjects as <br> a spedal priofty? | Sclence | Mathematics | Reading | Wrting |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 4 | $\mathbf{4 5 ( 2 . 9 )}$ | $\mathbf{8 2 ( 2 . 9 )}$ | $95(1.7)$ | $78(2.4)$ |
| Grade 8 | $\mathbf{4 0 ( 4 . 4 )}$ | $64(3.6)$ | $76(3.5)$ | $67(3.8)$ |
| Grade 12 | $35(4.1)$ | $57(4.1)$ | $69(4.0)$ | $75(3.0)$ |

The standard errors of the estimated percentages appear in parentheses. It can be said with 95 percent certainty that for each population of interest, the value for the whole population is within plus or minus two standard errors of the estimate for the sample.
The 1990 Science Report Cord: NAEP's Assescment of Fourth, Eighth, and Tweffh Croders (National Center for Education Statistics, U.S. Department of Education, 1992).
is Science for all Americans: A Project 2061 Report om Literacy Gowls in Sience, Mathematics, und Terhnology (Washington, D.C.: American Association for the Advancement of Science, 1989).
Educating Scientists and Engineers: Grade School to Grad School (Washington, D.C.: Office of Technology Assessment, 1988).
Fulflling the Promise: Bfolosy Education in the Nation's Shools (Washington, D.C.: National Research Council, Committee on High-School Blology Education, 1990).
${ }^{17}$ Stanley M. Elam, et al., The 23rd Gallup Poll of the Public's Attitudes Toward the Public Schools, Phi Deita Kuppan 73(1): 41-56, 1991.
is America 2000: An Educhtion Stratesy (Washington, D.C.: U.S. Depantment of Education, 1991). Govemors' Association, 1991 ).

## FREQUENCYOF SCIENCE

INSTRUCTION AT GRADE 4 That schools do not consider science a priority is further evidenced by the low frequency of science insiruction in slementary schools. TABLE 4.2 shows fourth-grade students' reports on the frequency of their science instruction in school. Twenty-eight percent of fourth graders reported having science instruction about once a week or even less frequently, and only about half reported having science instruction almost every day.

The importance of frequent science instruction is supported by students' proficiency results. Fourth graders who received science instruction several times a week or more had higher average proficiency than did students who received science instruction about once a week, less than once a week, or never. In addition, even those fourth graders who received only infrequent science instruction - about once a week or less than once a week - had higher average proficiency than did students who reported never receiving science instruction.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ITBI.I. } 4.2
\end{aligned}
$$

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AlmOSY } \\ & \text { EVRY DAY } \end{aligned}$ |  | sfinital hams A WVIK |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ABOUI oNG } \\ \text { A wetk } \end{gathered}$ |  | Ifss illand ONCI A Wrik |  | NH:R |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% of Students | Ang. <br> Profic. | \% of Students | Avg. <br> Profic. | \% of Students | Avg. <br> Profic. | \% of 5 ter dents | Avg. <br> Profic. | $\%$ of Stu. dents | Avg. <br> Profic. |
| Grede 4 | 51 (1.9) | 235 (1.1) | 21 (0.9) | 236 (1.5) | 14 (1.0) | 230 (1.5) | 8 (0.7) | 227 (2.0) | 6 (0.7) | 217 (2.8) |
| Male | 51 (1.9) | 237 (1.3) | 22 (1.1) | 237 (2.2) | 13 (1.1) | 232 (1.9) | 8 (0.7) | 226 (2.7) | 6 (0.8) | 218 (3.2) |
| Female | 51 (2.2) | 234 (1.3) | 20 (1.2) | 235 (1.6) | 15 (1.3) | 228 (2.1) | 8 (0.8) | 227 (2.6) | 5 (0.8) | 216 (4.4) |
| White | 54 (2.1) | 243 (1.1) | 22 (1.1) | 246 (1.6) | 12 (1.1) | 242 (1.7) | 8 (0.8) | 238 (2.2) | $5(0.8)$ | 230 (3.3) |
| Black | 46 (2.9) | 209 (1.8) | 20 (1.9) | 207 (3.0) | 17 (1.7) | 203 (1.9) | $10(1.1)$ | 201 (4.0) | 7 (1.1) | 192 (4.0) |
| Hispanic | 44 (3.4) | 216 (2.1) | 20 (1.5) | 213 (2.2) | 18 (1.9) | 211 (3.0) | 9 (1.0) | 205 (2.9) | $10(1.4)$ | 203 (4.0) |
| Astion/Paclfic tslander | 39 (5.3) | 240 (6.5) | 24 (2.6) | 230 (4.5) | 21 (3.2) | 230 (4.1) | 8 (2.1) | 232 (8.8) | $9(4.1)$ | 223 (10.9) |
| Anerican Indian | 51 (5.0) | 228 (3.6) | 19 (3.0) | 229 (6.8) | 13 (3.8) | 233 (6.9) | 10 (3.2) | 216 (8.6) | 6 (2.8) | 201 (7.6) |

[^11]
## STUDENTS'ATTITUDES

TOWARD SCIENCE Each student in the 1990 science assessment was asked to respond to the question "Do you like science?" The results to this inquiry, presented in TABLE 4.3, show that 80 percent of fourth graders reported they liked science and there were no significant differences between the responses of males and females or among students from different racial/ethnic subgroups. Students' affinity for science, however, decreased from elementary school to secondary school. At grade 12, fewer than two-thirds of the students reported liking science, although there continued to be no significant differences in the responses of high school seniors from different racial/ethnic subgroups. In contrast, however, was the difference in the responses of males and females at both grades 8 and 12. At grade 8,64 percent of females reported liking science, a significantly lower percentage than the 72 percent of males who liked science. At grade 12 , only 57 percent of females reported liking science - a substantially lower percentage than the $\mathbf{7 4}$ percent of male twelfth graders who reported that they liked science.

As might be expected, at all three grades, the average proficiency of students who reported liking science was higher than the proficiency of students who did not like science. This difference in proficiency between students who did and did not like science also occurred at all three grades for both male and female students and for students in each of the five racial/ethnic subgroups, with the exception of eighth-grade American Indian students, where there was no significant difference in the average proficiency of students who liked science and that of students who did not like science. Interestingly, at grade 8 , among students who reported not liking science, females had higher proficiency than males, but among students who reported liking science, males had higher proffciency. Similarly, at grade 12, there was no significant difference in the science proficiency of male and female students who reported not liking science, but among the students who responded that they did like science, males had higher average proficiency than females.

## 1 13II + 3

##  

|  | YES |  | NO |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pricint of Sturlouts | Ararige Proficiency | Procent of Students | Anerge <br> Preficiancy |
| Grader 1 | 80 (0.8) | 237 (1.0) | 20 (0.8) | 220 (1.4) |
| Mime | 81 (1.0) | 238 (1.2) | 19 (1.0) | 218 (2.0) |
| Famala | 78 (1.0) | 235 (1.2) | 22 (1.0) | 222 (1.6) |
| Mrite | 81 (0.9) | 245 (1.1) | 19 (0.9) | 231 (1.5) |
| Binch | 75 (1.9) | 208 (1.7) | 25 (1.9) | 199 (2.3) |
| Misponk | 76 (1.4) | 217 (1.5) | 24 (1.4) | 199 (2.5) |
| Adima/Pactick miander | $78(5,7)$ | 238 (2.9) | 22 (5.7) | 217 (4.3) |
| Anemican indion | 80 (4.1) | 230 (3.1) | 21 (4.1) | 212 (5.1) |
| CRAOE A | 68 (1.0) | 269 (1.2) | 32 (1.0) | 251 (1.4) |
| male | 72 (1.1) | 272 (1.5) | 28 (1.1) | 248 (2.0) |
| Female | 64 (1.2) | 266 (1.5) | 36 (1.2) | 253 (1.6) |
| Onite | 67 1.1) | 280 (1.2) | 33 (1.1) | 258 (1.6) |
| Bhack | 70 (2.1) | 235 (2.3) | 30 (2.1) | 223 (2.9) |
| Mispanic | 71 (2.1) | 245 (2.7) | 29 (2.1) | 233 (2.9) |
| Aslan/Pactic falmider | 70 (4.6) | 277 (4.5) | 31 (4.6) | 256 (5.0) |
| American indian | 71 (5.9)! | 254 (12.5)! | 29 (3.9)! | 246 (6.8)! |
| CRADI 1: | 65 (0.7) | 303 (1.3) | 35 (0.7) | 276 (1.2) |
| Male | 74 (0.9) | 307 (1.6) | 26 (0.9) | 275 (1.9) |
| Female | 57 (1,1) | 298 (1.3) | 43 (1.1) | 277 (1.4) |
| Whtte | 66 (0.9) | 312 (1.4) | $34(0.9)$ | 284 (1.3) |
| Black | 60 (1.8) | 263 (2.9) | 40 (1.8) | 247 (3.0) |
| Mlapmic | 68 (2.3) | 279 (3.0) | 32 (2.3) | 261 (3.9) |
| Adin/Pactif bilander | 69 (3.5) | 320 (7.8) | 31 (3.5) | 284 (5.0) |
| Americien indion | 71 (6.5)! | 298 (5.3)! | 29 (6.5)! | 257 (6.0)! |

The standard errors of the extimated percentages and proficiencies appear in parentheses. It can be said with 95 percent certainty that for each population of interest, the value for the whole population is within plus or mimus two standard errors of the extimate for the sample.
I Interpret with caution - the nature of the sample does not allow accurate determination of the variability of these estimated statistics.
The 1990 Science Report Cord: NAEP's Assessment of fouth, Eighth, and Twetth Crodens (National Center for Education Statistics, U.S. Department of Education, 1992).

STUDENTS' EXPERIENCES WITH

## SIX TYPES OF SCIENCEPROIECTS

OREXPERIMENTS Research evidence shows that meaningfid experiences involving science activities and projects facilitate children's learning in science. ${ }^{19}$ For this reason, the NAEP student questionnaires probed the extent to which students participated in selected science experiences. Specifically, students were asked whether they had done experiments or projects at home or in school with plants or animals, electricity, chemicals, rocks or minerals, a telescope, and a thermometer or barometer. These results are shown in TABLE 4.4. For each of the six activities, about half of the fourth graders reported that they had conducted the activity either in school or at home, ranging from 58 percent who reported having done projects or experiments with animals or plants to 41 and 42 percent who reported having worked with chemicals and a telescope, respectively. The only notable difference in the responses by gender at the fourth-grade level was in experiences with electricity. Sixty percent of the males, compared to 46 percent of the females, reported having done experiments or projects with electricity. As might be expected, the percentages of students who reported having done each of the six types of experiments or projects increased from grade 4 to grade 8 and from grade 8 to grade 12, reaching approximately 70 to 80 percent for five of the six activities at grade 12 . However, the difference between the percentages of males and females in regard to experience with electricity also existed at grades 8 and 12 .

Analyses were also conducted in which students were grouped by the number of the six experiments or projects they reported having done (none, 1 or 2,3 or 4 , and 5 or 6). These results, presented in TABLE 4.5, show that only 15 percent of fourth graders, 35 percent of eighth graders, and 55 percent of twelfth graders reportid having conducted experiments or projects using at least five of these six very common types of science materials. At grades 4 and 8 , similar percentages of males and females reported having used five or six of the listed materials, but at grade 12, a higher percentage of males than females had used five or six of the types of materials.

Some significant differences in the percentages of students who had done five or six of the types of experiments or projects existed across racial/ethnic subgroups at all three grade levels. Perhaps the most striking disparity occurred at grade 8, where 38 percent of White students, compared to 28 percent of Hispanic and 22 percent of Black students, had done experiments using five or six of the materials. Additionally, at grade 12, significant differences existed among students from different types of communities.

[^12]R. Driver, et al., Children's Ideas in Science (Philadelphia, PA: Open University Press, 1985).

## I 1BI I +. 4 <br> Sintenis Reporvembenms 

## AVERACE PROFICIENCY

| Have you ever done experiments or projects at home or in school with . . . 7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Plants or } \\ & \text { Aasimats } \end{aligned}$ | Electidity | Chamicals | Rocks or Minerals | Telescope | Thermometer or Beromiter |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADE it | 58 (0.8) | 53 (1.2) | 41 (0.7) | $50(1.2)$ | 42 (0.8) | 46 (1.1) |
| Male | 58 (1.0) | 60 (1.4) | 41 (1.1) | 50 (1.2) | 43 (1.1) | 46 (3.4) |
| Female | 57 (1.3) | 46 (1.5) | 41 (1.0) | 51 (1.7) | 41 (1.0) | 46 (1.4) |
| White | 58 (1.0) | 53 (1.5) | 42 (1.0) | 51 (1.4) | 42 (0.9) | 45 (1.3) |
| Buack | 53 (1.5) | 53 (1.6) | 38 (1.8) | 47 (1.5) | 40 (2.0) | 49 (2.0) |
| Mrpanic | 57 (1.6) | 55 (1.9) | 40 (1.5) | 47 (1.8) | 46 (2.0) | 48 (1.8) |
| Arima/Pactic fislander | 64 (3.8) | 52 (6.1) | 38 (4.7) | 48 (5.9) | 46 (3.4) | 37 (6.0) |
| Amperican Indian | 70 (3.4) | 58 (3.6) | 39 (4.7) | 53 (3.0) | 45 (3.9) | 57 (3.5) |
| GFAOL \% | 72 (1.1) | 65 (1.2) | 63 (1.4) | 58 (1.3) | 47 (0.9) | 54 (1.2) |
| Maste | 71 (1.2) | 75 (1.2) | 64 (1.5) | 57 (1.4) | 49 (1.2) | 52 (1.3) |
| Female | 73 (1.5) | 54 (1.4) | 61 (1.6) | 59 (1.6) | 45 (1.1) | 56 (1.6) |
| White | 74 (1.2) | 67 (1.4) | 65 (1.6) | 60 (1.5) | 49 (1.1) | 56 (1.5) |
| Bleck | 64 (2.0) | 58 (2.5) | 57 (2.3) | 51 (2.1) | 36 (2.3) | 47 (2.6) |
| Misparic | 68 (1.8) | 60 (2.3) | 55 (1.9) | 54 (2.5) | 44 (1.7) | 49 (2.5) |
| Aslon/Pactific lislander | 73 (4.2) | 70 (3.0) | 64 (3.3) | 54 (4.8) | 36 (3.3) | 46 (5.1) |
| Americin Indian | 59 (14.4)! | 60 (7.8)! | 60 (12.5)! | 58 (9.2)! | 49 (5.0) | 56 (6.4)! |
| GRadi 12 | 85 (0.7) | 72 (1.0) | 81 (0.7) | 68 (1.0) | 54 (0.8) | 69 (1.0) |
| Male | 84 (0.9) | 82 (0.9) | 83 (0.7) | 68 (1.2) | 56 (1.1) | 70 (1.1) |
| Pemale | 85 (0.8) | 63 (1.4) | 80 (1.0) | 68 (1.3) | 52 (1.1) | 69 (1.3) |
| White | 86 (0.7) | 74 (1.1) | 83 (0.8) | 70 (1.0) | 55 (1.0) | 71 (1.2) |
| Plack | 79 (1.8) | $65.2 .0)$ | 77 (1.8) | 62 (2.3) | 51 (2.3) | 63 (1.6) |
| Hispanic | 83 (1.9) | 64 (2.2) | 76 (1.8) | 64 (2.5) | 53 (2.4) | 63 (2.1) |
| Adm/Pacticic istander | 84 <br> 78 <br> 8 | 74 (2.3) | 81 (5.6) | 63 (5.2) | 49 (3.6) | 74 (2.4) |
| Americon indian | 78 (7.0)! | 72 (9.9) | 74 (7.2)! | 61 (5.2)! | 42 (8.5)! | 62 (6.6)! |

[^13]Sixty-three percent of twelfth graders from advantaged urban communities had used five or six of the materials, while only 47 percent of their counterparts from disadvantaged urban communities had done so.

The number of the six experiments or projects conducted by students was positively related to student proficiency at grades 8 and 12 . At both grades, students who had used at least five of the six materials in experiments or projects had higher average profi-
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The standard errors of the estimated percentages and proficiencies appear in parentheses. It can be said with 95 percent certainty that for each population of interest, the value for the whole population is within plus or minus two standard errors of the estimate for the sample.
Interpret with caution - the nature of the sample does not allow accurate determination of the variability of these estimated statistics.
The 1990 Science Report Cord: NAEP's Assessment of fourth, Eighth, and Twefth Graders (National Center for Education Statistics, U.S. Department of Education, 1992).
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! Interpret with caution - the nature of the sample does nof allow accurate detemination of the variability of these estimated statistics. The 1990 Science Report Cord: NAEP's Assessment of Fourth, Eighth, and Twefth Groders (National Center for Education Statistics, U.S. Department of Education, 1992).
ciency than did students who had used three or four; and these students, in turn, had higher average proficiency than students who had used only one or two of the materials. Students who reported not having conducted any of these experiments had the lowest average science proficiency. This relationship between average student proficiency and the numbers of types of science materials used did not occur at grade 4.

## STUDENTS'EXPERIENCES

IN SCIENCE CLASSROOMS Eighth and twelfth graders were also asked how frequently they performed several different activities in their science classes and the teachers of the eighth-grade students were asked how frequently their students performed these same activities during science class. Eighth graders' reports and those of their teachers, as well as the results for twelfth-grade students who said they were currently enrolled in a science class, are shown in TABLES 4.6 and 4.7. While most of the
activities appear to have occurred at least occasionally for a majority of the students, reading a science textbook was one of the most frequent activities in science classes - 60 percent of eighth-grade students and 46 percent of twelfth-grade students in science classes reported reading their textbooks several times a week or more. Perhaps the most

## TilisII: 4.6

stakents and leah hers Reperts ont levanoshs Discussions, and Problem bolving in Scome ( lass

| When you study sclence, how often do you. . . 7 | PFRCENTAGE OF STUDENTS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Several Tlimes a Week or More | About Once Weak or Less | Never |
| Rend a Sclence Textbook <br> Grade 8 Students <br> Grade 12 Sclence Students | $\begin{aligned} & 60(1.8) \\ & 46(0.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30(1.2) \\ & 29(0.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10(1.1) \\ & 25(1.0) \end{aligned}$ |
| Grade 8 Teachers | 54 (3.4) | 42 (2.9) | 5 (1.6) |
| Discuss a Sclence Mows Event Grade 8 Students Grade 12 Science Students | $\begin{aligned} & 34(1.4) \\ & 25(0.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47(0.9) \\ & 48(0.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20(1.2) \\ & 27(1.1) \end{aligned}$ |
| Grade 8 Teachers | 29 (3.3) | 71 (3.3) | 1 (0.3) |
| Work on a Science Problem Grade 8 Students Grade 12 Sclence Students | $\begin{aligned} & 41(1.6) \\ & 44(1.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41(1.2) \\ & 31(0.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19(1.2) \\ & 25(1.0) \end{aligned}$ |
| Grade 8 Teachers | 40 (3.5) | 57 (3.5) | 3 (0.9) |

The standard errors of the estimated percentages appear in parentheses. It can be said with 95 percent certainty that for each population of interest, the value for the whole population is within plus or minus two standard errors of the estimate for the sample.
The 1990 Scemse Report Cond: NAEP's Assexsment of Fourth, Eighth, and Iwefth Groders (National Center for Education Statistics, U.S. Department of Education, 1992).
disconcerting results occurred at grade 12, where approximately one-fourth of the students reported never discussing a science news event, working on a science problem, or doing a science experiment in their science classes. In addition, about half of the eighth graders and about half of the twelfth graders enrolled in science classes reported never giving an oral or written report in their science classes.

A further examination of eighth-grade students' results by racial/ethnic group revealed that 29 percent of Black students and 26 percent of Hispanic students reported never doing a science experiment, as compared to a significantly lower percentage of White students ( 19 percent). If, as indicated by the NAEP data, experience with science activities is related to higher achievement, then this result, along with the disparities

# $1 \mathrm{BBI} 1+7$ <br>  <br>  

| Whan you study science, how often do yous. . ? | Percintace or students |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | About Once a Medt or More | Less Than Once a Meek | Never |
| Give an Oral or Whitten Sclance Report |  |  |  |
| Grade 8 Students Grade 12 Science Students | $\begin{aligned} & 14(0.8) \\ & 13(0.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38(1.7) \\ & 34(1.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 49(1.9) \\ & 53(1.2) \end{aligned}$ |
| Grade 8 Teachers | 15 (2.1) | 71 (2.2) | 14 (1.8) |
| Do Science Experiments |  |  |  |
| Grade 8 Students | 41 (1.8) | 38 (1.5) | 21 (1.1) |
| Grade 12 Science Students | 51 (1.4) | 24 (0.9) | 26 (1.1) |
| Grade 8 Teachers | 62 (3.2) | 34 (3.0) | 4 (1.1) |

The standard errors of the estimated percentages appear in parentheses. It can be said with 95 percent centainty that for each population of interest, the value for the whole population is within plus or minus two standard errors of the estimate for the sample.

The 1990 Science Report Card: NAEP's Assessment of Fowth, Eighth, and Tweffth Graders (National Center for Education Statistics, U.S. Department of Education, 1992).
discussed earlier in this chapter regarding the relatively lower percentages of Black and Hispanic students who had done different types of experiments or projects, demonstrates that the very groups of students who should be getting more experiences in science are actually getting less.

The results in TABLFS 4.6 and 4.7 also portray large differences between eighthgrade students and their teachers in the perceptions of how frequently different activities are done during science class. For example, 21 percent of the eighth graders reported never doing science experiments. According to their teachers, only 4 percent of these students never did science experiments. Similarly, 49 percent of the eighth-grade students said they never gave oral or written reports, in contrast to their teachers, who indicated that only 14 percent of these students never gave reports in science class.

## SUMMARY Results from student, teacher, and school questionnaires adminis-

 tered as part of the 1990 science assessment plainly indicate that science is not faring well in our nation's schools. Less than half of the elementary schools and only about one-third of the high schools have identified science education as a special priority despite many national calls for increased emphasis on science in schools. Only half of our nation's fourth graders are receiving science instruction almost every day and more than 25percent receive science instruction about once a week or less, notwithstanding accumulating evidence, supported by results from this assessment, that shows a positive relationship between frequency of instruction and student science proficiency.

Most students report that they like science, yet the percentage of students who do so decreases from grade 4 to grades 8 and 12 . Oniy slightly more than half of the twelfthgrade students have done experiments or projects using five or six common types of science materials and equipment and the percentages of Black and Hispanic eighth- and twelfth-grade students who have done so are significantly lower than the percentages of their White grade-level counterparts. Reading science textbooks remains a frequent activity in eighth-and twelfth-grade science classes, but about one-fourth of the highschool seniors who were enrolled in a science class reported that they never discussed a science news event, worked on science problems, or did experiments in their sclence class. More than half reported that they did not give an oral or written report in their science class. These results send a clear message: If students' science achievement is to improve, then school science - particularly meaningful instructional activities in science classes need to receive additional emphasis.
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## E

 they will need to participate fully in our technological society has been accepted as a major goal for science education in the 1990s and beyond. ${ }^{20}$ While preparing students for advanced study in science is vitally important, the primary emphasis of several recent science reform initiatives is on developing interest, confidence, and problem-solving abilities on the part of all students. ${ }^{21}$ A particularly important correlative goal is to engage more female students and students from underrepresented racial/ethnic groups in science activities and courses that lead to careers in scientific and related technical fields. To what extent are fourth-, eighth-, and twelfth-grade students engaged in various instructional activities in their science classes? What are science teachers' instructional goals? In this[^14]chapter, these instructional goals and practices in the science classroom are reported, with an emphasis on how current goals and practices relate to the achievement of the goal of scientific literacy for all students.

## ABILITY GROUPING IN EIGHTH-GRADE

## SCIENCE CLASSES Traditionally, students have often been grouped for

 instruction by their level of ability. This practice is based on the assumption that students leam best when grouped homogeneously by ability because the teacher can better align instruction to the level of the group. Alternatively, some research evidence has demonstrated both the ineffectiveness of ability grouping and the feasibility of heterogeneous grouping as an aiternative. ${ }^{22}$ For example, because economically disadvantaged students may start school at an educational disad', mntage, they are often perceived as lacking in academic ability and are likely to be placed in low-level classes where instruction is conceptually simplified, proceeds at a slower pace, and exposes them to less content. ${ }^{23}$> IXBI.I: 5.1
> 1.nhehersade se ienteleahers Reports on Whilit! \{rouphing

REPORTS ON THE PREVALENCE OF ABJLTY GROUPING IN SCIENCE CLASSES:
YES. STUDENTS CROUPED BY ABILITY

| Percent of <br> Stesdents | Average <br> Proficiency | Purcent of <br> Students | Average <br> Proficiency |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $25(3.0)$ | $269(3.0)$ | $75(3.0)$ | $266(1.7)$ |

REPORTS ON ABILTTY LEVELS OF SCIENCE CLASSES:

| PRIMARIIY HICHABILITY |  | Primarliy <br> AVIRACE ABIITTY |  | PRIMARIIY LOW ABHITYY |  | ABIITTY <br> Mix!D WiDHY |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent of students | Avernge Profictency | Percent of studarts | Average Proficiency | Percent of Students | Average Proficiancy | Percent of students | Average Proficiency |
| 16 (1.4) | 290 (1.9) | 36 (2.2) | 267 (1.4) | 11 (1.7) | 244 (3.7) | 37 (3.0) | 263 (2.8) |

Th: standard errors of the estimated percentages and proficiencies appear in panentheses. It can be said with 95 percent certainty that for each population of interest, the value for the whole population is within plus or minus two standard errors of the estimate for the sample.
The 1990 Science Report Card: NAEP's Assessment of Fourth, Eighth, ond Twelth Groden (National Center for Education Statistics, U.S. Department of Education, 1992).

22 A. Gamoran and M. Berends, The Effects of Stratification in Secondary Schools: Synthesis of Survey and Ethnographic Research, Revew of Education Research 57: 415-435, 1987.
${ }^{23}$ Curtis C. McKniglis, et al., The Underachigving Curriculunt: Assessing U.S. School Mathematics from an Intematonal Perspective (Champaign, IL: Stipes Pubilishing Co., 1987).

As part of the 1990 science assessment, science teachers of eighth-grade students were asked whether students were assigned to their science classes by ability. These results are presented in TABL.E 5.1. According to their teachers, only about one-fourth of the eighth graders were assigned to science classes based on ability level. Nevertheless, also according to teachers, most students were in homogencously grouped science classes. As shown in TABLE 5.1, 36 percent of the students were in classes comprised of primarily average-ability students, 16 percent were in primarily high-ability classes, and 11 percent were in primarily low-ability science classes, as compared to 37 percent in science classes that included students of widely mixed ability levels.

## SCIENCE CONTENT EMPHASIS IN

## EIGHTH-GRADE SCIENCE CLASSES Aspart of the focus on

 scientific literacy for all students, several major projects are attempting to define what students should know and be able to do in science by the time they complete high school. Project 2061, a major undertaking of the American Association for the Advancement of Science, is based on the premise that, rather than trying to teach more and more content, science instruction should focus on building interconnections between key scientific concepts generally taught within separate courses. ${ }^{24}$ The Scope, Sequence, and Coordination project of the National Science Teachers Association aims to replace the traditional curriculum, in which life, physical, and earth sciences are taught as distinct courses, with a curriculum in which each of these major disciplines of science is studied every year from grades 7 through $12 .^{25}$ In this curriculum, science content would be sequenced in a developmentally appropriate way, proceeding from the concrete to the abstract. A science curriculum proposed by the National Center for Improving Science Education in its report, The High Stakes of High School Science, recommends that all students take a core science curriculum through grade 10 . This approach would embody a fundamental, integrated understanding of the traditional scientific disciplines, tollowed by two years in which students could choose either an academic or a technology-oriented course of science study. ${ }^{26}$As shown in TABLE 5.2, the curricula proposed in these reforms have not yet been incorporated into schools' science programs. Eighty-three percent of all eighth-grade students were enrolled in discipline-specific science classes, with 51 percent enrolled in

[^15]
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The standard errors of the estimated percentages and proficiencies appear in parentheses. It can be said with 95 percent certainty that for each population of in'erest, the value for the whole population is within plus or minus two standard errors of the estimate for the sample.
! Interpret with caution - the nature of the sample does not allow accurate determination of the variability of these estimated statistics.
The 1990 Science Report Card: NAEP's Assessment of fourth, fight, and Twelfth Gropers (National Center for Education Statistics, U.S. Department of Education. 1992)
classes that emphasized earth science and 25 percent in classes that emphasized physical science. Seventeen percent of the students were enrolled in science courses described as general or integrated. This same emphasis on earth science or physical science content occurred regardless of whether students attended schools in advantaged urban, disadvantaged urban, extreme rural, or other types of communities.

## TEACHERS' INSTRUCTIONAL GOALS IN

EICHTH-GRADESCIENCE CLASSES While there is not yet agreement in the science education community about the specific science content that should be taught at each grade, consensus is emerging on some major points, including support for the contention that "less is more," ie., that the emphasis in science classes should be on students' in-depth understanding of a smaller number of important concepts rather than on the more superficial knowledge of a myriad of facts and definitions. ${ }^{27}$ Similarly, there is consensus about the importance of students' abilities to apply science content to situations they know and care about, including applications in daily life, in technology, and to issues of societal concern. Over the next two years, standards for science curricula are to be developed under the aegis of the National Academy of Sciences.

[^16]While these standards are being developed, what are teachers attempting to achieve with their science instruction? As part of NAEP's 1990 science questionnaires, science teachers of eighth graders were asked to indicate the degree of emphasis thai they gave to each of a number of instructional objectives for their sciencu classes. TABLE 5.3 shows the percentages of eighth-grade students whose teachers reported placing heavy, moxderate, little, or no emphasis on each of a number of instructional objectives. These responses indicate that teachers apply some but not all of the tenets of the science curricular reforms.

> TABIIE 5.3
> I ighth-e rade Science leacher' Reports of Emphasis on Various Instructional ()hjectives in Science ( lass

| Instructional Objective | PERCENTACE OF STUDENTS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Heavy Emphessls | Montarate Emphasis | Littie Emphasis | No Emphasis |
| Understanding key science concepis | 86 (1.9) | 14 (1.9) | 0 (0.1) | 0 (0.0) |
| Developing interest in science | 61 (2.7) | 37 (2.7) | 2 (0.6) | 0 (0.0) |
| Developling confidence in ability to understand science and apply that understanding | 58 (3.0) | 38 (2.9) | 5 (1.2) | 0 (0.0) |
| Developing problem-solving skllls | 54 (3.0) | 41 (3.1) | 6 (1.8) | 0 (0.0) |
| Knowing sclence facts and terminology | 46 (2.7) | 51 (2.5) | 3 (1.1) | 0 (0.0) |
| Understanding the application of science in Industry and everyday life | 45 (3.1) | 45 (3.4) | 10 (1.8) | 0 (0.2) |
| Leaming about the relevance of sclence to society | 45 (3.1) | 44 (3.4) | 11 (1.9) | 0 (0.1) |
| Preparing for further study in science | 43 (2.4) | 48 (2.7) | $9(1.8)$ | 0 (0.2) |
| Knowing how to communicate kdems In science effectlvely | 38 (2.1) | 44 (2.6) | 17 (2.3) | 1 (0.4) |
| Developing skills in intorratory techniques | 38 (2.7) | 39 (2.3) | 21 (2.1) | 3 (0.9) |
| Understanding the nature of science as a discipline | 21 (2.3) | 50 (2.9) | 27 (2.7) | 2 (0.7) |

[^17]Eighth-grade science teachers concurred with reformers that conceptual knowledge is important - virtually all eighth graders had teachers who placed heavy or moderate emphasis on developing an understanding of important science concepts. Also, 90 percent of the students had teachers who placed heavy or moderate emphasis on the applications of science in industry and everyday life. However, 97 percent of eighth-grade students attended science classes where teachers continued to place heavy or moderate emphasis on knowing science facts and terminology. In addition, about one-fourth of the students attended classes that placed little or no emphasis on developing skills in lat oratory techniques or on ti:e understanding of the nature of science as a discipline.

## teachers' insiructional practices

IN SCIENCE CLASSROOMS While curricula and instructional emphasis may be mandated to some extent by state and district curriculum guidelines, responses collected from teachers as part of the 1990 assessment indicate that what teachers choose to emphasize cannot be attributed primarily to those influences. As shown in TABLE. 5.4, a large majority of eighth graders are taught science by teachers who reported that they had a great deai of freedom in making decisions about the way they taught their science classes, and 60 percent had science teachers who reported having a great deal of freedom in making decisions about curriculum. Yet nearly half of the eighth graders had science teachers whe chose to rely on textbooks to determine what they teach. This may not be surprising in view of the fact that nearly 40 percent of the students had teachers who indicated that their facilities for teaching laboratory science were inadequate. Additionally, 35 percent of the students had teachers who reported that they were poorly supplied with materials and resources.

# I:\BI.1. 5.4 <br> I ighth emate Science leachers Repents on  

|  | STRONGLYAGREE ACREE |  | NO OPINTON |  | DISACREE STRONGLY DISAGREE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent of Strulemts | Average Proficiency | Percent of Students | Average <br> Proficiency | Parcent of Students | Averige Proficiency |
| I have a great deal of freedom in makling decisions about the winy I teach my sclence classes. | 91 (1.9) | 265 (1.4) | 2 (0.6) | 257 (8.1) | 7 (1.4) | 266 (3.2) |
| My faclitios for tenching labora:tory sclence are adequate. | 56 (3.0) | 267 (2.0) | $6(1.5)$ | 267 (4.3) | 39 (2.8) | 263 (1.8) |
| I an well supplled whth hostructional materials and resources. | 56 (3.1) | 269 (1.6) | $9(1.8)$ | 261 (5.0) | 35 (3.0) | 261 (2.0) |
| I have a great deal of freedom in making deckions about curriculam. | 59 (3.3) | 266 (1.7) | $12(1.9)$ | 264 (3.2) | 29 (2.7) | 264 (2.1) |
| I rely primarly on textbooks to determine what I teach. | 48 (3.0) | 262 (1.9) | 7 (1.3) | 269 (3.1) | 46 (3.0) | 268 (2.1) |

The standard errors of the estimated percentages and proficiencies appear in parentheses, It can be said with 95 percent certainty that for each population of interest, the value for the whole population is within plus or minus two standard errors of the estimate for the sample.
The 1990 Science Report Cord: NAEP's Assessment of Founth, Eighth, and Twefth Groders (National Center for Education Statistics, U.S. Department of Education, 1992).

Teachers were also provided with list of possible science class activities and asked to indicate those that took place during their most recent lesson in their eighth-grade science classes. The results are summarized in FIGURE: 5.1.

As was the case with middle/junior high school science classes in both 1977 and 1985-86,'4 most eighth graders' science classes in 1990 included lecture ( 84 perrent) and discussion ( 91 percent). Other activities, including teacher demonstrations, reading about science, small group work, and work with hands-on materials were somewhat less common. Very few students did field work or used computers during their most recent science class.
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The standard errors of the estimated percentages appear in parentheses. It can be sard with 95 percent certainty that for each population of interest, the value for the whole population is within plus or minus two standard errors of the estimate for the sample.
The 1990 Science Report Cand: NAEP's Assessment of Founth, fighth, and Tweith Groders (National Center for Education Statistics, U.S. Department of Education, 1992).

Eighth-grade students and those twelfth-grade students who were enrolled in a science course were asked how frequently their teachers used several different instructional approaches in their science class. The science teachers of the eighth graders were also asked how frequently they used some of these approaches. The responses, which are summarized in TABLE S.5, reveal that a majority of students at both grades $\mathbb{K}$ and 12 reported that their teachers lectured in science class several times a week or more. About 40 percent of eighth graders and 50 percent of twelfth graders reported that their teachers demonstrated scientific principles or asked about reasons for experimental results several times a week or more, as compared to the approximately 30 percent of the students at both grade levels who stated that their teachers asked for an opinion on a science issue that frequently. Nearly half of the eighth graders and one-third of the twelfth graders reported that their teachers never asked them to write up an experiment, and 79 percent of eighth graders and 68 percent of twelfth graders reported that they never used computers in science class.
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The standard errors of the estimated percentages and proficiencies appear in parentheses. It can be said with 95 percent certainty that for each population of interest, the value for the whole population is within plus or minus iwo standard errors of the estimate for the sample.
The 1990 Science Report Cord: NAEP's Assessment of Fourth, Eighth, and Twelfth Graders (National Center for Education Statistics, U.S. Department of Education;, 1992).

Eighth-grade students' responses regarding their teachers' instructional approaches differed significantly from their teachers' responses in several instances. For example, according to the teachers, only 7 percent of the eighth graders were never asked to write up an experiment, while $\mathbf{4 8}$ percent of the students reported never doing this activity.

Eighth and twelfth graders' average proficiency in relation to their participation in the various science class activities is not clear cut. However, those who reported never listening to lectures, watching their teachers perform demonstrations, or being asked to explain reasons for experimental results did have lower average proficiency than their classmates who reported at least some participation in these activities.

Working on science projects over an extended period of time can provide students
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| Do you ever do science projects in school that trike a week or more? |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 4 Students | 54 (1.2) | 235 (1.1) | 46 (1.2) | 232 (1.1) |
| Grade 8 Students | 59 (1.5) | 268 (1.3) | 41 (1.5) | 257 (1.6) |
| Grade 12 Science Students | 44 (1.4) | 308 (1.6) | 57 (1.4) | 291 (1.5) |
| Do you ever assign sclence projects that thke a week or more? |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 8 Teachers | 72 (3.1) | 266 (1.7) | 28 (3.1) | 266 (2.6) |

The standard errors of the estimated percentages appear in parentheses. It can be zaid with 95 percent centainty that for each population of interest, the value for the whote population is within plus or minus two standard errors of the estimate for the sample.
The 1990 Science Report Cand' NAEP's Assesment of Founth, fighth, and Tweflh Groders (National Center for fducation Statistics. U.S. Department of Education, 1992).
with an opportunity to apply their knowledge of science to the solution of a practical problem in a way that more closely approximates the way that scientists work than does a single classroom laboratory session. As can be seen in the results presented in TABLE 5.6, more than half of the students at grades 4 and 8 reported that they worked on science projects in school that took a week or more, and fewer than half of the twelfth graders in science classes reported doing such projects. At each of the three grades, students who
reported having completed longer science projects performed better than those who had not done so.

## HOW MUCH SCIENCE HOMEWORK

DO STUDENTSDO? One way to extend the instruction that takes place in school is through homework. Srudents' and eighth-grade teachers' reports of the amouns of time spent doing science homework each week are presented in TABI.E 5.7. The results indicate that relatively few students at any of the three grades spend a substantial amount of time on science homework. At grade 4 , about one-third of the students reported spending no time on science homework each week and only one-fifth reported spending one hour or more, as compared to the 36 percent of eighth graders who spent one or more hours on homework.

|  | $\text { T:1B1: }: 5.7$ <br> Sindents and leaders keports an k! lime >penton Seicmélomework |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NONE |  | ONE HALF HOUR |  | ONE HOUR |  |
|  | Percent of Students | Average Proficiency | Percent of Students | Aversge Proficiency | Percent of Students | Arerage Proficiency |
| Grade 4 Students | 32 (1.0) | 236 (1.1) | 42 (1.2) | 237 (1.1) | $14(0.6)$ | 229 (1.5) |
| Grade 8 Students | 20 (1.2) | 251 (1.8) | 41 (0.7) | 264 (1.2) | 20 (0.7) | 267 (1.6) |
| Grade 12 science Students | 41 (1.3) | 281 (1.5) | 19 (0.8) | 297 (1.9) | 16 (0.7) | 305 (2.2) |
| Grade 8 Teachers | 1 (0.2) | 245 (9.7) | 10 (2.1) | 259 (5.3) | 33 (2.9) | 266 (2.3) |
|  |  | TWO HOURS |  | MORE THAN TWO HOURS |  |  |
|  |  | Percent of Students | Avernge Proficiency |  | Percent of Students | Average Proiliciency |
| Grade 4 Students |  | 3 (0.2) | 220 (2.6) |  | 3 (0.2) | 213 (2.7) |
| Grade 8 Students |  | 9 (0.5) | 277 (1.9) |  | 7 (0.5) | 272 (2.6) |
| Grade 12 science |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 8 Teachers |  | 39 (3.6) | 267 (1.9) |  | 18 (2.4) | 270 (3.6) |

The standard ertors of the estimated percentages and proficiencies appear in parentheses. It can be said with 95 percent certainty that for each population of interest, the value for the whole population is within plus or minus two standard errors of the estimate for the sample. Percentages of Grade 4 students and Grade 8 students do not total 100 percent because small percentages of students at both grades reported that they did not have a science class.
The 1990 Science Report Cand: NAEP's Assessment of Fourth, Eighth, ond Twrith Croders (National Center for Education Statistics, U.S. Department of Education, 1992).

At grade 8, students who spent at least one-half hour on science homework per week had higher average proficiency levels than those who spent no time on science homework. However, eighth graders did not report doing nearly the amount of science homework per week that their teachers said they did. For example, 61 percent of the eighth graders reported spending only one-half hour or less on science homework per week and only 16 percent reported doing science homework for two hours or more. In contrast, their teachers reported that only 11 percent of the students spent one-half hour or less per week on science homework and that the majority ( 57 percent) were spending at least two hours, if not more time, on science homework.

At grade 12, there was a direct positive relationship between average science proficiency and time spent on homework, according to students' own reports. However, a surprisingly high. percentage of twelfth-grade students taking science courses - 41 percent - reported spending no time on science homework. Oniy one-fourth of these high school seniors reported spending at least two hours per week - roughly less than one-half hour per day - on their science homework.

SUMMARY If traditional science educational practices must be changed in order to ensure that all students achieve scientific literacy by the time they leave high school, as the national reform efforts discussed in this chapter contend, then results from the 1990) NAEP science assessment indicate that change in ongoing instructicnal practices should occur. According to teachers, eighth-grade science classrooms tended to maintain the traditional grouping of students by ability - 63 percent of eighth graders were grouped for instruction by ability. Most eighth-grade science classes also appeared to maintain a subject-specific content base, with more than three-fourths of the students taking classes that emphasized either earth science or physical science and 17 percent taking classes described as general or integrative.

Most eighth-grade science students were taught by teachers who placed heavy or moderate emphasis on understanding key concepts and the practical applications of science. However, 97 percent of eighth graders were taught by teachers who placed heavy or moderate emphasis on knowing scientific facts and terminology and about one-fourth were taught by teachers who put little or no emphasis on developing skills in laboratory techniques or on fostering an understanding of the nature of science. A large majority of eighth graders were taught by teachers who felt that they had a great deal of freedom to make decisions about how they teach science, suggesting that some reforms could take hold at the level of the individual classroom. However, nearly half of the eighth-grade students had teachers who reported relying primarily on textbooks to determine what
they taught. Also, more than one-third of the eighth-graders were taught by teachers who felt that their science laboratory facilities and instructional materials were inadequate.

Teachers' instructional approaches in science classrooms often focused on some traditional practices. A large majority of eighth-grade students - 84 percent - were taught by teachers who lectured in their most recent science class, compared to the 57 percent and 50 percent whose most recent science class involved work in small groups or the use of hands-on laboratory materials, respectively. Nearly half of the eighth graders and about one-third of the twelfth graders in science classes reported that their teachers never asked them to write up an experiment. Slightly more than half of the fourth-grade and eighth-grade students reported that they had done science projects that took a week or more, although fewer than half of the high school seniors taking science had done such projects. At all three grades, students who had worked on an extended science project had higher average proficiency than students who had not done so.

At grade 12, increases in amounts of time spent on science homework were related to progressively higher average science proficiency, and at grade 8 , students who spent at least some time on science homework had higher proficiency than those who spent no time on science homework. However, effort spent on homework at any of the three grades was not substantial. Only 20 percent of fourth graders and 36 percent of eighth graders reported spending one hour or more on science homework each week. Also, eighth graders reported spending far less time on their science homework than their teachers seemed to think they did. Furthermore, 41 percent of twelfth graders currently taking science reported spending no time on science homework each week.
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Twhe quality of science education depends to a large extent on the capabilities of the teachers assigned to teach science. This chapter describes the eighth-grade science teaching force, including information about teacher preparation for teaching science and demographic information, such as gender and race/ ethnicity. This information was collected by means of a teacher questionnaire administered to the science teachers of the eighth graders included in the NAEP sample. Result, are presented showing the percentages of students taught by teachers with particular characteristics.

CENDER AND RACE/ETHNICITY TABIEG. 1 summarizes the percentages of eighth-grade students taught by male, female, White, Black, Hispanic, and Asian/Pacific Islander science teachers. In general, these data and data presented later in this chapter regarding teachers' years of experience and undergraduate deyrees parallel results from the 1987-88 Schools and Staffing Survey (SASS) conducted by the U.S. Department of Education's National Center for Education Statistics. ${ }^{24}$ The data show that 5.5

[^18]percent of the eighth graders were taught science by males and 45 percent by females. Ninety percent of all eighth graders were taught by White science teachers and 95 percent $c_{i}$ the nation's White eighth graders were taught science by White teachers. In contrast, 28 percent of Black eighth graders had Black sclence teachers, 6 percent of Hispanic eighth

| $\text { C. } 131.6 .1$ <br>  <br>  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TEACHERS GENDER TEACHERS RACE ETHNICH |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | MARE <br> Parcent of stuplents | PEMAE <br> Percent of Students | MATE <br> Percent of 54 | chack <br> Percent of Students | MISPANC <br> Fercent of Stydents | asyay PACRIC BSMCDEA Percent of Students |
| Grade 8 Students | 55 (3.0) | 45 (3.0) | 90 (1.5) | 8 (1.2) | 2 (0.8) | 1 (0.4) |
| Male Female | $\begin{aligned} & 55(3.4) \\ & 54(3.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \text { (3.4) } \\ & 46(3.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 89(1.7) \\ & 90(1.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8(1.4) \\ & 8(1.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2(0.9) \\ & 2(0.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1(0.4) \\ & 1(0.4) \end{aligned}$ |
| White | 58 (3.3) | 43 (3.3) | 95 (1.2) | $4(0.8)$ | 1 (0.7) | 0 (0.1) |
| Black | 41 (5.7) | 59 (5.7) | 70 (4.8) | 28 (4.7) | 1 (0.4) | 2 (1.1) |
| Mispanic | 51 (3.1) | 49 (3.1) | 82 (3.9) | 9 (2.1) | 6 (3.3) | 2 (1.2) |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 56 (8.9) | 45 (8.9) | 86 (5.0) | 7 (3.5) | 1 (1.1) | 6 (3.6) |

The standand errors of the estimated percentages appear in parenthesws, it can be said with 95 percent centainty that for each population of interest, the value for the whote population is within plus of minus two standard errors on the estimate for the sample. When the percentage of students is either 0 or 100 , the standard error is inestimable. However, percentages 99.5 percent and greater were rounded to 100 percent, and percentages less than 0.5 percent were rounded to 0 perrent. Data are not presented for American indian students because breakdown by teachers' race/ethnicity resulted in too few students in each category.
graders had Hispanic science teachers, and 6 percent of Asian/Pacific Islander students had Asian/Pacific Islander science teachers. Although some research evidence has indicated that minority students may benefit from having teachers who serve as positive minority role models, these results show that there are relatively few Black, Hispanic, or Asian/ Pacific Islander eighth-grade science teachers."

YEARS OF TEACHINGEXPERIENCE The eighth-grade science teaching force is an experienced one, as shown by the data presented in TABLE 6.2. Eighth-grade science teachers reported an average of 14 years of elementary or secondary teaching experience and an average of 12 years of experience teaching science. This level of experience is comparable to the number of years of experience reported by eighth-grade mathematics teachers in 1990, who reported an average of 16 years overall teaching experience and 14 years of experience teaching mathematics. ${ }^{31}$ However, while more than one-third

[^19]
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|  | ELEMENTARY: SECONDARY TEACHINC EXPERIENCE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \text { YaNs ond } \\ & \text { LESS Expentice } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \text { Yens on } \\ & \text { mone ExPEance } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Avg. Yr. Tesching | Percent of Students | Averaye <br> Profichency | Pencent of Students | Averge Profidency | Percapt of Students | Avarage Proficimen |
| Total $\quad 14$ (0.6) | 24 (2.6) | 264 (2.8) | 30 (3.2) | 263 (1.9) | 46 (2.9) | 267 (1.6) |
| Male | 23 (2.6) | 265 (2.9) | 31 (3.6) | 264 (2.4) | 46 (3.3) | 271 (2.0) |
| Female | 25 (2.8) | 263 (3.5) | 29 (3.0) | 263 (2.1) | 45 (2.8) | 269 (1.7) |
| White | 24 (2.9) | 274 (2.5) | 30 (3.8) | 271 (2.7) | 47 (3.3) | 276 (1.5) |
| Black | 28 (5.0) | 233 (5.6) | 30 (3.3) | 235 (3.2) | 43 (4.4) | 232 (3.3) |
| Hispanic | 24 (2.9) | 249 (4.4) | 32 (4.7) | 244 (3.1) | 44 (3.6) | 246 (2.2) |
| Aslan/Padific tslander | 19 (4.7) | 268 (6.7) | 30 (6.8) | 274 (7.3) | 52 (9.4) | 278 (5.8) |
| American Indian | 13 (10.4)! | 251 (18.9)! | 64 (28.6)! | 250 (18.2)! | 23 (19.5)! | 255 (8.2)! |



The standard errors of the estimated percentages and proficiencies appear in parentheses. It can be said with 95 percent certainty that for each population of interest, the value for the whole population is within plus or minus two standard errors of the estimate for the sample.
I Interpert with caution - the nature of the sample does not allow accurate determination of the variability of this estimated statistic.

The 1990 Science Repont Cordt NAEP's Assessment of fourth, Eighth, ond Twelth Graders (National Center for Education Statistics, U.S. Department of Education, 1992).
of our nation's eighth graders were being taught by veteran teachers with more than 15 years of science teaching experience, nearly one-third were being taught science by teachers who had five or fewer years of science teaching experience. These percentages were generally similar across student gender and race/ethnicity subgroups.

There were no significant differences in the average science proficiency of students grouped by their teachers' years of overall teaching experience or years of science teaching
experience. Such a result is not unexpected, since so many factors, in addition to teacher experience, may affect students' achievement in science.

## LEVEL ANDTYPEOF

TEACHING CERTIFICATION TABLE 6.3 summarizes teachers' reports on their levels and types of teaching certification. Sixty-five percent of eighth-grade students were taught science by teachers who reported having the highest certification awarded by the state in which they taught; another 23 percent were taught by teachers with "regular" certification. The remaining 12 percent of the students were taught by teachers who were either not certified or had only provisional certification. Teachers were also asked if they were certified to teach particular areas, for example, middle school/ junior high school science. These results show tnat 88 percent of eighth graders were taught science by teachers who reported having certification in science at some grade level. There were no differences in average student proficiency when students were grouped by their teachers' reported level or type of certification. The lack of an apparent

# [ XIBII: 6.3 <br> lightherate Semen leaders Reportson Iheir l evel and hype ol leaching (ertification 

Percent of Students
Average Proficiency

| Leved of Certification |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| None, Temporary, Probational, Provisional, or Emergency | 12 (1.8) | 264 (3.8) |
| Regular Certification, but not the Highest | 23 (2.6) | 261 (2.8) |
| Highest Certification (Permanent or Long-Term) | $65(2.5)$ | 267 (1.8) |
| Type of Certification |  |  |
| Elementary Education | 32 (2.8) | 263 (2.2) |
| Middle/Juntor High Education | 44 (3.6) | 263 (2.2) |
| Elementary or Middle/Junior High Science, but not Secondary Science | 74 (2.8) | 264 (1.7) |
| Secondary, Junior High, or Elementary Sclence | 88 (2.0) | 265 (1.5) |
| No Science Certification | 12 (2.0) | 267 (3.6) |

The standard errors of the estimated percentages and proficiencies appear in parentheses. It can be said with 95 percent certainty that for each population of interest, the value for the shole population is within plus or minus two standard enors of the estimate for the sample.
106 The 1990 Science Report Cord: NAFP's Assessment of Fourth, Eighth, and Twe/th Groders (National Center for Education Statistics, U.S. Department of Education, 1992).
relationship between student science achievement and teacher certification may not be unusual considering that, in response to a 1987 survey conducted by the Council of Chief State School Officers, only 25 states reported specific certification requirements for the middle/junior high school level. ${ }^{2}$ According to the survey results, 18 of these states reported that they required coursework in science and mathematics, with the amount required in each discipline ranging from 12 to 36 semester credit hours. Two states reported that they had no science coursework requirements at this level and an additional five states reported that the approved competency-based program or degree-granting institution sets certification standards.

## ACADEMICTRAINING Eighth-grade science teachers' reports on their

 highest academic degree and type of undergraduate institution are shown in TABLE 6.4. Nearly half the eighth graders were taught science by teachers who had earned more than a bachelor's degree - in most cases, a master's degree. These teachers received their
# I:1BI.1: 6.4. <br> I ighth- rate science lealhers Reports on Ifighest deademic Degree and e medergraduate Institution 

## Percent of Students

Average Proficiency

| Mighest Acodemk Degree |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Bachelor's Degree | $53(2.8)$ | $267(1.6)$ |
| Master's or Specialist's Degree | $47(2.8)$ | $264(2.1)$ |
| Doctorate or Professional Degree | $0(0.2)$ | $264(8.8)$ |
| Type of Undergradunte Institution |  |  |
| Teacher Tralning Institution | $14(2.1)$ | $267(2.9)$ |
| Liberal Arts College | $27(2.8)$ | $262(2.6)$ |
| Major Research University | $27(2.6)$ | $267(2.9)$ |
| Other College or University | $31(2.3)$ | $267(2.1)$ |

The standard errors of the estimated percentages and proficiencies appear in parentheses. It can be said with 95 percent certainty that for each population of interest, the value for the whole population is within plus or minus two standard errors of the estimate for the sample. Percentages less than 0.5 percent were rounded to 0 pencent.
The 1990 Science Report Cond: NAFP's Assessment of Fourth, Eighth, ond Twefth Groders (National Center for Education Statistics, U.S. Department of Education, 1992).

[^20]undergraduate degrees from a variety of institutions, primarily liberal arts colleges, major research universities, and other colleges or universities; 14 percent of eighth graders had science teachers who reported graduating from a teacher training institution. However, there were no significant differences in students' proficiency based on their teachers' highest academic degree or type of undergraduate institution.

Eighth-grade science teachers were asked to indicate the number of college courses they had completed in biology, chemistry, physics, and earth science. The results are shown in TABLE 6.5 , along with the average science proficiency of those teachers' students. Ninety percent of eighth-grade students were taught science by teachers who had taken two or more college-level courses in biology, as compared to 68 percent who were taught by teachers who had taken two or more courses in chemistry, 62 percent by teachers who had taken two or more courses in earth science, and 54 percent by teachers who had taken two or more courses in physics. For each of these four subject areas, there were no significant differences in students' proficiency based on the amount of their teachers' college coursework. For example, the 7 percent of eighth graders taught by

|  | TABII: 6.5 <br> lighth-i, rade se ience lem hers Reports on the dumber af seience ( emarses laken as Part of Their todergradate and (, madate Study |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ONE } \\ & \text { COU } \end{aligned}$ | S NO | TWO OR THREE COURSES |  | FOUR OR GME COURSES |  | SIX OR MORE COURSES |  |
|  | \% of Students | Ang. <br> Profic. | $\%$ of Students | Avg. <br> Profic. | $\%$ of Sterdents | Avg. <br> Profic. | \% of Ster. dents | Avg. <br> Profic. |
| Blology/ Lfe Sclences | 5 $10(1.9)$ | 269 (5.3) | 19 (2.3) | 264 (3.1) | 14 (1.9) | 271 (3.2) | 57 (3.0) | 264 (1.7) |
| Chemistry | $32(2.8)$ | 265 (2.3) | 31 (2.5) | 268 (2.3) | 21 (2.5) | 263 (2.7) | 16 (2.5) | 263 (2.5) |
| Prysics | 46 (3.0) | 266 (1.6) | 38 (3.0) | 265 (2.8) | 9 (1.8) | 263 (3.3) | 7 (1.6) | 266 (5.1) |
| Earth Sclences | - $37(3.0)$ | 263 (2.0) | 25 (3.2) | 265 (2.9) | 10 (1.7) | 268 (3.8) | 27 (2.6) | 268 (3.1) |

The standard efrors of the estimated percentages and proficiencies appear in parentheses. H can be said with 95 percent certainty that for each population of interest, the value for the whole population is within plus or minus two standard errors of the estimate for the sample.
The 1990 Science Report Cord: NAEP's Assessment of Fourth, Eighth, ond Tweffth Groders (National Center for Education Statistics, U.S. Department of Education, ${ }^{1092}$ ).
teachers who had completed six or more physics courses had virtually the same proficiency as the 46 percent whose teachers had completed either one or no courses in physics.

According to the teachers' reports, the most common science course offerings at the eighth-grade level are earth science and physical science (see Chapter Five). Fifty-one percent of eighth graders were enrolled in a science course that emphasized earth science and 25 percent were enrolled in a course that emphasized physical science. A prime consideration is whether or not these students received instruction from teachers who have academic preparation in the science content areas that they were teaching. FIGURE 6.1 shows eighth-grade earth science teachers' reports on their college coursework in earth science and FIGURE 6.2 shows physical science teachers' reports on their college coursework in physical science. Eighty-two percent of eighth-grade earth science students were taught by teachers who had taken at least one course in earth science at the college level, most frequently physical geology and least frequently oceanography. Ninety-one percent of eighth-grade physical science students were taught by teachers who had taken at least one college-level course in physical science, most frequently general chemistry or general physics, and least frequently more specialized and advanced courses such as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { FIGURE: } 6.1
\end{aligned}
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# 10: R 0.2 <br>   

PERCEN: OF STUOENTS IN PFYSICAL SCIINE C CASSES WITH TEACHERS COMPIEINC ONE OR MORE COURSES


## Chemistry:




Physles:
General Physic
Physical Science
Electricity and Magnetism
Heat and Thermodynamics
Mechanics
Optics
Modem or Nuclear Physics


At Least One Physics Course
The standard efrers of the estimated percentages appear in parentheses. It can be said with 95 percent certainty that for each population of interest, the value for the whole population is within plus or minus two standard errors of the estimate for the sample.
The 1990 Science Report Cord: NAEP's Assessment of Fourth, Eighth, and Twefth Graders (National Center for Education Statistics, U.S. Department of Education, 1992).
biochemistry, mechanics, optics, and nuclear physics. However, if as described in Chapter Five, a "less is more" approach to school science that requires an in-depth understanding of a few major concep.s is desirable, then current levels of teacher training may be a concern.

## TEACHERS' PERCEPTIONS OFTHEIR

## PREPARATIONTOTEACH

## SCIENCETOPICS How do teachers perceive their preparation for teaching

 science? Eighth-grade science teachers were given a list of 19 : cience topics and asked to indicate how well prepared they felt to teach each one at the iddde or junior high school level, regardless of whether they were currently teaching each topic. Response options were: "Well-prepared," e.g., would feel confident teaching topic; "Somewhat-prepared,"$$
110
$$

e.g., would have to depend heavily on instructional resources; and "ill-prepared," e.g., would find it difficult to teach even with resources. As shown in TABLE 6.6, percentages of students whose teachers felt well-prepared in particular physical science topics ranged from a low of 44 percent for electricity and magnetism to a high of 84 percent for properties of solids, liquids, and gases. Within the life sciences, 71 percent of students had teachers who felt well-prepared to teach cell structure and function, compared to approximately $\mathbf{5 0}$ percent that had teachers who felt well-prepared to teach classification schemes or the gene theory of heredity. There was little variation in the percentages of students whose teachers felt well-prepared to teach the various earth science topics included in the survey.

TABLE 6.6 also shows the percentages of students in life, physical, and earth science classes whose teachers felt well-prepared to teach the specific topics pertinent to each of those three types of eighth-grade science classes. Interestingly, most students in earth science classes had teachers who reported feeling well-prepared to teach each of the earth science topics included in the survey, even though many of these teachers did not report college coursework in some of the specific topic areas. For example, while only 39 percent of earth science students were taught by teachers who reported taking a college course in meteorology (see FIGURE 6.1), 84 percent had teachers who indicated feeling well-prepared to teach about weather and climate. Similarly, while only 35 percent of eighth-grade physical science students were taught by teachers who had taken at least one course in electricity and magnetism, 68 percent had teachers who reported feeling wellprepared to teach this topic. Perhaps teachers who feel well-prepared to teach topics in which they have not had a formal academic course are gaining preparation for teaching these topics from their more general introductory courses and from their experiences and in-service courses beyond college.

## TEACHERS' PROFESSIONAL ACTIVITIES

IN SCIENCE In-service education provides an opportunity for science teachers to remedy any inadequacies they might have had in their pre-service preparation as well as to stay abreast of the many rapid changes in science. However, as summarized in TABLE 6.7, only 13 percent of the nation's eighth-grade students had science teachers who reported spending more than 35 hours in the year preceding the 1990 assessment enrolled in workshops and courses related to science or science teaching. More than one-fourth of the eighth graders had teachers who did not participate in any in-service education in science. Approximately one-third of the students were taught by teachers who reported having attended a national or state science teacher association meeting during the last
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PRECFNT OF STUDENTS WHIOSE TEACHERS
CONSIOERED THEMSLIVES WIL PREPARED

|  | AB students | students in Ife Science Classes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Me Science Topics |  |  |
| Cell structure and function | 71 (2.7) | 90 (4.6) |
| Energy flow and food webs | 66 (2.6) | 75 (8.3) |
| Natural selection | 64 (2.6) | 79 (6.8) |
| Structure/function of organisms | 64 (2.5) | 87 (5.7) |
| Photosynthesis/cellular metabolism | 60 (3.0) | 77 (8.2) |
| Animal behavior | 56 (3.2) | 79 (6.8) |
| Gene theory of heredity | 50 (2.5) | 75 (8.7) |
| Classification schemes | 48 (2.4) | 66 (11.4) |
|  | All Students | Students In Physkal Science Clasces |
| Physical Science Toplcs |  |  |
| Properties of solids, liquids, gases | 84 (1.6) | 94 (1.7) |
| Periodic table of elements | 77 (2.0) | 85 (4.0) |
| Explaining the motion of objects | 56 (3.3) | 77 (4.3) |
| Waves (light and sound) | 55 (3.1) | 72 (5.4) |
| Kinetic theory of matter | 50 (2.6) | 72 (5.9) |
| Electricity and magnetism | 44 (3.3) | 68 (6.4) |
|  | All Students | Students in Enrth Sclence Clasces |
| Earth and space Sclance Toples |  |  |
| The solar system | 72 (2.8) | 83 (3.7) |
| Weather and climate | 70 (3.1) | 84 (2.7) |
| Earth in space and time | 67 (2.3) | 81 (3.6) |
| The rock cycle | 67 (2.5) | 83 (2.7) |
| Plate tectonics | 64 (2.9) | 82 (3.1) |

The gandard errors of the estimated percentages appear in parentheses. It can be said with 95 percent certainty that for each population of interest, the value for the whole population is within plus of minus two standand errors of the estimate for the sample.
The 1990 Science Report Card: NAEP's Assessment of Fourth, Eighth, ond Twefth Graders (National Center for Education Statistics, U.S. Department of Education, 1992).

|  l'rofessional lefiniles chmine the last lear |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pencent of students | Average Prolidiency |
| Thas Spent in Wortshops or Courses Related to Science/Sclence Teaching |  |  |
| None | 27 (2.8) | 265 (2.7) |
| Less Than 6 Hours | 22 (2.7) | 267 (2.6) |
| 6 to 15 Hours | 22 (2.7) | 263 (2.0) |
| 16 to 35 Hours | 16 (2.2) | 264 (3.5) |
| More Than 35 Hours | 13 (1.9) | 268 (3.2) |
| Taught Sclence in-servee Workshops or Courses |  |  |
| Yes | 11 (1.6) | 263 (3.4) |
| No | $90(1.6)$ | 266 (1.4) |
| Attended State/Mationad Sclence Teacher Association Meetings |  |  |
| Yes | 33 (3.1) | 265 (2.3) |
| No | 67 (3.1) | 265 (1.5) |

The standard emors of the estimated percentages and proficiencies appear in parentheses. It can be said with 95 percent certainty that for each population of interest, the value for the whule population is within plus or minus two standard errors of the estimate for the sample.
The 1990 Science Report Cord: NAEP's Assessment of fourth, Eighth, and Tweith Groders (National Center for Education Statistics, U.S. Department of Education, 1992).
year and about one in ten had teachers who served as instructors of in-service offerings for other science teachers.

SUMMARY Most of the nation's eighth-grade students were taught science by teachers who had the highest certification awarded by the state in which they taught, were certified to teach science at some grade level, had at least a bachelor's degree, and received their undergraduate training from a four-year institution. Eighth graders were taught science by teachers who had been teaching for an average of 14 years and had been teaching science for an average of 12 years.

Ninety-one percent of the nation's eighth-grade physical science students were taught by teachers who had completed at least one college-level course in some aspect of physical science and $\mathbf{8 2}$ percent of eighth-grade earth science students were taught by teachers who had at least one college-level course in earth science. Most eighth graders
were taught by teachers who reported feeling well-prepared to teach the topics in their science classes. However, only a small percentage of eighth graders had teachers who participated in more than fifteen hours of in-service workshops, had taught an in-service workshop, or attended a national or state science teachers' association meeting during the year preceding the 1990 science assessment.

To provide a coherent perspective for the 1990 NAEP science results, we have attempted to place the findings in the context of the recommendations for science reform as articulated by the series of landmark reports published during the last decade. For example, increasing societal and school support for science education, emphasizing scientific literacy for all Americans, revamping the curriculum to include more in-depth study of central science concepts, and updating instructional approaches so that they are more reflective of the nature of science are consistent foci of publications referenced throughout this report. These substantial works produced by major stakeholders in improving science education, including the American Association for the Advancement of Science, the National Science Foundation, the National Academy of Science, and the National Science Teachers Association, tend also to stress the need for a well-trained, competent teaching force. For example, Time for Results: The Govemors' 1991 Repurt on Educution urged Americans to create a more professional teaching force," Project 2061 has stressed the centrality of teachers in the reform movement, ${ }^{44}$ and the National Science Board Commission placed top priority on retraining present teachers and recruiting science, technology, and mathematics teachers so that they would all be of high quality. ${ }^{\text {s }}$

In preceding chapters, the NAEP data revealed the relatively low priority given to science by schools, the low amount of science instruction in elementary schools, the pattern of high school students opting out of the science pipeline, teachers' tendency to rely on textbooks and lectures rather than on doing science in science classes, and the low science achievement for large segments of our nation's students. This chapter revealed that about one-third of the eighth graders were taught by teachers who had taken one or fewer courses in chemistry, and that about half were taught by teachers with an equally low amount of coursework in physics or the earth sciences, despite the fact that more than half of the students were in earth science courses and one-fourth were in courses related to the physical sciences. When teachers' backgrounds were matched to the classes they were

[^21]teaching, the picture improved somewhat; yet nearly 20 percent of the eighth graders in earth science classes had teachers with no roursework in the subject and about 10 percent of those in physical science classes had teachers with no physics coursework.

According to teachers' reports, about 10 to 30 percent of the eighth graders were being taught topics in life, physical, and earth science by teachers who did not feel wellprepared to teach these topics. Conversely, considering the relatively low amount of college coursework reported by the teachers as well as the finding that about half of the students had teachers with less than six hours of in-service training during the year preceding the assessment, it is curious that so many teachers reported feeling wellprepared to teach the large variety of science topics listed. Is it that these teachers have mastered the eighth-grade textbooks or is it, as the studies of science reform suggest, that the teachers may be matching the content of their classes to their own low levels of science understanding?

# APPENDIX A $O_{\text {virview of }}$ PROCEDURES USED IN THE 1990 SCIENCE ASSESSMENT 

## $\boldsymbol{T}_{\mathrm{ni}}$

his Appendix is intended to provide further information alxout the metheds and procedures used in NAEP's 1990 science assessment. The forthcoming NAEP 1990 Tivimicul Rcyort provides more extensive information about procedures.

## NAEP'S 1990

SCIENCE ASSESSMENT The objectives for the 1990 NAEP science assessment were developed by an Assessment Development Panel, which included representatives from national science organizations, state departments of education, and local schools. An Item Development Panel of scientists and science educators developed and reviewed the items for the 1990) assesment using the framework provided in the objectives. The objectives for the 199) assessment reflected three basic elements of scientific literacy: science knowledge, scientific habits of mind, and the ability to solve problems and conduct inquiries. ${ }^{1}$

Sieme knowledge embraces information not only about the natural phenomena that are the objects of study in the major scientific disciplines, but also about the fundamental concepts, principles, and theories in these disciplines. Additionally, students were expected to be informed about the nature of science, including a recognition of its characteristics, such as empirical and theoretical methods and philosophy that distinguish science from other human activities.

Sciontific habits of mind pertain to students' ability to think scientifically and the inclination to do so beyond the confines of the science classroom. Creative thinking, inductive and deductive reasoning, and verbal, analogical, and spatial reasoning are among the primary aspects of scientific thinking. Yet the propensity to apply these habits of mind outside the sience classroom depends not only on abilities but also on attitudes toward science and its relevance to life, views influenced both by experiences in school science and by personal variables, such as individual motivation and out-of-school involvement in science-related learning.

Solving problems and conducting inquries encompass a wide range of activities, from the novice efforts of students interacting with the natural world to the work of experienced scientists. Science educators consider the students' capacity to employ these skills in various contexts as particularly indicative of science achievement. ${ }^{2}$

Using these elements of scientific literacy as a foundation, the Assessment Development Panel constructed the framework for the 1990 science assessment as a two-dimensional matrix -

[^22]Content Areas by Thinking Skills - as presented in FIGURE A.1. Thinking Skills cover a range of knowledge and cognitive abilities that allow the scientifically literate individual to conduct Inquiries and solve problems in various science content areas. Content Areas are the three traditional scientific disciplines - Life, Physical, and Earth and Space Sciences - to which thinking skills and the understanding of the nature of science are applied. The Nature of Science represents the understanding of the methods and processes of science, the princtples underlying scientific work, and the nature of scientific knowledge.

## FlicRR. 1.1
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The 1990 science Repont Cand: NAfP's Assesmment of fourth, fighth, and Tweith Groders (National Center for Education Slatsics. U.S. Departmen' of Education, 1992).

To guide the development of assessment items, the pand assigned weights to each of the major categories in the framework, reflexting the relative importance of each of the content areas and thinking skills at each grade level. These percentages are presented in Tablev A. 1 and A.2. Fach question in the assessment was classified into a cell of the framework matrix, matching the content area and thinking skill it was intended to measure. The design of the 1990 assessment thus allowed NAFP' not only to report on average science proficiency for the nation and for population subgroups, but also to document students' performance on four subscales - life sciences, physical sciencls, earth and space sciences, and the nature of science.

The 1990 science assessment contained 112 questions at grade 4 , with 17 of them requiring students to construct their responses. At grade 8, there were 146 questions, 24 of which were constructed-response. Similarly, twelfth graders were administered a total of 150 questions, 24 of which were constructed-response. Of the constructed-response questions, about two-thirds were "figural response" questions, which required students to mark or draw responses to indicate direction, location, or arrangement of objects and to interpret and graph data.' The remaining constructed-response questions asked for essays or brief written responses.

## THE ASSESSMENT DESIGN

Each student received a booklet containing a set of general background questions, a sit of subject-specific background questions, and three 15 minute segments or blocks of cognitive items. At each grade level, the science assessment included seven different blocks of inultiple-choice and constructed-response content questions. Students
 (Princeton, NJ: Educational Testing Service, 1990).
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|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Content Area | Grade 4 | Grate 8 | Grade 12 |
| Lfe Sciances | 30 | 30 | 32 |
| Physical Sclences | 30 | 30 | 34* |
| Earth and Space Sclences | 30 | 30 | 22 |
| Nature of Science | 10 | 10 | 12 |

- At grade 12, the Plysical Sciences category includes approximately 17 percent chemistry and 17 percent physics questions. The 1990 Science Report Cord: NAEP's Assessment of Fourth, Eighth, and Twefth Groders (National Center for Education Statistics, U.S. Department of Education, 1992).

| Thlmaling shills | TABIE: A. 2 <br> Distribution of (Tuestions de and Ihinkng Shills |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grade 4 | Grade 8 | Grade 12 |
| Knowling Sclence | 40 | 40 | 40 |
| Solving Problems | 40 | 40 | 40 |
| Conducting inquiries | 20 | 20 | 20 |

The 1990 Science Report Cond: NAEP's Assesment of Fourth, Eighth, ond Twefth Croders (National Center for Eduration Statistics. U.S. Department of Education, 1992).
received different blocks of cognitive items in their booklets according to a careful plan. The 1990 assessment was based on an adaptation of matrix sampling called balanced incomplete block (BIB) spiraling - a design that enables broad coverage of science content while minimizing the burden for any one student. The balanced incomplete block part of the design assigns blocks of items to booklets, and each pair of blocks appears together in at least one booklet. The spiraling part of the design cycles the booklets for administration, so that typically only a few students in any assessment sexsion receive the same booklet. In accordance with this design, the seven blocks were presented in seven booklets. Each block appeared in exactly three booklets, and each block appeared with every other block in at least one booklet.

At each grade, each booklet included two student background questionnaires. The first, consisting of general background questions, included questions about race/ethnicity, mother's and father's level of education, reading materials in the home, homework, attendance, academic expectations, and which parents live at home. The second, consisting of science background questions, included questions about instructional activities, courses taken, use of specialized resources such as science laboratory equipment, and attitudes about science. Students were given five minutes to complete each questionnaire, with the exception of the fourth graders who were allotted more time because the items in the general questionnaire were read aloud for them.

SCHOOL AND TEACHER
QUESTIONNAIRES As part of the 1990 sctence assessment, questionnaires were given to the science teachers of the eighth-grade students participating in the assessment and to the principal or other administrator in each participating school at all three grades assessed. An expert panel developed guidelines for the school and teacher questionnaires focusing on six educational areas: curriculum, instructional practices, teacher qualifications, educational standards and reform, school conditions, and conditions outside of school that facilitate learning and instruction. Similar to the development of the materials given to students, the policy guidelines and the teacher and school questionnaires were prepared through an iterative process that involved extensive development, field testing, and review by external advisory groups.

The questionnaire for eighth-grade science teachers was made possible by support from the National Science Foundation through a subcontract to Educational Testing Service from Horizon Research, lnc. The questionnaire consisted of two parts. The first requested information about the teacher, such as race/ethnictty and gender as well as academic degrees held, teaching certification, training in science, and ability to get instructional resources. In the second part, teachers were asked to provide information on each class they taught that included one or more students who participated in the assessment. The information included, among other things, the amount of time spent on science instruction and homework, the adequacy of classrom science equipment, the instructional emphasis placed on different science topics, and the use of various instructional approaches. Because the analysis of the questionnaire data was linked to participating students, the responses to the science teacher questionnaire as presented in this report reflect the population of students rather than the population of teachers.

The extensive school questionnaire completed by principals or other administrators in the participating schools contained questions about the individuals completing the questionnaire, school policies, course offerings, and special priority areas and resources, among other topics.

It is important to note that in this report, as in all NAEP reports, the student is always the unit of analysis, even when information from the teacher or school questionnaire is being reported. The alternatives are either to give additional weight to schools and teachers in proportion to the numbers of students that they influence, as was done in this report, or essentially to weight each teacher or school response equally as would be done, for example, to examine characteristics of schools across the nation regardless of their size. Using the student as the unit of analysis makes it prossible to describe the instruction received by representative samples of students. Although this approach may provide a different perspective from that obtained by simply analyzing the information from teachers or schools to reflect those population results, it is consistent with NAEP's goal of providing information about the educational context and performance of students. However, as part of the Horizon Research, Inc. teacher questionnaire study, the results for the eighth-grade teachers were analyzed both as presented in this report and by using the teacher as the unit of analysis. Comparative data for the two perspectives will be provided in a forthcoming report.

## NATIONAL SAMPLING

AND DATA COLLECTION Sampling and data collection activities for the 1990) NAEP assessment were conducted by Westat, Inc. As with all NAEP national assessments, the results are based on a stratifled, three-stage sampling plan. The first stage included defining geographic primary sampling units (PSUs), which are typically groups of contiguous counties, but sometimes a single county; classifying the Pus into strata defined by region and community type; and randomly selecting [SUs. For each grade, the second stage included listing, classifying, and randomly selecting sthools, both public and private, within each ISU selected at the first stage. The third stage involved randomly selecting students within a school for participation. Some students that were selected (fewer than 6 percent) were excluded because of limited English proficiency or severe disability. In 1984, NAEP began collecting descriptive information on these excluded students in order to describe this group more fully. Further information about excluded students is available in the NAEP 1990 Terhinical Report.
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The data collection was accomplished by members of Westat's field staff, who were thoroughly trained in NAEP procedures. The sample at each grade consisted of two equivalent half samples. The assessment was administered to the first half sample in the January to mid-March time frame, while it was administered to the second half sample in the mid-March to mid-May time frame.

TABLE A. 3 presents the students and school sample sizes and the cooperation and response rates that provide the basis for this report.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Number of Students | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Member of } \\ & \text { Purtictprithg } \\ & \text { Schools } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pemcent of of } \\ & \text { Schools } \\ & \text { Particlpating } \end{aligned}$ | Percent of Stadent Completion |
| 4 | 6,314 | 527 | 88.3 | 92.9 |
| 8 | 6,531 | 406 | 86.7 | 89.1 |
| 12 | 6,337 | 304 | 81.3 | 81.3 |
| Total | 19,182 | 1,237 |  |  |

The 1990 Science Report Card: NAEP's Assessment of Fouth, Eighth, and Twetth Craders (National Center for Education Statistics, U.S. Department of Educition, 1992).

Although sampled schools that refused to participate were occasionally replaced, school cooperation rates were computed based on the schools originally selected for participation in the assessments. The rates, which are based on schools sampled for all subjects assessed in 1990 (reading, science, and mathematics), are also the best estimates for the science assessment. Of the participating schools, 790 were public schools, and 447 were Catholic and other private schools.

5 C ORINC Materials from the 1990 assessment were shipped to National Computer Systems in lowa City for processing. Receipt and quality control were managed through a sophisticated bar-coding and tracking system. After all appropriate materials were received from a school, they were forwarded to the professional scoring area, where the responses to the constructedresponse items were evaluated by a trained staff using guidelines prepared by NAEP. Each question requiring students to write, draw, or provide information had a unique scoring guide that defined the criteria to be used in evaluating students' responses. For the science assessment, approximately 325,000 student responses were scored, including a 20 percent reliability sample. The overall percentage of exact agreement was 91 percent. Subsequent to the professional scoring, the booklets were scanned, and all information was transcribed to the NAEP database at ETS. Each processing activity was conducted with rigorous quality control.

DATA ANALYSIS
ANDIRTSCALING Aftrr the assessment information had been compled in the database, the data were weighted according to the population structure. The weighting reflected the probability of selection for tach student as a result of the sampling design, adjusted for nonresponse. Through poststratification, the weighting assured that the representation of certain subpopulations corresponded to figures from the U.S. Census and the Current Population Survey.'

Analyses were then conducted to determine the percentages of students who gave various responses to each cognitive and background question. Item response theory (IRT) was used to estimate average proficiency for the nation and various subgroups of interest within the nation.

IRT models the probability of answering an item correctly as a mathematical function of profictency or skill. The main purpose of IRT analysis is to provide a common scale on which performance can be compared across groups, such as those defined by grades and subgroups, such as those defined by race/ethnicity or gender. Because of the BIB spiraling design useu by NAEP, students do not receive enough questions about a specific topic to provide reliable information about individual performance. Traditional test scores for individual students, even those based on IRT, would lead to misleading estimates of population characteristics, such as subgroup means and percentages of students at or above a certain proficiency level. Instead, NAEP constructs sets of plausible values designed to represent the distribution of proficiency in the population. A plausible value for an individual is not a scale score for that individual but may he regarded as a representative value from the distribution of potential scale scores for all students in the population with similar characteristics and identical patterns of item response. Statistics describing performance on the NAEP proficiency scale are based on these plausible values. They estimate values that would have been obtained had individual proficiencies been observed - that is, had each student responded to a sufficient number of cognitive items so that proficiency could be precisely estimated. ${ }^{\text {. }}$

For the 1990 science assessment, NAEP created four IRT proficiency scales ranging from 0 to 500 for each of the four content areas specified in the framework - and an overall science proficiency scale based on a composite of the content area scales, weighted to reflect the distributions shown previously in TABLE A.1.

As described earlier, the NAEP proficiency scales make it possible to examine relationships between students' performance and a variety of background factors measured by NAEP. The fact that a relationship exists between achievement and another variable, however, does not reveal the underlying cause of the relationship, which may be influenced by a number of other variables. Similarly, the assessments do not capture the influence of unmeasured variables. The results are most useful when they are considered in combination with other knowledge about the student population and the educational system, such as trends in instruction, changes in the school-age population, and societal demands and expectations.

NAEP REPORTING GROUPS This report contains results for the nation and gre ips of students within the nation defined by shared characteristics. The definitions for subgroups as defined by race/ethnicity, size and type of community, parents' education level, gender. and region follow:

RACE/ETHNKITY. Results are presented for students of different racial/ethnic groups based on the students' self-identification of race/ethnicity according to the following mutually exclusive categories: White, Black, Hispanic, Asian/Pacific Islander, and American Indian (including Alaskan Native). Some racial/ethnic group results are not reported for background variables, because this
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further breakdown results in too few students. However, the data for all students, regardless of whether their racial/ethnic group was reported separately, were included in computing the overall national results.

TYPE Of COMMUNITY. Resuits are provided for four mutually exclusive community types - advantaged urban, disadvantaged urban, extreme rural, and other - as described below.

Advantaged Urban: Students in this group reside in metropolitan statistical areas and attend schools where a high proportion of the students' parents are in professional or managerial positions.

Disadvantaged Urban: Students in this group reside in metropolitan statistical areas and attend schools where a high proportion of the students' parents are on welfare or are not regularly employed.

Extreme Rural: Students in this group do not reside in metropolitan statistical areas. They attend schools in areas with a population below 10,000 where many of the students' parents are farmers or farm workers.

Other: Students in the "Other" category attend schools in areas ther than those defined as advantaged urban, disadvantaged urban, or extreme rural.

The information about parents' occupation was obtained from the Principal's Questionnaire completed by each sampled school.

PARENTS'EDUCATION LEVEL. Students were asked to indicate the extent of schooling for each of their parents - did not finish high school, graduated from high school, had some education after high school, or graduated from college. The response indicating the higher level of education for either parent was selected for reporting.

GENDER. Results are reported separately for males and females. Gender was reported by the student.

RECION. The United States has been divided into four regions: Northeast, Southeast, Central and West. States in each region are shown on the following map.


ESTIMATINGVARIABILITY Because the statistics presented in this report are estimates of group and subgroup performance based on samples of students, rather than the values that could be calculated if every student in the nation answered every question, it is important to have measures of the degree of uncertainty of the estimates. Two components of uncertainty are accounted for in the variability of statistics based on proficiency: the uncertainty due to sampling only a relatively small number of students and the uncertainty due to sampling only a relatively small number of science questions. Because NAEP uses complex sampling procedures, conventional formulas for estimating sampling variability that assume simple random sampling are inappropriate. Consequently, NAEP uses a jackknife replication procedure to estimate standard errors. The jackknife standard error provides a reasonable measure of uncertainty for any information about students that can be observed without error, such as the percentages of students with a certain background characteristic or the percentage answering a question correctly. However, each student typically responds to so few items within any content area that the proficiency measurement for
any single student would be imprecise. In this case, using plausible values technology makes it possible to describe the performance of groups and subgroups of students, but the underlying imprecision that makes this step necessary adds an additional component of variability to statistics based on NAEP proflciencles.?

The standard errors for means and proportions reported by NAEP are statistics and subject to a certain degree of uncertainty. In certain cases, typically when the standard error is based on a small number of students or when the group of students is enrolled in a small number of schools, the amount of uncertainty associated with the standard errors may be quite large. Throughout this report, estimates of standard errors subject to a large degree of uncertainty are designated by the symbol "!". In such cases, the standard errors - ans any confidence intervals or significance tests involving these standard errors - should be inter!peted cautiously.

## DRAWING INFERENCES

FROMTHERESULTS The use of confidence intervals, based on the standard errors, provides a way to make inferences about the population means and proportions in a manner that reflects the uncertainty associated with the sample estimates. An estimated sample mean proficiency $\pm 2$ standard errors represents an approximate 95 percent confidence interval for the corresponding population quantity. This means that with approximately 95 percent certainty, the sample mean is within $\pm 2$ standard errors of the average performance of the entire population of interest.

As an example, suppose that the average science proficiency of students in a particular group was 256 , with a standaici cizur of 1.2 . A 95 percent confidence interval for the population quantity would be as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Mean } \pm 2 \text { standard errors }=256 \pm 2 \bullet(1.2)=256 \pm 2.4= \\
256-2.4 \text { and } 256+2.4=253.6,258.4
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus, a 95 percent confidence interval for the average proficiency for the entire population of students in that group is 253.6 to 258.4.

Similar confidence intervals can be constructed for percentages, provided that the percentuges ure not extremely large (gntuter than 90) or extromely small (less than 10). For extreme percentages, confidence intervals constructed in the above manner may not be appropriate and procedures for obtaining accurate confidence intervals are quite complicated.

To determine whether there is a mal difference between the mean proficiency (or proportion of a certain attribute) for two groups in the population, one needs to obtain an estimate of the degree sf uncertainty associated with the difference between the proficiency means or proportions of these groups for the sample. This estimate of the degree of uncertainty - called the standand error of the difference between the groups - is obtained by taking the square of each group's standard error, summing these squared standard errors, and then taking the square root of this sum.

Similar to the manner in which the standard error for an individual group mean or proportion is used, the stundand error of the difference can be used to help determine whether differences between groups in the population are real. The difference between the mean proficiency or proportion of the two groups $\pm 2$ standand errors of the difference represents an approximate 95 percent confidence interval. If the resulting interval includes zero, one should conclude that there is insuffictent evidence to claim a real difference between groups in the population. If the interval does not contain zero, the difference between groups is statistically significant (different) at the .05 level.

The procedures described in this section, and the certainty ascribed to intervals (e.g., a 95 percent confidence interval), are based on statistical theory that assumes that only one confidence interval or test of statistical significance is being performed. When one considers sets of confidence intervals, like those at a grade level for all regions of the country or all five racial/ethnic groups defined by NAEP, statistical theory indicates that the certainty associated with the entire set of
intervals is less than that attributable to each individual comparison from the set. If one wants to hold the certainty level for the set of comparisons at a particular level (e.g., 0.05), adjustments (called multiple comparison procedures) must be made. In other words, if many statistical tests are conducted at one time, it is likely that those tests will overstate the degree of statistical significance of the results. The problem arises because the more statistical tests are conducted, the more likely that one will find a "significant" finding because of chance variation. That is, the chance of a type I error - a spurious "significant" finding - rises with the number of tests conducted. Multiplecomparisons procedures are useful for controlling the overall type I error rate for a defined set called a family - of hypothesis tests. For this report, the Hochberg stagewise Bonferroni procedure was used. ${ }^{8}$ Multiple-comparison tests were performed for all pairs of means within the following families:
a) Marginal main effects for all reporting variables (e.g. a comparison of all six pairs of mean proficiencies for the four regions or comparisons of proportions of students in a series of subpopulations defined by some characteristic). Each reporting varlable defines a separate family of the $n(n-1) / 2$ possible comparisons between all pairs of the n categories of the variable.
b) Conditional main effects (e.g., comparisons of all pairs of regional means for males or for Hispanic students). These were computed for all reporting variables conditional on membership in categories of the following major renorting variables: gender, race/ ethnicity, region, age, type of community, parents' education, and type of school.
c) Two way interactions (e.g., race by region) for all main reporting variables by all reporting variables. Each family of comparisons consists of all possible t-tests of the form

$$
\left\|\left(Y_{n}-Y_{n k}\right)-\left(Y_{n_{1}}-Y_{n k}\right)\right\|\left(S E_{i 1}^{2}+S E_{a}^{2}+S E_{n_{1}}^{2}+S E_{n_{k}}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

where $i$ and $h$ are two categories of one reporting variable and $j$ and $k$ are two categories of the other.

# APPENDIX B <br> T HE NAEP SCALE ANCHORING PROCESS FOR THE 1990 SCIENCE ASSESSMENT AND ADDITIONAL EXAMPLE ANCHOR ITEMS 

## INTRODUCTION

In brief, NAEP's scale anchoring procedure was based on comparing item-level performance by students at four levels on the 0 to $\mathbf{5 0 0}$ overall science proficiency scale - Levels $200,250,300$, and 350 . Initially, this analysis was conducted on the 1986 science assessment results, and five sets of anchor items were delineated that discriminated between adjacent performance levels on the scale. The five sets of empirically derived anchor items, which also included a set of questions for level 150 , were studied by a panel of science educators who carefully considered and articulated the types of knowledge, skills, and reasoning abilities demonstrated by correct responses to the items in each set of questions. This process was repeated, based on the 1990 science assessment results, and the descriptions were judged to still be valid with only slight revisions. The major difference was that the small number of items anchoring at level 150 was insufficient to verify the 1986 description of performance at that level. Thus for this report, analyses are not presented for Level 150.

This appendix also contains the remaining anchor items available for public release, together with their performance results. Among other purposes, these items are presented in addition to those shown in Chapter Two to help provide further context and detail for the anchor level descriptions.

## THESCALE

ANCHORINC ANALYSIS NAEP's scale anchoring procedure is grounded in an empirical process whereby the scaled assessment results are analyzed to delineate sets of items that discriminate between adjacent performance levels on the scale. For the 1990 science assessment, these levels were $200,250,300$, and 350 . For these four levels, items were identified that were likely to be answered correctly by students performing at a particular level on the scale and much less likely to be answered correctly by students performing at the next lower level. To provide a sufficient pool of respondents, students at Level 200 were defined as those whose estimated science proficiency was between 187.5 and 212.5 ; students at 250 were defined as those with estimated proficiency between 237.5 and 262.5 ; those at 300 had estimated proficiencies between 287.5 and 312.5 ; and those at 350 between 337.5 and 362.5 . In theory, proficiency levels above 350 or below 200 could have been defined; however, so few students in the assessment performed at the extreme ends of the scale that it was not possible to do so.
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The 1990 science scale anchoring analysis was based on the scaled proficiency results for fourth, eighth, and twelfth graders participating in the 1990 assessment. As illustrated here, ETS determined the weighted percentage and raw frequency for students at each of the four scale levels correctly answering each item. This was done for each of the grade levels at which the item was administered, and for the grade levels combined if the item was administered at more than one grade level. Regardless of the grade level, the data for each item were analyzed as shown in the following sample.

## SAMPLE SCALE ANCHORANG RESULTS

| Scale Polnt | 200 | 250 | 300 | 350 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Welghted P-Value | 0.32 | 0.66 | 0.92 | 0.97 |
| Row Preqwency | 1,247 | 2,142 | 1,430 | 391 |

The percentages of students answering the item correctly at the four scale levels differ from the overall p-value for the total sample at any one grade level, although the p-values for the total sample were also provided as part of the scale anchoring analysis.

Criteria were applled to the scale-level results, and an analysis was conducted to delineate the items that discriminated between scale levels. Because it was the lowest level being defined, level $\mathbf{2 0 0}$ did not have to be analyzed in terms of the next lower level, but was examined for the percentage of students at that level answering the item correctly. More specifically, for an item to anchor at Level 200:

1) The p-value for students at Level 200 had to be greater than or equal to 0.65 .
2) The calculation of the p-value at that level had to have been based on at least 100 students.

As an example:
LEVEL 200 ANCHOR TTEM RESULTS

| Scale Polnt | 200 | 250 | 300 | 350 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Welghted P-Yalue | 0.73 | 0.94 | 0.98 | 1.00 |
| Row Frequency | 1,129 | 1,688 | 704 | 52 |

For an item to anchor at the remaining levels, additional criteria had to be met. For example, to anchor at level 250 :

1) The p-value for students at Level 250 had to be greater than or equal to 0.65 .
2) The p-value for students at Level 200 had to be less than or equal to 0.50 .
3) The difference between the two $p$-values had to be at least 0.30 .
4) The calculations of the p-values at both levels 200 and 250 had to have been based on at least 100 studenis.

The following data set illustrates the results for a Level $\mathbf{2 5 0}$ anchor item:
LEVEL 250 ANCHOR TIEM RESULTS

| Sede Point | 200 | 250 | 300 | 350 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Welyhted P-Volue | 0.39 | 0.71 | 0.89 | 0.93 |
| Row Frequency | 1,125 | 1,685 | 671 | 61 |

The same principles wete used to identify anchor Items at Levels 300 and 350 :

1) The p-value at the anchor level had to be greater than or equal to 0.65 .
2) The p-value at the adjacent lower level had to be less than or equal to 0.50 .
3) The difference between the p-values had to be greater than or equal to 0.30 .
4) The p-values at the adjacent levels being considered had to have been based on at least 100 students.

For example, the following results were obtained for an item anchoring at Level 300 :
LEVEL 300 ANCHOR ITEM BESULTS

| Scale Polnt | 200 | 250 | 300 | 350 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Welghted P-Yalue | 0.27 | 0.43 | 0.76 | .85 |
| Raw Frequency | 1,116 | 1,682 | 671 | 61 |

The results below are for an item anchoring at Level 350 :
LEVEL 350 ANCHOR TTEN RESULTS

| Seale Polint | 200 | 250 | 300 | 350 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weighted P-Value | 0.13 | 0.16 | 0.43 | 0.85 |
| Row Frequency | 430 | 1,377 | 1,369 | 399 |

For any given anchor item, the students at the anchor level are likely to answer the item correctly ( $p \geq .65$ ), while the students at the next lower level are less likely to answer the item correctly ( $\mathrm{p} \leq .30$ ), and those at the next lower level are somewhat unlikely to answer the item correctly ( $\mathrm{p} \leq .50$ ). Collectively, as identiffed through this procedure, the 1990 NAEP science items at each anchor level represented advances in students' understandings from one level to the next - sclence areas where students at that level were more likety to answer items correctly than were students at the next lower level.

THE SCALE
ANCHORINGRESULTS The 1990 analysis procedures ytelded 7 questions that anchored at Level 200, 15 questions at Level 250,34 questions at Level 300 , and 10 questions at Level 350. To provide information for cross-referencing purposes, items that almost anchored were also identified. These items fulfilled all the criteria, but one of the p-values under consideration was less than 0.05 different from the criterion value. The items were arranged in the following order: anchored at 200, almost anchored at 200, anchored at 250 , almost anchored at 250 , anchored at 300, etc. Again, for further cross-referencing purposes, the remaining items in the assessment were also identified under the "did not anchor" heading. Each item was accompanied by its scoring guide (for constructed-response items) and by the full anchoring documentation which included anchoring information for each grade level at which an item was administered, the anchoring information across grades, the p-value for the total population of respondents at each grade level, and the science content area and thinking skill classifications. This arrangement facilitated the process of referencing the 1990 results against those obtained in 1986.

# $A_{\text {ditional }}$ EXAMPLES OF ANCHOR ITEMS 

## FAMMPI: IDVI:I. 2()



When you inhale, the air enters your
A stomach
(B) lungs

C heart
D liver

## F.X.I.MPII: II:T1: 250

\section*{Porcent Cornat for Ancher levels <br> | 209 | 250 | 300 | 350 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 36 | 76 | 91 | - |}

Which of the following is NOT a fossil?
A An imprint of a leaf in a rock
B A fish skeleton in sandstone
(C) A quartz crystal

D A mastodon's tooth

## I:N.ITPII:IITI: 250



Knowledge of Earth's past continues to change as scientists find additional fossils. This is because

A scientific knowledge cannot be trusted
B) scientists change their ideas as new evidence is found

C scientists do not accurately report what they observe
D fossil study is not a true science

## F:X|M|P|\%|| प1: 250



|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 250 | 300 | 350 |
| 47 | 71 | 91 | 97 |

Which of the following statements about scientific knowledge is correct?
A) It is based on observations and experiments that can be repeated by scientists.

B It cannot be tested.
C It is based on laws that never change.
D It is based on beliefs and faith.

## 

Microscopes can be used to observe
A distant stars
B air temperature
C wind speed
D sand grains

## I:X.X:IPII: IIVTI. 3(x)

Pergent Comet for Ancher lewels

| 290 | 250 | 309 | 350 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 36 | 36 | 59 |  |

Which of the following is the main cause of winds on Earth?
A Worldwide airline traffic
B Thunder and lightning storms
C Uneven heating of the surface of Earth
D Movement of the Moon around Earth

## FXIMPI: H1:TH 3(x)



Which of the following should a science class do to find out which wind direction is most common during times of cloudy skies and wet weather in their town?

A Check a weathervane, thermometer, and barometer daily.
B Make a chart of the different cloud formations shown in an encyclopedia.

C Keep a record of daily rainfall for an entire year.
(D) Record wind direction, cloud conditions, and rainfall daily for at least 4 months.


## 





|  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |

While she was watching a storm, Marie noticed that she always heard thunder shortly after she saw a flash of lightning. After 20 minutes, she found that the time between a flash of lightning and the sound of thunder was getting longer.

Which of the following statements best explains Marie's observations?
A The storm was moving closer to Maxie.
B The storm was moving further from Maric.
C The storm was not moving.
D The storm was losing strength.


In the United States, each day the Sun rises in the
A north and sets in the south
B south and sets in the north
C west and sets in the east
D east and sets in the west

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percont Comatifor Anchorlents |  |  |  | Percemf Comest for Anghar leweh |  |  |  | Percent Remet forAmotior levels |  |  |  |
| 24 | $\frac{280}{36}$ | $\frac{390}{80}$ | $\underline{30}$ | $\frac{210}{30}$ | $\frac{280}{54}$ | $\frac{390}{83}$ | $\frac{300}{91}$ | 41 | ${ }_{55}$ | ${ }_{87}^{300}$ | ${ }^{350}$ |

Which of the following is the best way to investigate the effect of fertilizers on potato plants?

A Put several plants outdoors and several indoors.
B Add fertilizer to several plants.
C Grow several plants under the same conditions but vary the amount of fertilizer added to each.

D Grow several plants under various temperature conditions.

## I:XM.MPII: IIVTI. $3(x)$




A student observed a spider and its web. Which of the following is NOT an observation?

A The web has some threads that are straight.
B The spider has eight legs.
C The spider's abdomen is larger than its head.
D The spider makes no noise.
(E) The spider evolved from insects.


## EXAMPII: II:VII. 30 )



Measurements taken during a scientific experiment should be both arrurate and precise. Accuracy refers to the
A closeness of the measurements to the true value
B reproducibility of the measurements
C location of the measurements taken
D time between measurements taken
E number of measurements taken

## I:N.1\IPI: I.1.V1. 30)

Percent Comenctor Andorcherth

| 290 |
| :---: |
|  |  |



| 23 | 32 | 309 | 350 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 85 | 86 |  |  |

Questions 8-9 refer to an experiment in which moths were captured by attracting them to either white or yellow light. The results are shown in the graph below.


The graph shows that
A moths prefer yellow light
B only white moths are attracted to white light
C the number of moths captured per day using yellow light decreased after Day 5
D) the number of moths captured per day using white light decreased during the experiment

## A FKiMPIF: IITI. 3(x)



A plant scientist is developing a new fertilizer, HYPERGROW. She designs an experiment to test whether HYPERGROW helps plants grow faster than does SUPERGROW, a fertilizer already on the market.

How should she apply fertilizer to the plants?
A Apply a mixture of SUPERGROW and HYPERGROW to the roots of all the plants.

B Apply a mixture of SUPERGROW and HYPFRGROW to the growing tips of all the plants.

C Apply SUPERGROW to one-third of the plants, HYPERGROW to onethird of the plants, and nothing to the last third.

D Apply SUPERGROW to the leaves on the left side of each plant and HYPERGROW to the leaves on the right side of each plant.

IXXAMPI: If:N: Box)



Sugar Cubes


Loose Sugar

Two forms of sugar are shown above - solid cubes and packets of loose crystals. One cube has the same amount of sugar as one packet. Write your answers to the following questions in your ANSWER BOOK.

Which of the two forms of sugar dissolves faster in water?

Why?
Because it tefies mere tine for the cube fo loosen and stent dicislviac The other sugar is already all-sparts-so it can start discetrieg right euterpe

## 



Which of the following wears down the Earth's land surface the most?
A Running water
B Earthquakes
C Volcanoes
D Wind

## I:XiMIPI: IIVTI. 350



| 209 | 259 | 300 | 350 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 14 | 16 | $\frac{31}{43}$ | 83 |


|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

A plant scientist is developing a new fertilizer, HYPERGROW. She designs an experiment to test whether HYPERGROW helps plants grow faster than does SUPERGROW, a fertilizer already on the market.

Of the numbers below, which would be the number of plants the scientist could use to obtain the most reliable data?

A 1
B 2
C 20

## I.X.A.MIPIF: II:TII. 35()



An object is hung on a string so that it can swing back and forth. To find out if the weight of an object affects the amount of time it takes to swing back and forth 10 times, which of the following should be changed for each timing measurement?
A) The weight of the object

B The length of the swing
C The angle through which the object swings
D The distance the object swings
E The number of swings the object makes

## I:XAMPII: IFVII. 350



Strawberries appear to be red because red light
A is absorbed by them
B has a shorter wavelength than blue light
(C)reflects off them

D passes through them
E bends around them

## F.N.1.11PI: 1F1\% 350

| Finding Relative Humidity (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dry Bulb Temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ ) | Difference Between Wet Bulb and Dry Bulb Temperatures ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| 19 | 91 | 82 | 74 | 65 | 58 | 50 | 43 | 36 | 29 | 22 |
| 20 | 91 | 83 | 74 | 66 | 59 | 51 | 44 | 37 | 31 | 24 |
| 21 | 91 | 83 | 74 | 67 | 60 | 53 | 46 | 39 | 32 | 26 |
| 22 | 92 | 83 | 76 | 68 | 61 | 54 | 47 | 40 | 34 | 28 |
| 23 | 92 | 84 | 76 | 69 | 62 | 55 | 48 | 42 | 36 | 30 |
| 24 | 92 | 84 | 77 | 69 | 62 | 56 | 49 | 43 | 37 | 31 |

If the dry bulb temperature stays the same, and the difference between the dry bulb and wet bulb temperature increases, the relative humidity

A increases
B decreases
C stays the same
D cannot be determined

## DATA APPENDIX


for the Dation and Demegeraphic Subpepalatioms

|  | MEAM |  | 5 STO DEY |  | 5 F |  | 101H |  | 2574 |  | 507\% |  | T3in |  | P01\% | 951m |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| .- total .. | 232.86 |  | 31.38 | 0.6) | 979.46 | 1.3) | 191.3r | 1.2) | 211.6 | 1.1) | 233.91 | 1.5) | 254.91 | 1.2) | 272.11 9.17 | 282.08 | 1.6) |
| SEX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| male | 233.84 | 1.17 | 31.88 | 0.6) | 179.51 | 2.13 | 199.4 |  | 211.98 |  | 235.08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| female | 231.76 | 1.0) | 30.68 | 0.6) | 170.21 | 1.6) | 191.08 |  | 211.3i | 1.2) | 232.8 |  | 258.08 | 1.3) | 269.98 | $\begin{aligned} & 28.31 \\ & 279.68 \end{aligned}$ | 1.7) |
| Race/ETMuICITY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| wilt | 242.18 | 1.0) | 27.38 | 0.6) | 195.51 | P.7) | 208.38 |  | 224.26 | 1.6) | 242.88 | .01 |  |  |  |  |  |
| black | 205.48 | 1.5) | 26.68 | 0.7) | 162.31 |  | 177.26 |  | 187.9 | 2.3) | 206.0\% |  | 222. | 1.4) | $276.5 \times 0.9)$ $239.2(1.8)$ | 286.21 251.16 | 1.0) |
| HISPAMIC | 212.08 | 1.5) | 29.26 | 1.01 | 163.41 |  | 173.96 |  | 192.36 | 1.7) | 211.76 | (i.b) | 232.81 | 1.4) | $239.261 .8)$ <br> 250.08 <br> 1.7$)$ | 251.16 260.9 | 2.3) |
| asian/pacific islander | 253.2¢ | 3.0) | 30.16 | 2.2) | 186.16 |  | 195.26 |  | 212.30 | 3.1) | 230.71 | 4.9) | 253.11 | 8.8) | 274.9( 6.2) | 286.96 | 3.3) |
| merican imojam, ALASKAN MATIVE | 220.18 | 2.7) | 27.76 | 1.3) | 180.58 | 8.9) | 191.21 | 2.6) | 207.01 | 6.2) | 226.95 | 9.91 | 245.81 | 4.5) | 262.8( 6.9$)$ | 270.11 | 4.93 |
| Megiom |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| mpatMeast | 235.64 | 1.9) | 32.08 |  | 178.3¢ |  | 191.64 |  | 216.11 |  | 238.11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| soutmeast | 226.71 | 2.3) | 30.51 | 0.9) | 176.81 |  | 987.71 |  | 205.31 |  | 228.81 |  | 248.08 |  | $27.613 .6)$ | 276 |  |
| cempral | 23, 31 | 2.2) | 29.61 | 1.1) | 182.76 |  | 194.68 | 3.07 | 215.21 | 3.23 | 236.16 |  | 254.86 |  | $275.9(2.9)$ $274.8(2.3)$ | 276.01 280.18 |  |
| MES | 234.61 |  | 39.71 | 1.0) | 180.81 |  | 192.38 |  | 212.96 | 1.8) | 235.68 |  | 257.26 | 2.75 | 274.19 2.0) | 285.11 | 2.1) |
| TYPE Of COMumity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EXTREME RUPAL | 235.08 | 2.6) | 27.46 | 1.11 | 189.41 |  | 199.06 |  | 217.7 |  | 235.10 |  | 253. |  |  |  |  |
| disabyantaged urbay | 208.61 | 2.6) | 30.61 | 1.2) | 150.11 |  | 169.78 | 1.8) | 187.01 |  | 207.68 |  | 230.16 |  | $269.9(2.2)$ | 200.28 |  |
| advaitaged laban | 251.68 | 2.4) | 26.56 | 1.6) | 204.78 |  | 217.51 | 7.17 | 235.96 |  | 253.0r |  | 260.61 |  | $26.182 .2)$ $20.5(2.7)$ | 280.01 292.46 |  |
| OTMER | 232.65 | 1.0) | 30.48 | 0.5) | 184.51 |  | 192.56 |  | 231.8t | $1.1)$ | 233.36 | 4.2) | 253.91 | 1.5) | 271.3( 1.7$)$ | 289.19 |  |
| PAREMTS' EDUCATIOM LEVEI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than h.s. | 221.44 | 2.2) | 27.98 | 1.5) | 175.18 | 8.8) | 185.11 |  | 202.91 | 2.8) | 222.01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CRRDMATED H.S. | 225.71 | 3.6) | 28.96 | 0.8) | 176.84 |  | 188.31 | 2.07 | 208.11 | 1.95 | 226.46 | 1.6) | 246.11 | 1.4) | $262.9(2.0)$ | 271.51 | 2.4) |
| Some education afier h.s. | 261.91 | 1.8) | 29.2! | 0.9) | 987.9\% |  | 201.38 | 1.6) | 223.71 | 3.97 | 266.91 | 3.6) | 262.41 | 9.8) | 276.9( 1.5) | 284.8 |  |
| gradunted canlege | 242.78 | 1.23 | 31.51 | 0.6) | 980.86 |  | 199.81 |  | 221.91 | 2.9) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UnKrmon | 225.88 | 0.82 | 29.61 | 0.6) | 175.71 |  | 187.41 |  | 206.98 | 1.3) | 227.26 | 0.9) | 24.110 | 0.97 | $262.5(2.6)$ | $272.46$ |  |
| TYPE Of SCMOOL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PUBLIC | 231.41 | 1.0) | 31.48 | D.5) | 178.18 | 2.2) | 190.06 | 4.1) | 210.119 | 1.6) | 232.50 |  | 23.51 |  |  |  |  |
| private | 243.46 | 1.9) | 28.18 | 0.97 | 195.91 | 3.7) | 206.363 | $3.6)$ | 225.142 | 2.5) | 246.48 | i.9) | 263.21 | 2.0) | 278.6 (4.1) | 288.7T | 3.4) |

The standerd errors of the estimated proficiencies appear in parentheses. It can be said with os percent certainty that for each population of interesi the value for the mote population is withn plus or minus two standard errors of the estimite for the pemple.

## 

 for the Vation and Demestaphic Subperpatations


The standard errors of the estimated proficiencies appear in porentheses. If can be said with os percent certainty that for each
The standard errors of the estimated proticiencies appear in parmion of interest the valus or the mole population is with plus or minus imo standarderrors of the estimate for the sample.
Interpref with caution
The nature of the sample does not altow accurate determination of the variability of this estimated fiatistic.

|  | Men | \$50 |  | STH | 107\% | 87\% | 507m | T374 | 907\% | 957M |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -. rotal | 293.5( 1.2) | 42.58 | 0.6) | 221.71 1.8) | 237.581 .97 | $245.0(1.6)$ | 2\%.18 1.23 | $323.2(1.1)$ | 34.581 .77 | 362.68 1.7) |
| 5 sax |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 290.98 1.5) | 43.9 | 0.7 | 224.29 3.7) | 260.60 2.1) | 205.8( 2.6) | $299.361 .6)$ | 330.6 ( 1-8) | $36.6(1.7)$ |  |
| PEALE | 288.8 ( 1.2) | 40.61 | 0.85 | $299.7(2.4)$ | 235.181 .98 | 261.70 1.8) | 290.0 ( 1.7 | 316.8( 1.8) | 339.78 1.7) | $309.5(1.6)$ $353.9(2.6)$ |
| Race/rtumicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| wite | 302.51 1.3) | 38.8 | 0.68 | 237.7( 2.4) | 251.5( 1.98 | 276.6( 1,5) | 302.60 1.5) | 329.41 1.2) | $353.1(2.6)$ |  |
| Place | 236.34 8.43 | 33.51 | 9.7) | 39.8. 3.6 ) | $208.1(3.0)$ | 229.61 2.1) | 256.561 .95 | 281.8 2.6 | 30.3082 .8 | 300.042 .27 |
| nispaus |  | 30.78 | $1.6)$ | $211.0(5.7)$ | 223.1( 6.3$)$ | $265.5(3.2)$ | 272.3 4.2$)$ | 297.413 .3 | 322.913 .81 | 319.6 (4.1) |
| aslay | $308.2(7.1)$ | 42.51 | 2.17 | 230.2(21.6) | 269.4 8.44 | $200.3(16.7)$ | $311.0410 .0\rangle$ | 338.819 .01 | 360.9(10.3) | $37.2(11.9)$ |
| mastay milive! | 205.76 6.6) | 31.6 | 6.2) | 236.0( 7.2$)$ | 266.412.3) | 262.4(10.5) | 204.7(24.9) | $308.0(19.17$ | 320.7 9.7) | $34.5414 .9)$ |
| nesiom |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| mparmensy | 300.3( 3.3) | 42.18 | 1.2) | $228.7(6.07$ | 243.5( 4.63 | 272.9 4.5) | $301.82 .3)$ | 350.2( 2.3) | 354.3 (4.8) |  |
| soutmenst | $278.7(2.7)$ | 40.68 | 1.0) | 212.912.4) | $225.343 .3)$ | 269.9 4.6) | 278.8 3.75 | $307.9(2.6)$ | 31.14 | 34.51 (3.9) |
| CEITRAL | 29.4 ( 2.05 | 61.78 | $1.6)$ | 225.285 .27 | 241.542 .71 | 248.51 2.3) | 296.44 .65 | $32.1(2.5)$ | 340.5 2.71 | 36.14 $36.7(5.7)$ |
| WEST | 2\%.7( 2.9$)$ | 62.5 | 1.4) | 228.46 4.03 | 261.4; 3.0) | 268.14 3.3) | 295.86 | 323.41 4.6) | 383.6 ( 4.6 ) | 306.583 .77 |
| Trpe of commity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EXTREME Mral | 290.7( 3.9) | 39.48 | 1.\%) | 234.06 6.9) | 240.84 5.8) | 265.6( 5.7) | 209.8 3.9) | $319.0(3.8)$ | 341.7( 6.9 ) |  |
| Disanuantase mam | 272 : $3.3 ;$ | 43.41 | 2.3) | 206.0913.7) | 218.0. 5.57 | 242.26 6.1) | 273.6 ( 9.68 | $302.7(5.6)$ | 329.80 | 34.58 5.2) |
|  | 304.11 $296.25 .4)$ | 4.16 | 2.37 | $223.2(6.8)$ | $263.545 .6)$ | 278.6 ( 8.1$)$ | $305.0(4.3)$ | 336.0 (8.8) | 357.28 8.0) | 372.94 4.38 |
| OTAEP | 20.2( 1.6) | 4. 6 ¢ | 0.7) | 226.8( 2.7) | 261.8( 2.2) | 208.61 2.6) | 296.5( 1.6) | 36.04 2.2) | $330.2(2.5)$ | 353.681 .35 |
| PAMEMTS' EDCATIOW Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LESS TMAM M.S. | 269.0 ( 2.55 | 37.8 | 1.6) | $209.1(5.7)$ | 222.503 .07 | 242.8( 3.6) | $266.9(3.9)$ | 20.8(9.4) | $349.1(4.3)$ | 34.25 6.7 |
| endualdi H.5. | 278.9 ( 1.3) | 38.4 | 0.8) | 216.5( 2.8) | $228.9(2.6)$ | 252.78 2.0) | 260.0( 1.9) | $305.7(1.3)$ | 328.2 ( 1.97 | 34.5 ( 3.5 ) |
| SNE EDUCATIOM | 295.2( 1.3) | 30.36 | 0.97 | 229.6(3.6) | 245.06 3.5) | 270.41 1.3$)$ | 295.56 \$.3) | 321.641 .59 | 34.68 2.3) | 577.74 5.15 |
| canouate mblife | $308.261 .4)$ | 69.38 | 0.8) | 236.3( 2.5 ) | $252.9 \times 1.8)$ | 281.2 ( 1.0) | 309.8\{ 1.5) |  |  |  |
| Unomer | 249.0( 5.3) | 46.01 | 6.71 | 177.9( 8.2$)$ | 194.1(12,6) | 216.84 7.8) | $248.7(7.07$ | 279.2(12.0) | 301.7( 4.5 ) | $\begin{aligned} & 372.3(1.5) \\ & 386.7(7.8) \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| purit | 292.781 .37 | 42.91 | 0.73 | $220.2(2.0)$ | 236.2( 9.51 | 263.61 1.5) | 293.2( 1.7) | $322.7(1.6)$ | 348.5( 1.9) |  |
| PRIMATE | 301.2( 2.8) | 37.8 | 1.5) | 237.3( 3.17 | 231.64 2.8) | 273.8( 3.6) | $302.2(2.7)$ | 326.8 2 2.31 | 349.68 2.8) | $361.618 .65$ |
| TYPE of main scmom procean |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crimit | 278.04 1.4) | 37.58 | $0.8)$ | 216.3 1.9) | 228.94 2.6) | 251.68 2.1) |  |  |  |  |
| ACRDENIC/CCKLEE PREP | $308.7(1.3)$ | 39.58 | 0.8) | $260.5(2.0)$ | $256.9(1.5)$ | $283.3(1.2)$ | $277.3(2.0)$ $310.5(1.3)$ | 301.08 $336.26 ~$ 9.5) | 324.46 28.6$)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 340.0(6.5) \\ & 370.0(2.0) \end{aligned}$ |
| Vоcat "maltecmareal | 264.84 2.4) | 37.18 | 1.4) | 203.2( 2.5) | 215.462 .17 | 323.683 .77 | 266.3( 3.3) | 291.84 2.5) | 311.48 2.5) | $323.1(5.4)$ |

 population of intertes the vilue for the wele population is within plise or minu two standord errors of the estimete for the efmple.
Ilineerpret with coution .. the netury of the suple does not allow cecurate determination of the verisbility of shis astimated steciatic.

#   

|  | N | veignted | PCT | [CV] | 200 | 250 |  | 300 | 350 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| total - | 6514 | 100.0f | 0.0) | (18) | 86.51 0.8) | 30.61 | 1.3) | 1.080.2) | O.OX | 0.07 |
| SEX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| mace | 1220 | 51.26 | 0.7) | [2\% | 84.691 .03 | 32.36 | 8.7) | 1.110 .21 | 0.06 | 0.05 |
| frale | 3096 | 68.86 | 0.7) | ( 2\%1 | 84.6(1.0) | 28.71 | (1.6) | 0.80 .33 | 0.06 | 0.07 |
| racefetmulicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Milite | 3715 | 70.21 | 0.5) | [10] | $93.140 .8)$ | 39.81 | 1.63 | $1.3(0.3)$ | 0.08 | 0.09 |
| chack | 1075 | 15.26 | 0.6) | [ 201 | 58.142 .71 | 5.21 | 1.1) | 0.110 .31 | 0.05 | 0.0) |
| nispanic | 1161 | 41.0r | $0.3)$ | [37] | $65.9(2.4)$ |  | 1.2) | $0.010 .0)$ | 0.08 | 0.03 |
| Aslampacific istamer | 199 | 1.96 | 0.35 | fick | 87.3 (3.1) | 20.26 | 3.2) | 1.8 ( 1.5) | 0.06 | 0.0) |
| american ImDIAM/ <br> claskan mative | 157 | 1.60 | $0.3)$ | [18*) | 81.3(5.3) | 20.26 |  | 0.010 .09 | D.OK | 0.0) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| mortimeast | 1278 | 21.51 | 0.8) | [64] | 85.619 .81 | 35.58 |  | $1.460 .7)$ | 0.06 | 0.0) |
| SOUSHEAST | 1636 | 24.34 | 0.8) | [ 3nd | 80.2( 2.51 | 23.36 |  | 0.6 (0.2) | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| cemiral | 1505 | 26.51 | 0.8) | [ 3\% | 87.04 2.0) | 30.91 | 3.1) | 0.68 (0.3) | 0.04 | 0.07 |
| vest | 1897 | 27.61 | 0.8) | [ 3\%] | 85.1( 1.7) | 32.85 |  | 1.3(0.3) | 0.01 | 0.0) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Extereme mual | 694 | 10.8K | 1.8) | [16\%] | 89.412 .71 | 29.81 |  | $0.6(0.6)$ | 0.06 | 0.07 |
| disadyamiaced urgam | 767 | 9.41 | 1.1) | (12\% | 59.41 3.9) | 9.61 |  | $0.1(0.0)$ | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| ADYAMTACED LREAM | 753 | 11.11 | 1.7) | [156] | $96.2(1.5)$ | 56.76 |  | $2.5(0.9)$ | 0.08 | 0.0) |
| OTKER | 6303 | 68.61 | 2.8) | \4\% | 85.3 (1.1) | 29.65 | 1.4) | $0.9 \times 0.21$ | 0.01 | 0.0) |
| paremti' educatiow level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LESS THAK H.S. | 307 | 5.11 | 0.4) | ( 8x) | 78.013 .75 | 16.46 |  | $0.0(0.0)$ | 0.01 | 0.0) |
| CRNDLATED W.S. | 928 | 15.71 | 0.71 | [4*] | $80.9(1.8)$ | 20.91 | 2.8) | 0.4 ( 0.3) | 0.01 | 0.07 |
| some educatiom afier h.s. | 524 | 8.01 | 0.4) | (5x) | $99.0(1.6)$ | 62.46 |  | 1.0. 0.81 | 0.08 | 0.07 |
| crapunted coilece | 2290 | 35.26 | 1.1) | [3x] | 09.9( 0.9) | 46.21 | 1.9) | $2.0(0.3)$ | 0.06 | 0.0) |
| unurcem | 2226 | 34.81 | 0.8) | ( 2\%) | $80.5(1.17$ | 20.71 | 1.3) | $0.3(0.3)$ | 0.08 | 0.0) |
| TYPE Df Scmoor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pualic | 5092 | 88.86 | (.)] | [ 2\%] | 83.64 ( 1.03 | 29.11 | 1.4) | $0.9(0.2)$ | 0.01 | 0.0) |
| privafe | 1222 | 11.29 | 1.1) | [10\%] | $93.5(1.2)$ | 62.11 | 3.1) | 1.5 (0.4) | 0.01 | 0.0) |




|  | M | meicnite met | [CV] | 200 | 250 | 300 | 350 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - ${ }^{\text {- }}$ TOTAL .- | 6531 | 100.0( 0.01 | 198 | $93.6 \times 0.69$ | 64.181 .37 | 17.08 1.05 | $0.780 .2)$ |
| sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| mace | 3228 | 50.18 0.8) | 120 | 93.58 0.6) | 64.7( 1.6$)$ | $21.1(1.5)$ | 1.04 0.6) |
| PBMES | 3303 | $49.9 \times 0.81$ | (2010 | 93.7(0.3) | 63.4(1.5) | 14.74 1.2) | $0.3 \times 0.15$ |
| MEE/ETMUICITY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hilt | 4223 | 70.06 0.4) | [109 | 97.400 .57 | 74.1( 1.3) | 23.18 1.3) | 0.960 .37 |
| Blact | 917 | 14.08 0.6) | 530 | 70.9( 2.51 | 31.3(2.3) | $2.5(0.8)$ | 0.180 .19 |
| mispanic | 1090 | $10.180 .3)$ | 150 | E.ser 1.71 | 61.7( 2.0) | 6.80 | $0.1(0.17$ |
| ASIAMMACIFIC ISLADDES | 238 | $2.7(0.4)$ | 1400 | 9.61 1.9) | 71.4(4.6) | 22.346 .12 | $0.7(0.6)$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { AEDICAM IMDIAM/ } \\ & \text { ALAEXAM MTIME! } \end{aligned}$ | 95 | 1.410 .51 | [360] | 91.4 2.8) | $53.6(11.6)$ | 7.01 2.89 | 0.0\% 0.0) |
| negiow |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| mortheast | 9506 | 21.0K 1.03 | [54] | 94.7(9.5) | 70.74 2.98 | 22.0 2.03 | 0.4 0.6) |
| geutmeasy | 1800 | $24.3(0.8)$ | [30] | 91.90 1.5) | 57.5(2.0) | 13.119 .35 | 0.6( 0.3$)$ |
| cempras | 1308 | 36.80 .70 | 13x | 93.7 (1.2) | 6.85 2.6) | 18.3 ( 1.6) | $0.4(0.6)$ |
| rest | 2062 | 30.06 0.93 | [301 | $94.0(1.2)$ | 62.68 2.53 | 18.68 2.98 | $0.980 .6)$ |
| Trpe dof eomamity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exincer mulu | 661 | 11.442 .17 | 1984 | 93.41 1.7) | 58.354 .17 | 13.3( 2.4 ) | $0.480 .3)$ |
| Disaowninets uncal | 810 | $9.2\{1.7)$ | [194] | 83.54.2) | 63.0( 3.88 | 8.0( 1.7$)$ | $0.310 .2)$ |
| movangiseo umaxit | 008 | 10.412 .23 | [2201 | 98.7 ( 0.65 | 02.4 ( 2.69 | $33.5(5.4)$ | 1.981 .08 |
| OTME | $42^{2 \%}$ | $69.112 .8)$ | 148 | 0.2(0.8) | 65.1 (1.5) | 17.681 .15 | 0.640 .35 |
| Pakituts exucatio level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Liss 7 may m. | 534 | A.8\% 0.6) | 170 | 80.42 .91 | 61.083 .07 | $3.6 \times 1.2)$ | 0.180 .09 |
| Capuated m.s. | 1540 | $24.80 .6)$ | 1 3 | 92.063 .07 | 56.2( 1.48 | 9.70 .97 | $0.1(0.2)$ |
| goce empariom after m.s. | 1195 | 18.74 0.8) | 140 | 95.96 | 7.68 1.97 | 18.69 9.7) | 0.68 0.6) |
| camure coules | 2642 | 39.68 1.6) | 140 | 9.040 .53 | 76.2( 1.53 | 20.30 2.0) | 1.360 .37 |
| tumume | 559 | $7.980 .4)$ | (5\% | $82.812 .6)$ | 36.4 ( 2.4 ) | 4.54 9.01 | 0.000 .05 |
| TrPe pfescmex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Punic | 5208 | 88.681 .33 | 12010 | 03.040 .75 | 62.5( 1.3) | 17.2( 1.19 | 0.60 0.2) |
| PITVITE | 1323 | 11.411 .35 | [184 | 94.2( 0.6) | 78.3( 2,3) | 23.218 .77 | 1.280 .58 |
| ablity of smbemts in clats |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| mam mility | 817 | 13.681 .03 | [90] | 99.3 0.8) | 87.04 2.11 | 39.942.9) | $2.0(1.1)$ |
| Avitae Altility | 1685 | 23.8 8 1.77 | 18 | $96.2(0.6)$ | 68.81 .75 | 17.5( 1.48 | 0.540 .37 |
| Low mellity | 533 | 7.81.33 | 1480 | 6.742 .7 | 58.04 6.2) | 7.76 | $0.1(0.0)$ |
| Mreo Mility | 1590 | 26.482 .37 | (9\%1 | $93.0 \times 1.51$ | $65.9(2.6)$ | 17.982.63 | $0.640 .6)$ |




fior Vose loner lachorlech

|  | $\cdots$ | WEIGMTED PCT [CV) |  |  | 200 | 250 |  | 300 | 350 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| motal . | 6337 | 100.00 | $0.0)$ | ( 1*) | $98.610 .2)$ | 86.11 | 0.9) | $4.7(1.2)$ | 9.46 | 0.8) |
| SEX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 69.4( 1.4) |  |  |
| Male | 3058 | 48.26 | 0.8) | 128 | 98.9(0.3) | 32.51 | 1.11 | 40.3 ( 1.3) | 5.91 | 0.75 |
| ferale | 3279 | 51.36 | 0.8) | ( 2\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wire | 4443 | 73.21 | $0.4)$ | (12) | $99.6(0.1)$ |  |  | 12.012 .09 | 1.18 | 0.0) |
| black | 872 | 14.21 | 0.57 | 1 3x | 93.5 970.4 |  |  | 23.112 .97 | 2.96 | 1.0) |
| Hispanic | 700 | 8.28 | 0.3) | ( $4 \times 1$ | $97.5(0.8)$ |  | 3.4) | 59.947 .6 | 18.76 | 5.0) |
| asiampacific islamer | 263 | 3.61 | 0.2) | [5\%] | 99.119 |  |  | 39.91 (9.3) | 2.31 | 0.0) |
| Ameicam jminam ALASKAN MAlIVE: | 51 | 0.76 | 0.2) | [36x] | 99.680 .75 |  |  | $33.1(9.3)$ |  |  |
| REG10 W ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| mpaimeast | 1678 | 23.80 | 1.0) | ( $4 x$ | $88.9(0.5)$ | 75.4 | 2.4.4 | $31.2(2.5)$ |  | 0.8) |
| Soutmensy | 1728 | 20.61 | $0.8)$ | ( 4x) | $97.8(0.6)$ | 7. |  | $46.612 .0)$ |  | 1.5) |
| cemtral | 1277 | 26.54 | $0.5)$ | ( 2x) | $98.5(0.5)$ | 86.28 |  |  | 11.51 | 1.7) |
| UES | 1706 | 29.18 | 0.0) | ( 3x) | 9.960 .6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| TYPE of Comamily |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exireme rupal | 647 | 10.94 | $2.7)$ | [25\% | 99.7( 0.6$)$ | 68.91 | 5.0) | 27.613 .78 |  | 1.0) |
| disadvamiaged labam | 821 | 12.38 | $2.5)$ | 120x) | 95.8( 9.8 ( 0.97 |  |  | $56.5(6.6)$ | 14.21 | 2.2) |
| noyantaceo usban! | 786 | 10.21 | 2.4) | [24x] | 98.of 0.0 ( 0.9 ) |  |  | $86.8(1.5)$ | 10.11 | 1.1) |
| OIMER | 4083 | 66.61 | 3.5) | [58] | $99.0(0.2)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paremis' Ebucatiom level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LESS THAN N.S. | 490 | 7.58 | 0.6) |  | $97.510 .7)$ |  |  | 20.9( 1.4$)$ |  | 0.7) |
| GRAOLATEO H.S. | 1463 | 23.76 | 0.8) | $\left[\begin{array}{l}138 \\ 184\end{array}\right.$ | $97.9(0.6)$ |  |  | 65.11 9.7) | 7.66 | 1.0) |
| Sowe eoucaildi after h.s. | 9002 | 20.08 | 1.3) | 1 3 3x | $99.4(0.2)$ | 91.28 | 0.7) | 59.4 ( 1.6) | 16.11 | (1.5) |
| cratuated conlfae | 2656 | 40.68 | $0.3)$ $0.2)$ | (118] | $86.693 .9)$ | 47.61 | 7.5) | $11.8(2.7)$ | 2.21 | 2.2) |
| Luxwow | 130 | 2.11 | 0.2) | (1\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TYPE OF SCHOOL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pusilic | 6956 | 90.21 | 1.6 | [ $2 \pi]$ | $98.580 .2)$ |  | 1.5) | 52.112 .71 |  | (1.7) |
| private | 1381 | 9.88 | 1.4) | [14*) | 99.6 (0.2) | 9.76 | 1.5) | 32.712 .7 |  | (1) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GEMERAL | 2035 | 56.51 | (1.3) |  | $99.4(0.2)$ |  |  | 60.46 (1.4) | 14.78 | 1.1) |
| Achemicicoulege prep | 3773 | 36.51 | (1.3) | $\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { ( } \\ 1\end{array}\right.$ | 98.4181 | 64.58 | ( 3.6) | 17.8( 2.3) | 0.91 | (0.6) |

[^25]

##  

|  | MALE | feate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| .. T0才A. .. | $59.2(0.7)$ | 40.88 0.75 |
|  | 233.64 1.1) | $231.7(1.01$ |
| SEX |  |  |
| male | $100.0\{0.07$ | $0.080 .0)$ |
|  | 233.3 1.1) | -0.00 ( 0.0$)$ |
| FEmale | 0.0 (0.0) | 100.0( 0.0$)$ |
|  | -mane (0.0) | $231.7(1.0)$ |
| Race/Eimicily |  |  |
| walte | $51.5(1.0)$ | $48.5(1.0)$ |
|  | 263.28 1.3) | 260.9(1.1) |
| BLACK | 48.7 ( 1.6) | 51.3( 1.6) |
|  | 206.9( 1.8) | 205.8(1.8) |
| mispamie | 51.96 9.6) | 48.1( 1.6) |
|  | $213.0(1.6)$ | 211.06 1.9) |
| asimm/Pacific jsiamer | $51.0(4.0)$ | 49.0( 4.0) |
|  | 231.60 (3.2) | 234.9(4.4) |
| americam imdiamg | 57.5( 4.2) | 42.5 (4.2) |
| alaskan mafive | 227.1( 3.6) | 224.8(4.0) |
| Retion |  |  |
| mertheast | 51.3( 1.6 | $48.7(1.4)$ |
|  | $237.9(2.3)$ | $233.2(1.7)$ |
| southeast | $51.1(1.7)$ | $48.919 .7)$ |
|  | $228.0(2.7)$ | 225.34 2.1) |
| ceniral | $52.7(1.9)$ | 47.3 ( 9.9) |
|  | 235.3 ( 2.5) | $233.212 .4)$ |
| MES | $40.7(1.05$ | $50.3 i 1.0\rangle$ |
|  | 236.211 .71 | $234.612 .71$ |
| tYPE Of COMUNITY EXTREME RURAS |  |  |
|  | 54.4 2.5 ) | 45.6 ( 2.5) |
|  | $236.543 .6)$ | 233.5 ( 2.2) |
| dismpyamiaged urban | $53.1(2.0)$ | $46.912 .0)$ |
|  | 210.383 .13 | 206.58 2.7) |
| advantaged urbam | 50.3 ( 2.8) | $69.7(2.8)$ |
|  | 251.712 .91 | 251.682 .58 |
| OTHER | $50.5(1.0)$ | 49.5 ( 1.0) |
|  | 233.98 1.2) | 231.681 .97 |
| Parents' education level LESS TMAN M.S. |  |  |
|  | 50.3; 3.6) | $49.7(3.4)$ |
|  | 222.26 3.6) | $220.662 .8 \%$ |
| CRADUATED M.S. | 51.38 1.7) | 48.76 1.7) |
|  | 228.26 9.8) | 223.14 1.9) |
| some education AFtER M.S. | 50.01 2.7) | $49.2(2.7)$ |
|  | $243.7(2.5)$ | 240.1( 2.6) |
| graduated college | 54.00 1.3) | 46.0( 1.3) |
|  | $243.0(1.5)$ | 242.2( 1.4) |
| LuxNOM | 40.319 .17 | 51.7( 1.1) |
|  | 225.86 9.2) | $225.8(1.1)$ |
| TYPE OF SCHOD Pustic |  |  |
|  | $50.9(0.8)$ | $49.1(0.8)$ |
|  | 232.46 1.1) | 230.41 1.2) |
| private | $53.662 .2)$ | $46.4(2.2)$ |
|  | 244.412 .71 | 242.3( 1.8) |

The standerd errors of the estimated proficienctos appenr in perontheses. It can be said with of pefcent certainty that for ach population of interest, the vilue for the wole population is within plus or minus tmo standird errors of the ofstmete for the sample.



|  | WITE | black | mispanic | PACIFIC/ISLAMOER | MEDICAH IDDIAM mastal mative | OfIER |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -. TOTAL .- | 70.2( 0.51 | 15.2(0.4) | 11.0( 0.3) | $1.960 .3)$ | $1.6(0.3)$ | $0.910 .03$ |
| -. Total | 242.1 (1.0) | 205.4 (1.5) | $292.0(1.5)$ | 233.21 3.0) | 226.112 .71 | 223.0126.3) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| maie | $\begin{array}{r} 0.710 .7) \end{array}$ | $16.4(0.5)$ 204.9( 9.8) | $11.1(0.5)$ $213.0(1.6)$ | 23.98 \% 3.27 | $227.1(3.4)$ | 250.36 3.8) |
| FEmale | $69.7(0.7)$ | 96.0( 0.7$)$ | $10.840 .5)$ | 1.90 0.3) | $1.4(0.3)$ | 0.2(0.9) |
|  | $240.9(1.1)$ | $205.8(1.8)$ | 211.0( 1.9) | 236.9(4.4) | $224.8(4.0)$ | 212.7(32.7) |
| Race/ETM icItymitite |  |  |  |  |  | 0.080 .07 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 109.0<0.0\rangle \\ & 262.1\{1.0\rangle \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.01 \\ 0.07 \\ 0.0\rangle \end{gathered}$ |  | -0*ete( 0.0$)$ | $0 \pm 0.04(0.0)$ | - 0.0 ( 0.07 |
| black | 0.080 .08 | 100.08 0.0) | $0.0(0.0)$ | 0.0¢ 0.0$\rangle$ | $0.0(0.0)$ | 0.010 .07 |
|  | $\cdots=0.0$ ( 0.0 ) | 205.4 ( 1.59 | -0.0.0) (0.0) | -memer 0.08 | -0***(0.0) | ***( 0.0) |
| hispanic | $0.0 ¢ 0.09$ | $0.0\{0.05$ | 100.0(0.0) | $0.060 .0)$ | $0.0 \times 0.0)$ | 0.080 .07 |
|  | meteot (0.0) | *****(0.0) | 212.0( 1.5) | ***ee( 0.01 | $\cdots \times 0.0$ ( 0.0) | -6** 0.0$\rangle$ |
| ASIAM/PACIFIC | $0.0(0.0)$ | 0.080 .05 | $0.0(0.0)$ | 900.080 .08 | $0.0<0.0\rangle$ | $0.0(0.0)$ |
|  | *****(0.0) | ***** 0.0 ) | -****(0.0) | 233.263 .07 |  | $0 * * *=(0.0)$ |
| mericam Imolan/ alaskar mative | 0.0( 0.0$)$ | $0.010 .0)$ | $0.080 .0)$ | $0.0(0.0)$ | $100.0(0.0)$ | 0.080 .07 |
|  | *****(0.0) | -****( 0.0 ) | -****(0.0) | -**ロ* (0.0) | 226.1 ( 2.7) | $0 \times+0$ ( 0.0$)$ |
| EECIOM |  |  |  |  |  | 0.040 .07 |
| montimeasy | $3.242 .0\rangle$ $340.742 .11$ | $15.3(1.9)$ $109.7(3.1)$ | 200.9 ( 3.3 ) | $228.3\left(\begin{array}{rr}0.7 \\ \hline\end{array}\right.$ | $229.2(5.8)$ | $\cdots+* *(0.0\rangle$ |
| SOUTMEAST | 63.681 .91 | 26.51 9.7) | $8.1(0.9)$ | $0.5(0.2)$ | $1.2(0.3)$ | $0.1(0.9)$ |
|  | 236.712 .71 | 208.482 .03 | 208.7( 3.3) | 226.78 8.0) | $225.7(5.0)$ | 187.3(****) |
| cemtral | $78.4(2.0)$ | (2.3 1.7) | $6.4(0.6)$ | 0.860 .23 | 2.0 ( 0.6 ) | $0.260 .0)$ |
|  | 240.9( 1.6) | $202.3(4.2)$ | 217.2(3.7) | 264.3 (11.6) | 221.6 (6.1) | 232.7(12.7) |
| ME5 | 65.8( 1.9) | 7.91 1.3) | 19.0 ( 9.1$)$ | 5.11 1.23 | $2.1(0.6)$ | $0.140 .1)$ |
|  | 244.0( 1.8) | 209.41 3.1) | $212.5(2.3)$ | $232.8(3.6)$ | $229.145 .3)$ | $252.7(7.7)$ |
| TYPE OF COMawlity EXTREWERTMA | $85.612 .1)$ | $5.3(1.77$ | $6.6(0.9)$ | $0.3(0.2)$ | $2.110 .6)$ | $0.3(0.3)$ |
|  | $237.8(2.6)$ | $208.7(5.1)$ | $223.6(4.5)$ | $263.5(47.2)$ | 229.0( 7.2$)$ | 187.3(****) |
| oisaduahtaced lmbay | $35.3(6.3)$ | 36.0(6.6) | 23.1( 2.7 ) | 2.6 (0.9) | 1.76 (0.5) | 0.290 .23 |
|  | 231.0. 2.9) | 193.7( 2.3) | 197.3(3.5) | 213.383 .68 | $207.8(4.1)$ | $\left.263.11^{+0+\infty}\right)$ |
| moyantaced uneam | 80.6(2.7) | 6.34 1.6) | 7.7 (0.9) | 4.489 .73 | 1.1(0.3) | 0.910 .93 |
|  | $255.7(2.2)$ | 221.5( 8.3) | 253.3( 6.6) | 236.4(10.3) | $263.6(7.8)$ | 261.5(10.3) |
| Oraten | 70.961 .07 | $15.2(0.9)$ | $10.510 .5)$ | $1.810 .3)$ | $1.680 .3)$ | 0.160 .03 |
|  | 261.111 .08 | 200.042 .07 | $212.841 .6)$ | 228.0 (3.8) | $226.5(3.6)$ | 234.6(18.6) |
| parents' education levet LESE TMAM H.S. | 67.8i 3.6) | 12.5( 2.3) | 16.6( 2.3 ) | $1.5(0.7)$ | $1.8(0.9)$ | $0.0(0.0)$ |
|  | $228.412 .7)$ | 206.365 .37 | 206.8( 3.3) | 226.4(11.4) | 209.8 ( 7.27 | -0*** (0.0) |
| craouated m.s. | 69.8 (1.7) | 16.8 1.2) | 10.8( 0.9) | $0.7(0.2)$ | $1.7(0.5)$ | $0.2(0.2)$ |
|  | 234.5( 1.5) | $201.9(2.6)$ | $208.2(3.1)$ | 219.917 .01 | 219.4 ( 6.35 | 187.34***) |
| SONE EDUCATID AfTER h.S. | 77.0( 1.68 | 10.9( 1.4) | $9.361 .1)$ | $1.5(0.5)$ | 1.3 (0.6) | $0.0 ¢ 0.07$ |
|  | 249.641 .88 | $206.846 .5)$ | 220.7 (4.1) | 243.368 .11 | 231.2( 7.5 ) | - $0 . \pm$ ( 0.0 ) |
| craduated collece | $71.2(1.1)$ | 16.06 0.8) | 8.680 .55 | 2.040 .63 | 1.46 (0.3) | 0.140 .17 |
|  | 252.76 (1.2) | 210.7( 2.3) | $221.8(2.2)$ | 266.045 .97 | 234.4 (5.2) | $246.4(8.3)$ |
| Luxwown | $88.010 .8)$ | 14.3( 0.8) | $13.240 .6)$ | $2.510 .4)$ | $1.9(0.6)$ | $0.160 .1)$ |
|  | $23.781 .0)$ | $209.1(2.07$ | 207.012 .11 | 226.2(3.2) | 223.91 6.0) | $233.6(12.6)$ |
| TYP\% of SCH00: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PLSLIC | 69.010 .69 | 16.0(0.5) | $11.4(0.3)$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 0.140 .0) \\ 220.4(25.3) \end{array}$ |
|  | 249.3( 1.1) | 204.46 1.5) | 210.91 1.6) | $230.4 \text { ( } 3.6 \text { ) }$ | 225.2( 2.8) |  |
| private | 79.6(2.3) | 8.51 1.4) | 8.14 9.3) | $3.0(1.2)$ | $0.940 .3)$ | 0.110 .17 |
|  | 247.6( 9.9 ) | 221.9( 4.1) | 226.3( 4.8) | 246.117 .17 | $241.1(4.6)$ | $268.2(0 \times 6)$ |

The stendard errors of the estimated profirigncles appear in pereniteses. If can be aid with of percent certeinty that for each population of interest, she value for the mote population is within plus or mirus swo sfenctard errors of the estimete for the emple.

## 

## Percentages of Students amel Mean Proficiencies for the Vation and Demographic Sulpopulations be (iender

|  | nel | FExM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Tolat .- | 50.18 0.8) | 69.06 9.8) |
|  | 36.14 1.6) | 261.06 1.2) |
| sat |  |  |
| mate | 100.-4 0.0\% | 0.080 .09 |
|  | 265.11 1.6) | ceas* (0.0) |
| freal | 0.080 .01 | 100.0f 0.01 |
|  | *-00\% (0.0) | 261.0 O 9.21 |
| MACESETMUICITY |  |  |
|  | 50.96 1.0) | 49.14 1.0) |
|  | 27.4 (1.8) | $271.381 .6)$ |
| nisam | 65.16 1.7) | 54.7: 1.7 ) |
|  | 231.02 .01 | 250.5*2.11 |
| mispant | 39.97 1.6) | 48.17 1.6) |
|  | 243.18 3.03 | 239.18 2.5) |
| asiamparific 3slamore | 52.96 3.8) | 4T.14 3.b) |
|  | 272.36 4.73 | 268,36 4.5) |
| Mericam indianf | 42.04 3.6) | 58.085 .67 |
| uaskan mative, | 255.2410.3) | 260.54 7.8) |
| 解610\% |  |  |
| monineas? | 31.5 ( 9.8) | 48.58 1.01 |
|  | $270.7(3.6)$ | 267.613 .13 |
| SCuthiasy | 67.36 1.4) | 52.54 1.6) |
|  | $250.542 .2)$ | 233.36 2.3) |
| crimbal | 50.9( 2.0$)$ | 49.182 .01 |
|  | 260.16 2.8) | 262.88 2.6) |
| vest | 50.3 ( 1.0$)$ | 40.51 1.03 |
|  | 236.7 (3.7) | 261.562 .61 |
| TYPE Of comanity |  |  |
|  | 30.86 2.3) | 49.212 .31 |
|  | 250.08 3.4) | 250.08 3.01 |
| disepyantace lmany | 32.26 1.8) | 67.8f 1.89 |
|  | 262.5(4.3) | 241.8(4.7) |
| abvamyacep umpay | 49.26 1.5) | 50.81 1.5) |
|  | 28.48 3.0) | 290.54 4.0) |
| Dint | 49.8 9.0) | 50.26 9.0) |
|  | 266.269 .83 | 281.261 .51 |
| maxime mpucaiom atyel |  |  |
| Lisis | 40.42 .35 | 59.04 2.3) |
|  | 24.43.3) | 238.71 3.3) |
|  | 50.11 1.6) | 49.9 1.4) |
|  | [3.91 9.3) | 22.381 .35 |
|  | 46.08 4.6) | 36.0\% 1.4) |
|  | $270.52 .3)$ | 206.7(1.5) |
| chaximic matis | 52.4 1.3) | 67.6( 1.7) |
|  | 277.42 .07 | 275.38 1.03 |
| nmapan | 57.212 .75 | 42.108 2.73 |
|  | 260.143 .07 | 231.0. 2.75 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| MIMTy | 50.11 1.6) | *9.9 9.6$)$ |
|  | 277.4 2.65 | 375.14 2.7) |
| Aly |  |  |
| Mate Mility |  |  |
|  | 30, 4 3.6 | $2.3 \times 2.19$ |
|  | 49,4 1.6) | 50.38 1.4) |
|  | 24.4i 2.0 | 20.14 9.3) |
| 40 mitify | 49.9 2.69 | 50.14 2.6) |
|  | 24.34 4.9 | 24.34 4.03 |
| Mym Mility | 50.4 1.4) | 49.4 1.4) |
|  | 29.31 2.0\% | 29.4 3.17 |

 Xationamd bemogriphic subpeopuhations he Race Ithoit?

|  | unn ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | mack | \#15panic | 4S1A <br>  | manice inolan alasxat mative | Ofux |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| .. rotas | 70.3( 0.4) | 16.840.4) | 10.1( 0.5$)$ | 2.710.6) | $1.6 \times 0.5)$ $251.0(3.5)$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.1(0.0) \\ 285.1(12.8) \end{array}$ |
| , | 272.911 .63 | 231.06 2.2) | 24.212 .11 | 270.514 .07 |  |  |
| malf |  |  |  |  | $1.2(0.6)$ | 0.110 .13 |
|  | $72.040 .75$ | 13.48 231.08 $2.8)$ | $243.113 .08$ | 272.54 .45 | 253.2(10.3) | 273.9112 .83 |
| ferale | 60.88 0.78 | $10.310 .6)$ | 9.8(0.6) | 2.08 0.5 | $1.040 .0)$ | $0.110 .1)$ |
|  | 374.3( 1.6) | 230.312 .11 | 259.1( 2.5 ) | 200.3: 6.53 | 249.517 .81 | 4.8(14.5) |
| Race/fitmicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $100.010 .0)$ <br> 27208 <br> 1.69 | 0.04 0.09 | $\begin{gathered} 0.0(0.0) \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  | neont 0.00 | $\cdots=0.0$ ( 0.0$)$ |
| Binck |  |  | 0.010 .03 | $0.010 .0)$ | 0.010 .07 | 0.050 .03 |
|  | $\cdots$ | 231.082 .28 | $\cdots-0.00$ (0.0) | -ater 0.01 | -0.0es 0.07 | -0.0.9 ¢ 0.01 |
| N/SPAmic |  | 0.0 ( 0.0) | $100.010 .0)$ | 0.000 .08 | 0.060 .07 | 0.060 .03 |
|  | -0.ee( 0.0$)$ | -0.0.0 0.0$)$ | 24.212 .17 | -9.0.( 0.0 ) | $090(0.0)$ | -**( 0.03 |
| asiampacisic islamer | $0.000 .0)$ | 0.060 .01 | $0.0(0.0)$ | $180.010 .0)$ | 0.0. 0.0) | 0.010 .03 |
|  | -0.0040.0.0) | $\cdots 0.0$ ( 0.0$)$ | -0.ei 0.01 | 270.5 ( 4.01 | -0.78( 0.0$)$ | (0.0(0.0) |
| mafican indiavi, | 0.010 .01 | 0.050 .05 | $0.0(0.0)$ | 0.080 .01 | 900.04 0.09 | $\begin{gathered} 0.01 \\ 0.09 \\ 0.09 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | -0.0.* (0.0) | $0 \cdot 90.09$ | -0.es( 0.0$)$ | ****(0.0) |  | **** 0.0$)$ |
| RECID |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| mory heas? | 70.4( 3.4) 277.3 (2.7) | $\begin{array}{r} 12.36 \\ 232.71 \\ 232.01 \\ 7.41 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7.01(18.97 \end{array}$ | $280.8(7.8)$ | $269.8(19.5)$ | 226.20-se*) |
| Sovimins | 69.11 2.3) | $25.012 .3)$ | 3.96 0.9) | 0.80 0.2) | $0.54(0.2)$ |  |
|  | 207.21 1.7) | 228.813 .37 | $262.0(4.5)$ | 272.3 (0.2) | 258.3(12.3) | 305.6 ( 6.6 ) |
| CEmirat | 78.6 ( 9.6) | 12.8( 1.0$)$ | 5.610 .97 | $9.800 .6)$ | 1.0t 0.3) | 0.260.19 |
|  | 272.012 .21 | 230.3 ( 5.69 | $26.2 .21 .98)$ | 268.318 .39 | 248.0. 11.31 | $240.96(11.9)$ |
| Wst | 81.818 | $9.382 .0)$ | 31.219 .21 | 6.05 1.59 | 25.59 9 98.69 |  |
|  | 27.01 3.8) | 236.08 3.63 | 269.11 2.0) | 205.54 6.7) | $252.5(98.0)$ |  |
| twpe of commmity |  |  |  |  |  | $0.2(0.3)$ |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 78.91 \\ 2.3 \\ 2 \times 3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.2(3.7) \\ & 227.2(7.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.7(9.9) \\ 237 . \\ \hline 18.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.6(0.3) \\ 237.5130 .33 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.4(6.9) \\ 246.419 .4) \end{array}$ | $273.8(34.3)$ |
| disadyamiaceo ungan |  |  | 22.963 .71 | 3.041 .97 | 1.140.6) | 0.140 .11 |
|  | 203.75 4.7) | $221.2(4.8)$ | 233.014 .71 | 257.34 703 | 222.4115 .43 | 276.310.0.) |
| novan'aged umpan' |  | 6.712 .81 | $0.410 .9)$ | 4.969 .37 | 0.410 .33 | 0.010 .03 |
|  | 287.003 .81 | 265.66 7.8$)$ | 270.215 .23 | $204.5(7.7)$ | 280.44 3.7) | $\cdots *=0$ (0.0) |
| 9ruma | 72.11 1.5) | 34.319 .0 | 9.7 0.8 ) | $2.880 .6)$ | 0.98 (0.7) | $\begin{array}{r} 0.110 .0) \\ 2333(120) \end{array}$ |
|  | 272.71 1.3: | 233.3 (3.1) | 24.11 3.03 | $268.8(4.0)$ | 250.26 6.2) |  |
| panemis' educitiom livet Less tham M.s. |  |  | 24.2( 9.9) | $1.38{ }^{0.3)}$ | 1.3 (0.6) | 0.1 (0.1) |
|  | 267.98 2.51 | 219.959 .3 | 236.66 3.6) | 242.3(12.6) | 246.523 .33 | 273.54 ${ }^{\text {(00\% }}$ |
| CRAOMATED M. B. | 73.461 .31 | 15.711 .03 | 9.85 0.6) | 1,4(0.3) | 9.84 0.6) | 0.140 .12 |
|  | 203.21 1.5) | 223.42 .7 | 236.182 .75 | 261.049 .21 | 239.8(13.7) | 278.8(10.2) |
| some muchyom after m.s. | 7.24 1.5) | 14,5 1.2) | 9.640.9) | $2.010 .5)$ | 1.7(0.6) | $0.050 .8)$ |
|  | 278.31 .75 | 240.6 ( 3.78 | 24.64 2.81 | 273.1 (3.8) | 269.9(16.5) | $0.0 .9(0.0)$ |
| denduyte conlece |  |  | 5.06 0.5) | $3.7(0.5)$ | 9.09 0.4) | $0.210 .1)$ |
|  | 284.26 9.6) | 210.653 .01 | 2s.0( 3.5 ) | 230.7 4.5) | 259.8( 4.4) | 273.0612.9) |
| maxnm | 48.86 9.9\% | $21.0(2.0)$ | 22.88 9.95 | 5.349 .48 | 1.900.71 | $0.180 .2)$ |
|  | 230.56 3.3) | $213.4(6.6)$ | 28,643.97 | 245.38 6.7 | 230.0(16.5) | $228.2{ }^{(6+0)}$ |
| type of stmochpulatic |  |  |  |  | 1.58 0.5) | $0.1(0.0)$ |
|  | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { T0.68 } \\ 271.9 & 0.6)\end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33.64 \\ 2.69 .6(2.3) \end{gathered}$ | 25.3 $\mathbf{2} \mathbf{2 . 4}$ | 256.78 5.17 | 250.948.6) | 852,444.4) |
| PAIVATE | 72.16 3.6) | 8.8 2.1) | 12.54 9.7) | 5.78 9.0) | 0.5 (0.2) | 0.260 .17 |
|  | 20.982.91) | $251.516 .9)$ | 230.1( 3.5 ) | $262.046 .9)$ | $277.218 .6)$ | 266.7690.5) |
| mility of stupempt in llas mid millity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\text { 7.04 } 2.1!$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.41 \\ 2 \times 8.7 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.5(0.8) \\ 27.45 .17 \end{array}$ | $\text { 25.04 } 0.97$ | $2.000 .2(15.7)$ | 262.9899.0) |
| avemet rellity | 77.1 (1.7) | 19.36 1.0) | 8.64 1.1) | 2.3(0.6) | $0.40 .2)$ | 0.750 .17 |
|  | 275.76 1.0) | 257.5 ( 3.6$)$ | 26.00 3.0) | 261.5( 6.91 | 27.683 .6 | 23.5.54.8) |
| LOW 4H)TY | 62.14 3.6) | 23.6 3.4) | 10.06 2.1) | 1.9080.7) | 1.3 [ 0.6 ) | 0.1 (0.1) |
|  | 230.04 4.0) | 221.71 5.9) | $23.268 .6)$ | 257.0911.9) | 221.7623.91 | 25.580, |
| nemp nilit | 72.94 2.51 | 35.712.11 | 8.5( 9.2 ) | 1.40.5) | 0.90.3) | $0.20 .1)$ |
|  | 27238.29 | 239.24 4.6) | 24.08 3.5) | 201.3 5.38 | 5\%.04 6.5) | 270.\%e.s) |




## Percentages at Sindents and \tean Proficiencies tor the Salton and Demosaphic Subpepulations ins (ender

|  | may | FEwat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| .. idtat . | $48.210 .8)$ | 31.840 .81 |
|  | 298.91 .51 | 288.04 1.2) |
| SEX |  |  |
| maly | 100.0f 0.0) | $0.060 .0 \%$ |
|  | 298.9: 1.5) | *eneti 0.0$)$ |
| FEmatif | 0.0f 0.01 | 300.010 .01 |
|  | -exanf 0.0) | 288.061 .21 |
| CACEJETM*ICIT |  |  |
| 4w13: | $49.0(1.0)$ | $51.0(1.0)$ |
|  | 307.11 1.53 | 2\%.01 1.3) |
| DLack | 63.34 2.2) | 59.782 .21 |
|  | 201.29 2.7) | 252.852 .97 |
| mispanif | 49.142 .93 | 50.9( 2.9) |
|  | 277.75 3.1) | 267.56 3.5) |
| ASIMmpacjeic ishamen | 46.0: 2.3 ) | 5.0( 2.3) |
|  | $315.2(10.6)$ | $302.365 .0)$ |
| Ampleme lwolams Alaskam mallvi | S6.08 7.7) | $43.147 .7)$ |
|  | 288.7 (7.1) | 281.8, 3.9) |
| REGION |  |  |
| MDPTHEA5 | 47.81 9.5) | 52.261 .59 |
|  | $305.713 .6)$ | 295.6 (3.6) |
| SOUTMEASt | 46.619 .73 | 53.68 9.7) |
|  | 281.71 6.0) | 276.26 2.2) |
| CEMital | 50.619 .01 | 49.41 1.9) |
|  | 300.712 .81 | 289.9( 2.6 ) |
| W5 5 | 47.5 ( 1.1) | 52.51 1.1) |
|  | 303.61 3.23 | 29.712 .93 |
| TYPE Of COMAMI: <br>  |  |  |
|  | 48.64 2,53 | $57.442 .5)$ |
|  | 294.0t 4.3) | 287.04 4.2) |
| Disadyaviaced urbat | 46.51 3.5) | $53.513 .5)$ |
|  | 280.84 4.17 | 200.14 0.0) |
| Aovamiace ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Imgan) | 47.2 (2.2) | 52.81 2.2) |
|  | 312.96 6-2) | 20.1( 5.3$)$ |
| Dimer | 48.56 9.0) | 51.419 .01 |
|  | $300.7(1.9)$ | 291.8( 9.5) |
| Paremis' educhiom tevei |  |  |
| LESS TMM M.S. | 37.36 ?.61 | 62.74 2.41 |
|  | 276.54 4.3) | 264.60 2.9) |
| Gracualip m.s. | 67.76 9.6) | 52.3i 1.6) |
|  | 281.392 .01 | 274.8( 1.6) |
| SOME ENKAYIOM MIER M.S. | 48.711 .61 | 31.3( 1.0) |
|  | 298.96 1.7) | $291.761 .7)$ |
| GPADUATED COLEES | 49.9: 1.01 | 50.71 9. ${ }^{\text {( ) }}$ |
|  | 313.9( 9.97 | $303.3($ 9.3) |
| UukMon | 53.3( 5.21 | 46.75 5.27 |
|  | 280.5( 0.8 ) | 255.96 6.6) |
| TYPE of SCmpos |  |  |
| pugit | $47.8(0.8)$ | $52.3(0.8)$ |
|  | 298.4 (1.7) | $287.5(1.3)$ |
| pelvait | $51.7(3.0)$ | 48.31 3.91 |
|  | $303.0(4.2)$ | 299.24 2.6) |
| TyPI of mich sencos pancian |  |  |
| cement | 50.118 .07 | $69.918 .0)$ |
|  | $283.012 .0)$ | 270.74 1.7) |
| acangmichcoslege prip | 65.7( 1.2) | 54.3 1.2) |
|  | 315.851 .71 | 302.71 1.3) |
| Vacatiomal /itcmital | 53.26 2.73 | 46.0. 2.79 |
|  | 270.11 5.4) | $258.363 .2)$ |




Perentages of Sments and Sean Proticiencien leg the Xation and Demographic Subpopulations by Race I Ahmits

|  | WHIIE | mack | MISPAmit | MBIAR PACIFICISLADEII | artican lmatimf | $010{ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| .- 101A . . | $\begin{array}{r} 73.2(0.6) \\ 302.5(1.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14.2(0.3) \\ 256.3(7.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.210 .33 \\ 272.512 .87 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.61 \\ 308.21 \\ 7.17 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.7(0.2) \\ 30.7(4.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.110 .07 \\ 277.2618 .17 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { sex } \\ & \text { male } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 74.5(0.9) \\ 307.16 \\ \hline .51 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12.84 \\ 261.81 \\ 2.75 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.4(0.6) \\ 277.7(3.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.4(0.2) \\ 313.2(10.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 0.8(0.3) \\ 203.74 \\ 7.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.1(0.1) \\ 271.735 .75 \end{array}$ |
| frmate | $\begin{array}{r} 72.01 \\ 29.010 \\ 29.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15.080 .71 \\ 282.092 .91 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.1(0.5) \\ 287.3(3.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.7(0.3) \\ 302.3 \\ 5.07 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.69(0.3) \\ 281.8(5.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.150 .1) \\ 264.480 .6) \end{array}$ |
| RACETETMOIC19\% nelil: | 100.01 302.51 1.3 | 0.01 0.09 | $0.0 ¢$ 0.000 $(0.0)$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.04 \\ 0.07 \\ 0.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 0.04 & 0.0) \\ 0.000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 0.0(0.0) \\ 0 \times *<(0.0) \end{array}$ |
| DIAES | $\begin{array}{r} 0.090 .01 \\ 0.0 .01 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 ; \quad 0.0\rangle \\ & 250.3 \times 2.67 \end{aligned}$ | 0.06 $0.0 .0)$ $0.0)$ | $0.0(0.0)$ 0.007 $0.0)$ | 0.0( 0.0$)$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0(0.0) \\ 00.0<(0.0) \end{array}$ |
| WISpanic | $\begin{array}{rr} 0.08 & 0.03 \\ 0.0=e(10.0) \end{array}$ | 0.0¢ 0.0$\rangle$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.010 .05 \\ & 272.562 .85 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 0.0 ; & 0.07 \\ 0 & 0.07 \end{array}$ | $0.0\binom{0.0)}{0.0}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.040 .07 \\ =0 .+\infty \end{array}(0.0)$ |
| msiAMPACIFIC ISLMMDE | $\begin{array}{rr} 0.0( & 0.0) \\ 0.0 e\left(\begin{array}{l} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array}\right. \end{array}$ | (1) $\begin{array}{r}0.01 \\ 0.0 .0) \\ 0.05\end{array}$ | -0.0( 0.0 (0) 0.0$)$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 100.0 ; & 0.01 \\ 308.21 \\ 7.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0(0.0) \\ 0.0 .0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.01 \\ 0.0) \\ 0.0\rangle \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { NHERICAM INDIAN! } \\ & \text { MASEIM MAIIVE! } \end{aligned}$ | - $0.0(0.04(0.0)$ | 0.04(0.0) | 0.01 0.08 | 0.01 $0.0 .0)$ $(0.01$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.08 \\ & 0.0) \\ & 205.7(4.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 0.01 & 0.07 \\ 0 & 0.03 \end{array}$ |
| EESON <br> monrmest | $\begin{array}{r} 50.5(3.0) \\ 308.9(2.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.362 .11 \\ 281.048 .13 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.841 .3) \\ 200.8(8.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.2(1.2) \\ 318.3(9.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.1(0.1) \\ 200.6(13.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 ; 0.03 \\ -4 \end{array}$ |
| SOUPMEAST | $\begin{array}{r} 68.382 .6\} \\ 299.1 ; 3.0\} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 29.31 & 2.21 \\ 251.51 & 3.11 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.4(9.0) \\ 268.84(4.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.4(0.6) \\ 306.5(3.17 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.640 .32 \\ 290.159 .75 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 0.06 & 0.07 \\ 0 & 0.0) \end{array}$ |
| ctininal | $\begin{array}{r} 84.68 \\ 301.51 \\ 2.5) \end{array}$ | 10.01 $251.417 .5)$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.0(0.7) \\ 263.5(5.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.2(0.5) \\ 310.3(14.83 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.0(0.6) \\ 24.887 .08 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.2(0.19 \\ 362.9121 .2) \end{array}$ |
| ME5 | 63.11 $307.512 .3)$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 9.75 & 1.5) \\ 256.34 & 5.07 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.4(1.1) \\ 274.3(3.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7.049 .7) \\ 303.4(10.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.010 .63 \\ 232.81 \\ 9.23 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.2\{0.19 \\ 372.9(33.0) \end{array}$ |
| TYPE Of COMLITIT <br>  | $\begin{array}{r} 82.4(5.4) \\ 297.0(3.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 90.8(4.7) \\ 269.5(4.1) \end{array}$ | 3.8 ( 1.4 .3 $209.5(8.3)$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.1(0.6) \\ 324.5(13.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.0(9.1) \\ 277.7(6.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0\{0.0\} \\ 0.0 .07 \end{array}$ |
| DISADYAMJACED URBM | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 2.9110 .7) \\ 205.5(5.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27.347 .09 \\ 262.2(5.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26.215 .6) \\ 26.914 .17 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.511 .51 \\ 295.5(10.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.5(0.3) \\ 258.5(13.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.6(0.33 \\ 29.612 .8) \end{array}$ |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 74.4(6.17 \\ 343.4\{2.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13.545 .4) \\ 26.2(91.0) \end{array}$ | 276.61 $\begin{array}{r}\text { 6. } \\ 7.07 \\ \hline .07\end{array}$ | 512.06 8.319 | $\begin{array}{rr} 0.8(0.5) \\ 250.8 \times & 8.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.090 .07 \\ 0.070 \end{array}$ |
| O14EN | $\begin{array}{r} 71.1(1.5) \\ 302.5(1.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 92.419 .11 \\ 241.882 .8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.21 \\ 2 \times 0.7 \\ 20.73 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.410 .61 \\ 309.7110 .79 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.51 \\ 3.0 .2) \\ 3.04 \\ 7.53 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.110 .09 \\ 263.7(30.6) \end{array}$ |
| PAREWTS EDUCATIOM LEVEG LESS TMAN R.S. | $\begin{array}{r} 52.9(3.0) \\ 275.3(4.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.612 .29 \\ 247.8(4.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27.212 .8) \\ 265.2(6.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 2.06 & 0.87 \\ 301.41 & 3.97 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.5(0.6) \\ 274.5(91.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.210 .2\} \\ 200.51^{\circ * e n}=1 \end{array}$ |
| ceapunits m.S. | $\begin{gathered} 71.7(1.2) \\ 781.7(1.5) \end{gathered}$ | 17.64 263.01 3.05 3.31 | $7.960 .5)$ 26.09 3.43 | $2.9(0.5)$ $290.5(8.2)$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.8(0.6) \\ 28.9(9.29 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.06 \\ 26.09 \\ 264 \\ 9.3 ; \end{array}$ |
| some Epucaitiom AFIE M.s. | 76.96 <br> 309.54 | $16.7(1.0)$ 267.08 3.4 | $5.9(0.6)$ $278.913 .4)$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.5(1.0) \\ 204.9(12.19 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9.0(0.4) \\ 29.7(8.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 0.0 \% \\ 0.0 \\ 0 & 0.0\rangle \end{array}$ |
| CRMDATED COLIEEE | $\begin{array}{r} 78.7(9.0) \\ 384.5(1.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10.7(0.8) \\ 266.1\left(\begin{array}{l} 1 \\ 3.1) \end{array}\right. \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.7(0.5) \\ 207.11(3.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.2(0.6) \\ 319.7(6.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.560 .27 \\ 208.1110 .61 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.210 .1) \\ 29.0 \times 8.4) \end{array}$ |
| 4 max | $\begin{array}{r} 39.3(6.1) \\ 28.3(7.01 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 29.8(5.5) \\ 228.8(9.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26.044 .77 \\ 233.9112 .07 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.9(1.5) \\ 286.2(11.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9.969 .27 \\ 267.582 .91 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.2(0.2) \\ 281.1(23.1) \end{array}$ |
| TYPE of SCw00 P是人15 | $\begin{array}{r} 7.68 \\ 302.04 \\ 3.67 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.7(0.5) \\ 25.5(2.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.2(0.4) \\ 274.6(2.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.7(0.2) \\ 308.8(7.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.7(0.3) \\ 205.3(4.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.140 .0\rangle \\ 277.2418 .1\rangle \end{array}$ |
| PlyAIf | $\begin{array}{r} 78.112 .63 \\ 306.342 .91 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10.2(1.7) \\ 250.8(6.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 8.8(1.9) \\ 279.7(4.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 2.61 & 0.69 \\ 300.98 & 6.79 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.5(0.3) \\ 292.089 .3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 0.98 \\ 0.09 \\ 0 & 0.0) \end{array}$ |
|  centint | $\begin{array}{cc} 74.11 & 1.23 \\ 28.71 & 1.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.0(9.1) \\ 245.3(2.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10.46(0.6) \\ 261.21 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.5(9.0) \\ 209.5(9.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.9(0.6) \\ 281.0(0.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.260 .73 \\ 3(5.9(51.2) \end{array}$ |
| ACADEMIC/COLECE PREP | $\begin{array}{rr} 75.89 & 0.97 \\ 388.69 & 9.23 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 12.96 & 0.73 \\ 270.34 & 2.73 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.8(0.6) \\ 287.4(4.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.2(0.51 \\ \times 21.04 \\ 4.07 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.5(0.22 \\ 29.3(8.27 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.1(0.0) \\ 503.649 .5 ; \end{array}$ |
| yocamicmalfecmulcal | $\begin{array}{r} 57.5(2.4) \\ 274.7(2.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22.2(2.6) \\ 235.0(4.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7.411 .23 \\ 258.217 .21 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.5(0.3) \\ 271.5(17.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9.26(0.69 \\ 284.5(11.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.21 \\ 251.0610 .6) \end{array}$ |




#  <br>  

 atal bemographic subpopulations

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LIFE } \\ & \text { SIFIECES } \end{aligned}$ | Pmisical sclentis | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EARTH AND } \\ & \text { EPACE ECIEMCES } \end{aligned}$ | The matuine of SCIEMCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| .. toral .. | 229.0. 0.97 | 235.51 1.1) | 233.6 (0.9) | 233.58 1.0) |
| 5Ex |  |  |  |  |
| male | 228.8 (1.23 | 236.9(1.3) | 236.7( 1.2) | 230.8( 9.1) |
| FEMale | 229.2( 1.2) | 233.9 (1.1) | 230.2 (1.1) | 236.3 ( 1.1) |
| RACE/ETHMICITY |  |  |  |  |
| WHITE | 238.00 1.0) | 245.461 .21 | 243.181 .15 | 269.64 1.17 |
| clacx | $203.5(1.6)$ | 208.642 .01 | 203.71 1.5) | $212.261 .7)$ |
| wispamic | $208.6(1.8)$ | 212.81 .61 | 214.81 1.6) | $219.7(1.7)$ |
| asian/pacific islamder | 227.364 .95 | 237.853 .97 | 233.38 3.6) | 237.6 3.5) |
| americam Implaw/ mascam mative | $221.6(3.8)$ | 228.64 6.0) | $228.2(3.6)$ | 226.0 ( 3.8) |
| REGIOM |  |  |  |  |
| mpatheast | $231.1(2.0)$ | $238.982 .5)$ | 237.08 1.8) | 255.162 .11 |
| southeast | 224.11 2.2) | 227.8( 2.5) | $226.1(2.6)$ | 232.76 2.3) |
| CEMTRAL | 232.382 .17 | 237.3 2.6) | 234.18 2.6) | $239.9(2.1)$ |
| HEST | 228.61 2.17 | 257.8 2.2) | $236.8 \times 2.19$ | 236.4 ( 2.07 |
| TYPE of comawity |  |  |  |  |
| EXTRETE MURAL | $232.912 .6)$ | 237.46 3.2) | 234.7 (3.1) | $235.0(2.4)$ |
| disadvantaged lman | $204.5\{2.8)$ | $211.7(3.0)$ | $208.6(2.7)$ | $211.1(2.6)$ |
| advalitared veram | 245.2( 2.5) | $256.1(2.9)$ | 253.76 2.5) | $250.9(2.75$ |
| CTMER | 229.19 1.4) | 235.069 .23 | 233.5 ( 1.1) | 233.51 9.2) |
| parents' educatiom level |  |  |  |  |
| LESS TMAM H.5. | $218.6(2.7)$ | 223.2( 3.3) | $221.782 .7)$ | $223.9(2.3)$ |
| CRNDUATED M.s. | $223.581 .7)$ | $227.2(1.93$ | 226.28 9.6) | 226.4 ( 1.6) |
| SCME EDUCATIOX AFTER H.S. | 237.112 .23 | $246.8(2.4)$ | $262.8(2.8)$ | $239.2(1.8)$ |
| crabuated college | 238.44 9.3) | 265.6 ( 9.5) | 243.96 1.3) | $263.2(1.6)$ |
| Luxupay | 222.1 (0.9) | 220.4 (1.2) | 226.3 (0.9) | $227.311 .9)$ |
| TYPE OF SENOOS |  |  |  |  |
| plalic | 227.96 9.0) | 234.0( 1.2) | 232.1 ( 1.0) | 232.3 (1.9) |
| private | 237.0 ( 2.1) | 267.2( 2.2) | 245.3 (2.1) | $243.212 .0)$ |

The standard errors of the estimated proficiencies appear in parentheses. It can be said with 95 percent certainty that for each population of interest, the velue for the whoie population is within plas or minus tmo standerderrors of the estimete for the smple.

## 11P PMon



## Subseale Predicienties for the Salion and lemographic Subpepulations

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LIFE } \\ & \text { SCIENESS } \end{aligned}$ | PMYSICAL Sc\|llicts | Earth and space selficis | THE matuage of |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -. TDIAL -. | 263.3 (1.2) | 262.21 9.23 | 264.61 1.6) | 260.44 1.4 |
|  |  |  | 270.14 1.6) | $258.9(1.7)$ |
| Male | $263.6(1.7)$ $263.1(1.2)$ | 259.9 ( 9.4 | $259.1(1.5)$ | 263.36 1.5) |
| FEMALE |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| wite | 27.549 .63 | 271.48 1.4) | 275.58 227.91 2.58 | 229.712 .75 |
| giack | $233.2(2.3)$ | $232.4(2.3)$ | $287.912 .6)$ $282.0(2.3)$ | $236.1(2.6)$ |
| Hispamic | 242.4( 2.4) | $260.8(2.2)$ | $282.01(2.3)$ $269.8(4.3)$ | 287.1( 5.2 ) |
| asiandpacific islames | 272.18 4.0) | $270.7(3.9)$ | 209.8( 7.3 ) | 243.7 (95.6) |
| nerpican indian/ <br> mlaskan mative' | 252.28 9.7) | 249.6 ( 7.8) | $250.7(7.3)$ | 263.715.6) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| WORTMEASt | $267.9(3.0)$ | $270.0(3.3)$ | 270.28 (3.6) | 23.912 .21 |
| SOUTHEAST | $256.5(2.1)$ | $255.781 .7)$ | 257.48 $265.8(2.3)$ 2.19 | $263.912 .212)$ 263.01 |
| CEWTRAL | 265.3 ( 9.8 ) | $262.9(2.3)$ | 265.86 (2.1) | $258.4(2.8)$ |
| WEST | $266.0(3.0)$ | 261.412 .77 | 265.4 (3.1) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| EXTREME RURAL | $257.4(3.4)$ | $256.2(3.1)$ | $260.6(4.1)$ | $237.414 .2)$ |
| disapmantaged luram | $242.8(3.7)$ | $244.3(4.4)$ | 261.00 (4.8) | 280.0( 4.27 |
| advampaged urban' | $284.6(4.7)$ | 281.8( 3.8 ) | $285.0(4.2)$ 265.36 | 261.8( 4.6 ) |
| OTMER | 263.8( 1.3) | $262.6(1.5)$ | 285.3 (4.7) | 261.06 1.6) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than his. | $261.8(2.8)$ | $239.3(2.4)$ | 243.11 2.7) | 24.51 1.71 |
| crapuated m.S. | 256.58 1.6) | $253.6(1.5)$ | $255.7(1.6)$ |  |
| some educatiom after M.S. | 267.581 .51 | 267.91 1.7) | 270.46 $278.1(1.8)$ | 273.31 1.8) |
| GRADUATED COLLEGE | $276.642 .0)$ | $275.0(1.8)$ $237.2(2.5)$ | $278.1(1.7)$ $235.3(2.5)$ | 273.24 230.81 |
| maxwow | 239.192 .4 | $237.2(2.5)$ | 235.3 (2.5) | 230.212 .8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| pusilc | $261.8(1.4)$ | 200.78 . 3 ) | 203.04 ( 2.5 ) | $272.5(2.8)$ |
| private | 274.8( 2.1) | $276.2(2.0)$ | 276.4 ( 2.0) | 27.5 ( 2.8) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| hich abilility | $280.4(2.2)$ | 288.682 .63 | $259.812 .0)$ | $262.9(1.9)$ |
| averace ability | $266.1(1.5)$ | $265.681 .6)$ 243.44 .07 | 268.9 24.18 (4.6) | $236.7(4.1)$ |
| LOW ADILITY | 245.983 .71 | 243.46 (4.0) 261.88 2.71 | 266.0 ( 2.9) | 261.04 3.2) |
| MixED ASILITY | $262.9(3.1)$ | 261.082 .7 |  |  |

[^26]|  | HfE SCIEMCES | Physical Scifuck |  | TKE matuat of Sc\|EMES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -. TOTAL -- | 206.11 1.91 | 294.08 1.5) | 291.11 1.3) | 2\%.5( 1.3$)$ |
| SEX |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 299.419 .51 | $298.5(2.1)$ | 300.3 ( 9.5 ) | $205.8(1.77$ |
| FENHLE | 293.081 .11 | $283.9(1.3)$ | $202.5(1.4)$ | $301.0(1.5)$ |
| race/ETHuIfity |  |  |  |  |
| GMIIE | 304.54 1.1) | 209.8; 9.77 | 301.64 1.3) | 306.61 1.4) |
| 8LACK | 262.412 .03 | 253.0 ( 3.1$)$ | 247.29 2.8) | $266.773 .0)$ |
| hispanif | 275.142 .77 | $270.5(3.2)$ | $289.5(2.9)$ | 276.58 3.0) |
| ASIAHPACIFIE ISLAMDER | 308.78 ( 717 | 309.5 ( 8.3 ) | $303.5(8.6)$ | 312.08 6.9) |
| AMERICAM IMDIAN/ | $287.0(4.5)$ | 203.3( 5.6) | 289.218 .17 | 283.0 ( 9.6 ) |
| REGIOM |  |  |  |  |
| MDPTMEAST | 302.612 .81 | 297.68 3.8) | $298.3(3.5)$ | $305.9(3.5)$ |
| SOUTMEAS: | 283.612 .11 | $275.6(3.6)$ | 272.012 .87 | 287.5 ( 2.5 ) |
| cemtral | 298.612 .01 | $292.5(2.6)$ | 294.31 1.8) | 297.752 .05 |
| 縣5 | 297.71 2.71 | 205.142 .91 | 295.7(3.5) | $300.883 .0)$ |
| type of commaty |  |  |  |  |
| Extrene rinal ' | 294.014.11 | $287.2(4.0)$ | 288.8 ( 4.0) |  |
| DISADYANTAEED URBAM | 278.216 .97 | 259.3( 5.3) | 267.917 .17 | 278.3( 4.4 ) |
| ADVAMTAGED LPREAN! | $306.2(3.8)$ | 303.295 .03 | 290.09 4.8) | 309.1 ( 5.19 |
| OTMER | 298.2 (1.6) | 293.7 (1.9) | 29.61 1.7) | $301.0(1.6)$ |
| Parewis* educatiow level |  |  |  |  |
| LESS IMAN H.S. | $274.7(2.8)$ | $285.9(3.0)$ | $263.8(2.7)$ | 276.5(3.0) |
|  | $283.4(1.6)$ | 276.4( 1.6$)$ | $277.0(1.7)$ | 285.3 ( 1.09 |
| Sowe eomcatiom afier H.S. | 298.0 308.6 ( 6 ) | $291.3(1.8)$ | 292.919 .5 | 303.1 (1.7) |
| gradunico coliege laxmonim | $308.9(1.3)$ $252.6(4.8)$ | $307.9(1.8)$ <br> 247 | 305.71 1.8) | $311.981 .6)$ |
|  |  | 267.26 .62 | 248.18 8.9) | $246.3(5.8)$ |
| TYPE Of Scmod |  |  |  |  |
| PUPLIC | 295.4 (1.2) | 290.08 1.71 | 290.36 (1.6) | $297.4(1.4)$ |
| private | $302.7(2.9)$ | 299.4(3.2) | $297.912 .6)$ | 309.013 .05 |
| TYPE Of high scmioi procran |  |  |  |  |
| GEMERAL | 201.41 1.4) | $272.3(9.7)$ | 275.46 9.8) |  |
| ACNDEMICJCOILEGE PREP | 309.51 .23 | $307.5(9.6)$ | $305.7(1.4)$ | 395.3( 1.6) |
| VDCAIJOMAL/TECMMICAI | $270.6 \times 2.3)$ | $260.9(3.1)$ | 281.8f 3.21 | 286.1( 2.2) |

The standerd errors of the astimated proficiencies appear in parentheses. It can be saldwith 95 percent certainiy that for each population of interess. The value for the mole population is within plus or minss smo standord errors of the estimate for the sample.
interpres with coution .. the nature of the maple does not allow eccurate determination of the variabilisy of inis astimated siatiatic.

#  

##  <br> 

itam description and

| GRADE | Mation | MALE | ferale | 6BITE | SLACK | HISPANIC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 82.7(1.0) | 82.711.1) | 82.8(1.3) | 84.2(1.1) | 81.2(2.2) | 76.0(2.3) |
| 4 | 83 2(0.8) | 81.1(1.1) | 85.5(1.1) | $86.2(0.8)$ | 74.6(2.1) | 76.7(2.3) |
| $\checkmark$ | $90.1(0.7)$ | 87.3(1.2) | 93.1(0.7) | $92.1(0.8)$ | 83.76.6) | 85.6(2.8) |
| 4 | 76.9(0.9) | 75.311.4) | 78.8(1.3) | B3. 1 (0.9) | 59.5(2.4) | 62.4(2.2) |
| 4 | 78.512.0) | 77.0(1.4) | 80.181.2) | 83.7(1.2) | 61.2(2.2) | 69.8(2.4) |
| 4 | $61.5(1.1)$ | $61.7(1.8)$ | 61.4(1.3) | $67.981 .4)$ | 63.2(2.1) | 47.3(2.2) |
| 4 | 61.9(1.1) | $61.7(1.6)$ | 62.0(1.7) | 65.2(1.5) | 33.3(2.3) | 54.3(2.5) |
| 4 | $83.9(0.9)$ | 83.7(1.3) | $84.3(1.2)$ | 89.111 .09 | 70.1(23) | 71.9(24) |
| 4 | $59161.3)$ | $63.9(1.4)$ | 53.6(1.8) | 64.511.5) | $44.4(2.5)$ | 45613.11 |
| 4 | 35.511.0) | 37.3(1.5) | $33.6(2.7)$ | 36.0(1.3) | 35.5(2.2) | 32.3(2.5) |
| 4 | $36.9(1.1)$ | $42.9\{2.0\}$ | 30.3(2.4) | $41.3(1.4)$ | 23.3(1.8) | 27.912.3) |
| $\checkmark$ | $45.6(1.3)$ | $45.212 .4)$ | 46.0(2.0) | $48.9(1.7)$ | $42.0(2.7)$ | 34.7(2.0) |
| 4 | $42.411 .0)$ | $40.9(1.5)$ | 44.2(1.5) | 46.5(1.2) | 30.742.7) | $31.4(2.4)$ |
| 4 | 64.5(1.4) | $51.2(1.6)$ | 37.1(1.8) | $49.211 .6)$ | 28.7(3.2) | 32.8(2.5) |
| 4 | $49.7(1.1)$ | $51.0(1.5)$ | $48.3(1.4)$ | 54.133.3) | 38.3(2.2) | 39.9(2.5) |
| 4 | 25 5(0.9) | 26.211.1) | 24.8(2.4) | 25.8(1.2) | 23.2(2.0) | $27162.6)$ |
| 4 | 62.0(1.1) | $66.111 .3)$ | 57.5(1.6) | 66.01: 4) | $449125)$ | 38.6524) |
| 4 | 28.3(1.1) | 25.1(1.3) | 31.8(1.6) | $30.6(1.4)$ | $175(20)$ | 25.7(2.1) |
| 4 | 35.1(1.0) | 37.1(1.6) | $32.9(1.5)$ | 37.3(1.3) | 28.4(2.8) | 27.3(2.2) |
| 4 | 33.311.1) | 37.8(1.4) | 28.2(3.6) | 36.7(1.5) | 23.7(2.1) | 24.5(2.4) |
| 4 | $47.2(1.0)$ | $45.912 .6)$ | $4.6(1.5)$ | $51.5(1.4)$ | 36.0 (2.4) | 35, 7(2) |
| 4 | $58.9(1.4)$ | 61.3 (1.6) | 56.3(1.7) | 66.7(1.5) | 35.5(2.7) | 40.3(2.6) |
| 4 | $45.5(1.2)$ | $45.8(1.7)$ | 44.4(1.6) | 51.8(1.5) | 26.4(2.2) | 28.6(2.7) |
| 4 | 41.2(1.3) | $42.0(2.0)$ | 40.3(1.6) | 45.2(1.6) | 28.3(2.3) | 32.5(2.9) |
| 4 | 61.8(1.2) | 64 (4.6) | S9.241.6) | 66.6(1.6) | 53.3(2.5) | $56.612 .8)$ |
| 4 | 67.9(0.8) | 69.3(1.3) | 66.6(1.4) | $73.2(1.0)$ | 53.8(2.5) | 53.9(2.6) |
| 4 | 4210151 | $451(2.2)$ | 39.0(17) | $452(19)$ | $323(2,4)$ | $343(29)$ |

## 

Weighted beremtages ot students
Respending ermeth to seme llems

| ITEM DESCRIPTION | GRADE | MATION | Male | gemale | WHITE | Black | HISRANIC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SUN IS A Star | 4 | 58.6(1.2) | 65.1 (1.6) | 52.7(1.5) | 64.3(1.3) | 42.2(2.6) | $43.082 .9)$ |
| OBJECTS THAT CONDUCT REAT | 4 | $48.3(1.1)$ | 48.7 (1.5) | $48.2(1.4)$ | 52.3(1.4) | 35.5(2.9) | $38.9(2.8)$ |
| glanting to avoid erosion | 4 | $30.501 .0)$ | 30.2(2,6) | 30.8:1.4) | 31.981.3) | 27.012.6) | 28.4(2.7) |
| LEAGTH OF SBADONS AT MOON | 4 | 34.1(1.0) | 38.5(2.5) | 29.4(1.3) | 37.2(1.3) | 23.412.1) | 27.8(3.3) |
| READING A histocram | 4 | 94.7(0.5) | 92.2(0.7) | $97.3(0.5)$ | 96.5(0.6) | 89 9(1.5) | 89.6(2.2) |
| RELATING SPEED OF CAR/TRAIN | 4 | 44.9(1.0) | 48.8(1.7) | $40.8(1.4)$ | 49.2(1.2) | 30.6(2.2) | 37.6(2.7) |
| CLASSIFYING OBJECTS: SHAPE | 4 | 78.7(2.0) | 76.1(1.3) | 81.5(1.3) | 83.1512) | 67.3(28) | 67.012.1) |
| SURVEY HEIGHT OF BOYS/GIRLS | 4 | 48.5(1.1) | 68.0(1.6) | $49.0(1.6)$ | $49.6(1.3)$ | 48.2(2.8) | 42.0(2.4) |
| EXAMPLE OF A FORCE | 4 | $63.5(1.0)$ | $61.3(1.4)$ | 65.8(1.5) | 69.0(1.3) | $49.6(2.7)$ | $50.6(2.2)$ |
|  | 8 | $82.1(0.7)$ | 7B.511.1) | 85.6(1.0) | $83.4(07)$ | 82.3(2.6) | $71.212 .5)$ |
| FOSSILS SHON MRN SPECIES | 4 | 88.311 1) | $67.1(1.4)$ | $59.8(2.2)$ | $722(26)$ | $584(2.2)$ | $58.8\{2.3\}$ |
|  | 8 | $83.5(0.9)$ | $82.7(2.3)$ | $85.3(1.1)$ | $87.0(1.1)$ | $74.1(2.8)$ | $74.1\{2.4\}$ |
| ORIGIN OF OILICOAL | $4$ | 22.0(0.9) | 25.3(1.4) | 18.8(13) | 22.712) | $188(1.8)$ | $206(1.7)$ |
|  | $8$ | $50.5(1.4)$ | $60.1(1.8)$ | *0.8(1.6) | $35.2(1.6)$ | $34.5(2.5)$ | $41.7(30)$ |
| ALUMINUM RECYCLIMG | 4 | $67.3(1.4)$ | $68.0(2.0)$ | 66.3(1.5) | 73 9(1.8) | 49.3(2.3) | $50.2(2.6)$ |
|  | 8 | $87.6(0.7)$ | 87.0(1.0) | 88.3(0.9) | 90.4(0.7) | $89.2(2.5)$ | 78.7(2 2) |
| reducing acin rain | 4 | 38.011.1) | 39.1(1.7) | 37.0(1.5) | $41.4(1.3)$ | 31.2(2.2) | $26.7(2.5)$ |
|  | 8 | 73.3(1.1) | 75.6(1.5) | 71.1(1.6) | 77.3(1.3) | 61.2(3.2) | $52.6(3.4)$ |
| MAJDR TYPES OF ROCXS | 4 | $49.7(1.3)$ | 46.6 (1.6) | 52.8(20) | 51.013.7) | $48.4(2.0)$ |  |
|  | 8 | $61.111 .6)$ | 59.5(1.8) | $62.7(1.8)$ | 52.6(1.8) | 59.3(3.2) | $55.7(3.3)$ |
| Predator skull | $4$ | $62.4(2.0)$ | $66.2(1.3)$ | $56.7(1.4)$ | $65.6(1.2)$ | $50.7(2.3)$ | 51.1(2.5) |
|  | $8$ | $68.3(1.1)$ | $754(1.1)$ | $61.1(1.6)$ | $73.2(1.1)$ | $53.212 .8)$ | 59.2(3.1) |
| GRAPH: HDURS OF DARKNESS | 4 | $36.3(0.8)$ | 37.1(1.3) | 35.6813) | 39.2(1.1) | $25.4(2.0)$ | 31.6(2.4) |
|  | 8 | $71.3611)$ | 70.4(1.4) | 72.2 (4.5) | 35.3112) | $570(29)$ | 64.2(2) ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ |
| GRAPHY: MOST O2 PRODUCED | 4 | $38.4(1.0)$ | $41501.3)$ | 35.3(1.5) | 43.2(1.3) | 27 5(2,1) | 25.2(2.3) |
|  | 8 | 74.2(1.0) | 73.5(1.5) | 74.8(2.2) | 70.7(1.2) | $53.8(2.1)$ |  |
| Calculate distance on map | 4 | $46.1(1.2)$ | 68.6(1.7) | $43.6(1.7)$ |  | $29.5(2.0)$ |  |
|  | 8 | $76.2(1.0)$ | 77.4(1.3) | 75.031.5) | 80.0(1.1) | $64.4(2.6)$ | 68.2(2.3) |
| TABLE:PLANT GROWTH/LIGHT | 4 | 38.3(1.3) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8 | 86.8(0.9) | $61.9(1.7)$ | $71.6(1.3)$ | $71.9(1.0)$ | $51.1(3.3)$ | $53.4(2.7)$ |
| TABLE: POWDERS X/Y | 4 | $41.9(1.2)$ | $40.8(1.6)$ | $43.0(1.7)$ | $45.0(1.6)$ | 343 (2.4) | $32.812 .1)$ |
|  | 8 | 61.4(0.9) | 57.2(1.5) | $64.9(1.1)$ | 63.8(1.1) | 58.3(2.3) | 48.4(3.3) |
| GRAPH: PHOTOSYNTHESIS RATE | 4 | $42.5(1.0)$ | $40.8(1.6)$ | $44.2(1.4)$ | 86.3(1.4) | 29.6(2.2) | 34.3(2.1) |
|  | 8 | $588(10)$ | $66.411 .7)$ | $112(11)$ | 725134 | $59123)$ | $550(25)$ |


Respending ( orree lis lo se ieme eltems

| ITEM DESCRIPTION | GRADE | HATION | male | FEMALE | WBITE | BLaCX | HISPARIC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HOODPECKER'S BEAK | 4 | $48.8(1.5)$ | 53.7(1.9) | $44.0(2.1)$ | $52.7(1.8)$ | 39.4(2 2) | 38.8(2.7) |
|  | 8 | 67.9(1.2) | 71.911.5) | 63.8(2.3) | 73.7(1.3) | $50.2(35)$ | $537131)$ |
| QUESTIONS SCIEMCE CAN'T ANSWER | 4 | 37.4(2.0) | 38.3(1.5) | 36.6(1.4) | $389(1.3)$ | $293(21)$ | 33 9(28) |
|  | 8 | 66.6(0.9) | 62.5(3.4) | 70.8(1.2) | 10.7(1.1) | 54.3(28) | 55.4(2.4) |
| EXP:StRONGER Of 2 Magnets | 4 | 34.4(1.2) | $36.6(1.7)$ | $32.211 .6)$ | 35.9(1.5) | $30.6(2.8)$ | 24.8(20) |
|  | 8 | 60.6(1.1) | $50.411 .3)$ | 60.911.8) | 63.741.1) | $454(38)$ | 46.2(2.6) |
| HATER AND EROSION | 6 | 31.7(1.3) | 37.0(1.7) | 26.4(1.6) | 34.0(15) | 21.5(2.2) | 29.5(2.6) |
|  | 8 | 32.8(1.4) | \$8.8(1.7) | 46.7(2.0) | $58.1(1.7)$ | 32 9(3 3) | 40.0(36) |
| block floating in h2oioil | 4 | $28.2(0.9)$ | 27.5(1.2) | 29.0(1.5) | $30.7(13)$ | 2) 5 (24) | 2221191 |
|  | 8 | 38.3(1.0) | 37. 6(1.3) | 39.0(1.5) | 40.6《1 1) | $335(24)$ | $307120)$ |
| EARTH'S IEMP AND SEA LEVEL | 4 | 24.9(1.1) | 23.6(1.6) | $26.2(1.5)$ | 24.7(14) | $257126)$ | $252(22)$ |
|  | 8 | 26.1(1.1) | 32.3(1.7) | 23.913.1) | 28.8(1.4) | $240(26)$ | $278121)$ |
| ANIMALS BREATHE 02 | 4 | $843(0.9)$ | $83.0(1.3)$ | 85.7(1.1) | $88012.3)$ | $74.418)$ | $732633)$ |
|  | 8 | 96.5(0.6) | 94.9(0.8) | $94.1(0.7)$ | 960 (06) | 8861191 | 91913 5) |
| CHARACTERISTICS OF A SNAKE | 4 | .2.7(1.1) | 740 (1.6) | 71.301.5) | $756(16)$ | $632126)$ | b) 162 21 |
|  | 8 | $82.0(08)$ | 81.1(3.1) | $829111)$ | 8381101 | $783118)$ | 16912 1: |
| INTERPRET FOSSIL TRACKS | 4 | $53.3(1.0)$ | 56.9(1.5) | $50.0017)$ | $58.8132)$ | $364(23)$ | $447127)$ |
|  | 8 | 14.4(1.2) | $76.911 .4)$ | 72.0(16) | 79.413 3) | $569(26)$ | $644(20)$ |
| SEISMOGRAPH'S FUNCTION | 4 | $56.7(1.4)$ | 58.3116) | 54.9(1.8) | 60.4(1.8) | $45.1(2.1)$ | $468131)$ |
|  | 8 | 82.4(1.1) | $83.412 .2)$ | 81.5(16) | $85.1(16)$ | 734124 | 13.4123) |
| CLASSIFYING FOSSILS | * | $50.0(1.3)$ | $46.412 .6)$ | $537(17)$ | 54.2115) | $382(28)$ | 37912 : |
|  | 8 | 74.0(1.0) | 69.2(1.9) | 78.7(1.0) | 77.4(1.2) | $652134)$ | 639124 |
| measuring rate of flow | 4 | $42.7(1.3)$ | 43.1(1.4) | 42.2(1.9) | $47.8(1.6)$ | $27.3(2)$ | $283(2$. |
|  | 8 | $71.4(0.9)$ | 69.411.7) | 73.411.1) | 75 511.1) | $59.6127)$ | 56.813 3) |
| WHICH MIXTURE IS SOLUTION? | 4 | 49.5(1.3) | $49.7(1.4)$ | $49.3(2.1)$ | $503(19)$ | $491124)$ | 4381291 |
|  | 8 | 66.9(1.3) | 86.0(1.6) | E7.8(2.7) | $67.7(1.4)$ | $62.9423)$ | 649126 ) |
| GRAPH:LIGHT PREFERENCE-MOTHS | 4 | $30.4110)$ | 29.5(1.4) | $31.312 .4)$ | 33.5(1.4) | 22 4(18) | 21 912.2$)$ |
|  | 8 | 61.0(1.3) | 57.1(1.7) | 64.8(1.8) | $650(1.6)$ | $468(3.4)$ | $549(26)$ |
| GRAPH : NIMMER OF MOTHS/DAY | 4 | 29 9(1.2) | $32.1(1.0)$ | 27.711.4) | 33.3(13) | 21.4118) | 2131211 |
|  | 8 | 58.511.1) | 61.6(1.6) | 55.611.3) | 64.6(2.3) | $38.3(2.9)$ | $4.9132)$ |
| STARS AND MCON | 4 | 47.9(1.3) | 52.2(1.5) | $43.7(2.8)$ | $56.0(17)$ | $210(19)$ | $307(28)$ |
|  | 8 | $72.6(0.9)$ | 74.1(1.4) | 71.211.5) | $78.912 .0)$ | 46.5427) | 65.4 (30) |
| DISSOLVING SUGAR | 4 | $73.1(1.2)$ | $72.0(1.6)$ | 76.1(1.6) | 76.8(2.4) | 60.6(2.6) | $630(28)$ |
|  | 8 | $89.3(0.9)$ | 88.2(1.4) | 90.4(0.9) | 81.4(1.1) | 81.8(2.5) | $85.1(19)$ |
| EXPLAIN 12 | 4 | 23.8(1.3) | $23.8(1.6)$ | 23.8(1.6) | $27.6(16)$ | 11011.81 | $129188)$ |
|  | 8 | 58.4(1.4) | 55.3(2.1) | 60.3(1.8) | 63 9(2 6) | $380(3.2)$ | $667132)$ |

## 

Weighted Percentiges en stmants


| ITEM DESCRIPTION | GRADE | Mation | Male | FEMALE | WHITE | BLACK | HISPANIC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STIRRING SUGAR SOL'N | - | 24.0(1.3) | 19.1(1.3) | 28.9(1.8) | 28.0(1.5) | 10.4(1.8) |  |
|  | 8 | 56.4(1.2) | 49.6(1,7) | 63.0(1.8) | 60.3(1.4) | 42.3(2.4) | $30.6(3.0)$ |
| OCEANS CONTAIN MOST H2O | 4 | $75.160 .9)$ | 78.9(1.4) | 73.1(2.3) | 60.4(1.2) | 59.4(2.3) | 71.7(2.2) |
|  | 8 | $85.9(0.9)$ | $88.1(0.9)$ | 83.7(1.4) | 87.7(1,1) | 76.3(2.6) | 86.9(1.8) |
| NERVES-MESSAGES TO BRAIN | 4 | 58.5(1.3) | $61.3(1.9)$ | 57.7(1.4) | 65.6(1 5) | 38.6(2.4) | 48.9(2.5) |
|  | 8 | 85.6(0.8) | 86.7 (1.0) | 84.4(1.1) | 88.2(1.1) | 76.4(1.9) | $80.1(2.8)$ |
| SIMPLE FOOD CHAIN | 4 | 58.9(1.3) | $60.4(2.6)$ | 57.3(1.5) | 60.4(1.6) | 42.3\{2.3) | $48.5(3.0)$ |
|  | 8 | 80.4(1.2) | 83.9(1.2) | 76.9(1.8) | 85.7(1.3) | $62.113 .4)$ | $70.8(2.4)$ |
| OPINION VS. OBSERVATION | 4 | 51.1(1.6) | $48.9(2.0)$ | 53.4(2.1) | 57.1(2.0) | $375(30)$ | 35.6\{2 1) |
|  | 8 | 81.2(1.1) | 78.5(1.1) | 84.011.6) | 85.911 .01 | $673(38)$ | 72 1(2.5) |
| SOUND TRAVEL THROUGH TABLE | 4 | 57.1(1.2) | 52.2(1.6) | $62.2(1.7)$ | $61.5(15)$ | $42.2(2.1)$ | 48.3(2.3) |
|  | 8 | $751(11)$ | $724113)$ | 77.981.5) | 78.2(2.1) | $60.8(36)$ | $741(2.8)$ |
| LIQUID EXPANDS IN THERMOMETER | 4 | $35.712 .2)$ | $375(1.7)$ | 34.061.7) | 39.2(1.6) | 23 4(1.8) | $32.2(2.4)$ |
|  | 8 | $56.6(1.1)$ | $63.1(1.3)$ | 50.2(1.6) | $62.4(1.4)$ | $41.6(3.1)$ | $43.7(2.5)$ |
| POSITION OF SHADOW AT 2 PM | 4 | $33.8(2.0)$ | 31.8(2.3) | 35.9 (1.5) | 36.0(1.2) | 27.2(2.9) | 28.2(22) |
|  | 8 | 52.1 (1.0) | 49.3(1.5) | $55.0(1.5)$ | 55.8(1.2) | 38.2(2.5) | $459(28)$ |
| OBSERVATION: DUCKS FEEDING | 4 | 36.111.0) | $37.7(2.6)$ | 34.4(1.6) | 39.0(1.2) | 27.4(24) | 30.4(2.6) |
|  | 8 | 57.3(1.2) | $55.1(1.7)$ | 59.6(1.6) | 62.6(1.2) | $476(3.2)$ | $40.0(26)$ |
| STEPS TO IDENTIFY MINERALS | 4 | $35.3(1.1)$ | 34 9(1.3) | 35.8(1.6) | $373(14)$ | 30 3(3 0) | $301(2.3)$ |
|  | 8 | $62.1(13)$ | 59.2(1.6) | 65.1(2.8) | $565125)$ | 52.9(3 6) | 47.2(3.3) |
| BATTERY/BULB | 4 | 3.7(06) | 6.3(2.1) | 1.1(0.6) | 4.5(08) | $00(0.0)$ | $2211.0)$ |
|  | 8 | 11.0(0.7) | 19.7(2.3) | 2.3(0.3) | 12.311.0) | $62(1.2)$ | 20.3(17) |
| SEPARATE IRON FILINGS | 4 | $32.311 .3)$ | 36.1(1.6) | 28.5(1.7) | 38.4(1.7) | 14.012.1) | 16.8(2.3) |
|  | 8 | 59.9(1.2) | 62.1(1.6) | 57.6(1.8) | 67.9(1.3) | $35.112 .8)$ | 41.7(3.5) |
| SEPARATE SAND/SALT | 4 | $0.1(0.0)$ | $0.1(0.1)$ | $0.1(0.1)$ | $0.160 .1)$ | $0.000 .0)$ | $0.0(0.0)$ |
|  | 8 | $3.1(0.4)$ | $3.7(0.6)$ | 2.4(0.5) | $3.8(0.5)$ | $0.5603)$ | $1.3(0.5)$ |
| POSITIONS OF STARS | 4 | 55.6(1.0) | 54.4(1.7) | $55.8(2.5)$ | 62.3(1.1) | $31.0(2.5)$ | $45.5(2.0)$ |
|  | 8 | $72.2(1.0)$ | 73.4(1.4) | 70.9(1.5) | 77.3(1.1) | $54.1(2.7)$ | $63.9(2.8)$ |
|  | 12 | $82.7(0.9)$ | 84.2(1.2) | 81.3(1.3) | $86.011 .0)$ | 573 (2.9) | 79.8(2.9) |
| WATER-FREEZING TEMP | 4 | 55.1 (1.4) | $53.0(1.6)$ | 57.3(1.9) | 56.4(1.9) | 53.5(2.5) | $49.512 .8)$ |
|  | 8 | $53.2(1.2)$ | 54.0(1.4) | 52.3(1.9) | 53.2(1.2) | 54.0(3.4) | 50.1(2.3) |
|  | 12 | 52.6(1.3) | 55.5(1.8) | 49.9(1.5) | $53.8(1.5)$ | $44.513 .0 \%$ | $49.6(38)$ |
| fossils and Earth's age | 4 | $61.161 .3)$ | 59.4(1.5) | 62.9(1.7) | $65.5(1.5)$ | 49.1(2.4) | 48.2(2.3) |
|  | 8 | $80.2(0.9)$ | $76.9(1.5)$ | 83.4(0.9) | $83.7(0.9)$ | $710(2.6)$ | 69.8(2.3) |
|  | 12 | $89.8(0.8)$ | 87.8(1.1) | $91.7(0.8)$ | 91.8(0.8) | 82.4(2.1) | 85.3(2.8) |
| TABLE: PROPERTIES OF SUGAR | 4 | $58.9(1.0)$ | 56.3(1.4) | 61.5(2.6) | 63.9(1.3) | 43.9(2.6) | 46.012.2) |
|  | 8 | $83.0(0.7)$ | $81.512 .0)$ | 84.4(1.1) | 85.3(0.9) | $77.1(2.2)$ | $75.8(2.7)$ |
|  | 12 | 87.7(0.7) | 86.3 (1.1) | 89.0 (1.0) | $88.890 .8)$ | 82.4(2.4) | 84.3(1.9) |

#  

## 小eishter Percentiscen en stactents

Responding (orre ll lo dienteltems

| ITEA DESCRIPYIOS | GRadE | Mation | MaLE | Franle | WH17E | BLACX | HISPANIC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EXP: MATER EVAPORATIDN | 4 | 56.2(1.0) | 51.7(1.4) | 60.9(1.9) | 62.7(1.3) | $40.7(3.6)$ | $41.8(2.5)$ |
|  | 8 | $76.4(0.9)$ | 72.3(1.4) | $80.3(1.0)$ | 80.2(1.0) | 62.5(3.4) | 68.7(2.2) |
|  | 12 | 89.3 (0.6) | 86.5(1.1) | 91.9(0.7) | 91.8(0.8) | 79.6(2.1) | 81.3(2.7) |
| IMBERITARCE/CAT'S TAILS | 4 | $43.1(1.2)$ | 42.8(1.6) | 43.4(1.4) | 47.7(1.5) | 29.8(2.4) | 30.6(2.3) |
|  | 8 | 70.8(1.1) | 71.0(1.5) | 70.5(1.3) | 76.8(1.3) | 51.9(3.1) | $595(2.5)$ |
|  | 12 | $86.110 .8)$ | 84.9(1.3) | 87.2(0.9) | 89.9(0.9) | 68.5(2.4) | 80.4(3.6) |
| DINOSAUR/FISH EVOLUTION | 4 | 51.5(0.9) | 54.0(1.6) | 48.9(1.6) | 55.0(1.3) | $40.8(2.9)$ | $44.7(2.4)$ |
|  | 8 | $65.811 .2)$ | 69.9(1.6) | $61.7(1.6)$ | 69 1(1.4) | 31.8(3.8) | $62.1(26)$ |
|  | 12 | $76.7110)$ | 80.7(1.3) | $72.9(1.3)$ | 79.7(1.3) | 59.3(3.4) | 79.0(2.5) |
| CONIINENTS' POSITIONS CHANGE | 4 | $42.9(1.2)$ | $42.5(1.5)$ | $43.3(17)$ | $45.2(1.5)$ | $36.0(3.0)$ | $37.9(2.5)$ |
|  | 8 | 63.9(1.3) | 66.4(1.6) | 61.5(2.5) | $68.9(1.3)$ | $47.1(2.7)$ | $52.9(3.0)$ |
|  | 12 | 73.5(1.3) | 77.3(1.9) | $70.0(1.5)$ | 77.3(1.5) | 55.0(3.0) | 67.0\3.5) |
| HEART AND EXERCISE | 6 | 40.4(1.1) | 39.7(1.5) | $41.1(1.4)$ | $42.8(1.4)$ | $33.7(2.3)$ | 34.0(2.2) |
|  | 8 | 63.1 (1.0) | $63.1(1.3)$ | 63.1(1.6) | 68.2(1.2) | $48.6(2.6)$ | 49.3(3.5) |
|  | 12 | $72.9(1.1)$ | $72.981 .5)$ | $73.0(1.3)$ | $76.0(1.4)$ | 59.7(3.0) | 65.6(3.6) |
| IIME BETWEEN LIGHTNING/THUNDER | 4 | 35.7(1.2) | $37.5(1.4)$ | $33.8(1.7)$ | $39.711 .5)$ | $23912.1)$ | 27.0(1.9) |
|  | 8 | $61.981 .0)$ | 63.5(1.5) | 60.4(1.6) | 69.4(1) | $418(2.6)$ | $44.7(29)$ |
|  | 12 | 78.6(1.1) | $80.7(1.3)$ | 76.6(1.3) | $84.4121)$ | $388(2.9)$ | $60.8(3.5)$ |
| direction of Sunkise/SUNSET | 6 | $37.9(10)$ | 39.8(1.5) | 35.9 (1.6) | $41.0(15)$ | $31.5(2.4)$ | 26.0(2.2) |
|  | 8 | $555(09)$ | $50.2(1.3)$ | $310(1.6)$ | 6) 7(1 2) | $403(3.5)$ | $382(2.3)$ |
|  | 12 | $73.8(0.9)$ | $78.811 .0)$ | 69.1(1.3) | $80.4(0.8)$ | 53.7(3.6) | 48.8(3.3) |
| COOLING AND CONDENSATION | 4 | 34.7(1.2) | 35.6(1.7) | 33.714.6) | $34.761 .4)$ | 38.3\{2.0) | 31.4(2.2) |
|  | 8 | $49311.2)$ | 52.7(1.5) | $45.9(1.6)$ | $52.911 .4)$ | $38.1(3.0)$ | $42.9(2.8)$ |
|  | 12 | $61.711 .0)$ | 68.2(1.3) | 55.6(1.8) | 65.612.2) | 43.3(2.4) | 50.7(3.8) |
| SCI KNOWLEDGE-OBSERVATION | 4 | $46.6\{1.3)$ | $44.6(1.9)$ | $48.7(1.6)$ | 49.812.7) | 38.9(1.8) | 34.8(2.5) |
|  | 8 | $73.9(0.8)$ | 69.2(1.3) | 78.5(0.9) | $77.612 .0)$ | $63.1(2.7)$ | 62.7(2.5) |
|  | 12 | $85.9(0.8)$ | 82.4(1.3) | $89.1(1.0)$ | 87.5(1.0) | 76.3(2.4) | 84.7(2.5) |
| TABLE:MELIING POINTS | 4 | $48.8(1.1)$ | 51.4(1.6) | $46.3(1.6)$ | $52.7(1.5)$ | 38.1 (2.9) | $39.6(2.2)$ |
|  | 8 | $72.2(0.8)$ | 75.4(1.3) | 68.0(1.5) | 76.4(1.1) | $60.1(2.5)$ | 61.4(2.6) |
|  | 12 | 80.9(0.9) | 83.7(1.1) | 78.3(1.2) | 84.6(1.0) | $65.433 .0)$ | $72.8(35)$ |
| ENERGY FLON IN FOOD WEB | 4 | $37.161 .1)$ | 39.4(1.7) | 34.841.3) | $38.5(1.5)$ | 31.8(2.4) | $34.1(2.2)$ |
|  | 8 | 55.5(1.1) | $56.2(1.4)$ | $55.1(1.6)$ | $59.7(1.4)$ | $46.6(2.5)$ | $41.7(2.6)$ |
|  | 12 | $70.5(0.8)$ | 71.0(1.3) | 70.0(1.1) | 74.1(1.0) | 58.3(3.1) | 58.7(3.1) |
| LAND/H2O TEMP DIFFERENCE | 4 | 34.1(1.2) | 35.7(1.6) | 32.4 (1.7) | $34.7(1.5)$ | $35.7(2.6)$ | 29.3(2.2) |
|  | 8 | $46.511 .3)$ | $47.711 .8)$ | 45.4(1.5) | 50.2(1.5) | $35.5(2.8)$ | 37.8(3.0) |
|  | 12 | $59.8(1.3)$ | 63.7(1.6) | 56.2(1.4) | $63.7(2.5)$ | 46.4(3.4) | 50.1(3.4) |
| EXP:PLANT FERTILILER | 4 | 26.4(1.2) | 35.0(1.6) | 37.9(1.9) | 39.2(1.3) | 29.6(3.0) | 26.9(2.4) |
|  | 8 | 62.6(1.1) | 62.2(1.4) | 62.0(1.5) | $67.0(1.1)$ | $48.7(3.7)$ | 53.4(2.2) |
|  | 12 | 78.4(0.9) | 77.4(1.4) | 79.4(1.2) | 81.5(1.1) | $64.1(2.7)$ | 71.2(2.9) |
| VOLCAMOS AND IGNEOUS ROCKS | 4 | 28.8(2.1) | 31.3(1.8) | 26.2(1.2) | 28.8(1.5) | 28.7 (2.4) | $27.8(2.2)$ |
|  | 8 | 36.3(1.1) | $36.7(1.5)$ | $36.0(1.3)$ | $37.8(1.1)$ | $33.0(2.7)$ | 28.7(2.6) |
|  | 12 | $32.6(0.8)$ | 34.5(1.6) | 30.8(1.3) | 34.4(0.9) | 26.3(2.8) | 24.7(3 2) |


| ITEM DESCRIPTION | GRADE | MATION | Male | FDynle | WHITE | BLact | hispanic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HYPOTHESIS-IDEAS TO BE TESTED | 4 | 26.7(1.1) | 26.8(1.5) | 26.5(1.5) | 27.5(1.5) | 23.1(2.1) | 23.3(2.) |
|  | 8 | 62.8(1.3) | 58.8(2.0) | 65.7(1.6) | 67.9(1.6) | 49.6(3.6) | 47.4(2.7) |
|  | 12 | 74.5(0.9) | 72.8(1.3) | 76.0(1.3) | 78.1(1.2) | 64.9(2.7) | 60.6(2.7) |
| DIAGRAM:LEAF'S FUNCTION | 4 | $19.3(0.9)$ | 21.1(1.3) | 17.4(1.4) | 19.7(1.1) | 17.7(1.9) | 17.9(2.0) |
|  | 8 | 23.4(1.2) | 29.4(1.7) | 17.5(1.3) | 25.4(1.3) | 26.5(2.3) | 18.3(1.9) |
|  | 12 | 29.8(1.1) | 37.111.6) | 23.0(1.4) | 31.9(1.2) | 22.7(2.4) | 18.0(3.1) |
| DIACRAM: FLONER'S FUNCTION | 4 | 22.6(1.0) | 24.3(1.5) | 20.7(1.3) | 24.4(2.2) | 15.8(2.7) | 16.5(1.5) |
|  | 8 | 36.4(1.1) | 39.4(1.4) | $33.6(1.5)$ | 42.1(1.4) | 22.6(2.2) | 21.7(2.1) |
|  | 12 | 52.0(1.3) | 54.4(1.3) | 49.9(1.9) | 55.9(1.3) | 32.6(3.6) | \$2.1(4.3) |
| FOREE ON ROCY | 4 | $60.5(1.2)$ | 66.9(1.6) | 53.711.8) | 65.3(1.4) | 47.5(3.2) | 46.9(2.4) |
|  | 8 | $80.2(0.9)$ | $85.4(1.0)$ | 75.1(1.3) | 85.1(1.0) | 64.6\{2.6) | 70.2(2.1) |
|  | 12 | 83.9(1.0) | 87.9(1.0) | 80.1(1.5) | $87.7(0.8)$ | 65.7(3.3) | 83.1(2.1) |
| LIGHT HITS MIRROR | 4 | 35.7(1.1) | $44.2(1.7)$ | 26.9(1.5) | $41.0(1.3)$ | 18.3(1.6) | 25.6(2.2) |
|  | 8 | 62.6(1.2) | 72.7(1.2) | 52.3(1.8) | $69.0(1.3)$ | $37.2(30)$ | 53.1(2.7) |
|  | 12 | 65.9(1.2) | 75.1(1.7) | 57.1(1.4) | 71.5(1.3) | 63.2(2.9) | 55.4(3.4) |
| FALLING GLASSES | 4 | 32.7(13) | 35.711.7) | 29.7(2.7) | $35.8(1.7)$ | 21.5(2.7) | 29.912.5) |
|  | 8 | $40.6(1.1)$ | $45.2(1.6)$ | $35.9(1.6)$ | $42.4111)$ | $35.0(2.8)$ | 37.9(2.6) |
|  | 12 | 41.9(1.1) | $50.6(1.5)$ | 33.6 (1.5) | $46.1(1.3)$ | 25.2(3.3) | 32.8(3.3) |
| THERMOMETER | 4 | 20.9(0.7) | 12.8 (3.0) | 8.8(0.9) | 12.9(0.9) | 6.0(1.1) | 6.2(1.1) |
|  | 8 | 46.9(1.2) | $49.561 .7)$ | $44.2(1.6)$ | $52.1(1.5)$ | 28.9(3.0) | $36.2(2.2)$ |
|  | 12 | 66.2(1.1) | 71.7(1.6) | 61.1(1.4) | 70.2(1.3) | $49.6(2.1)$ | 56.2(4.2) |
| HALF MOON | 4 | 12.1(1.0) | 12.4(1.2) | 11.9(1.2) | 14.3(1.2) | 5.4(1.3) | 6.9(1.2) |
|  | 8 | 28.9(0.9) | 31.6(2.1) | 26.2(1.4) | 33.2(1.2) | 16.512.7) | 15.7(1.6) |
|  | 12 | 42.2(1.1) | 49.4(1.4) | 35.4(1.4) | 47.9(1.3) | 15.4(2.2) | 3i.6(2) |
| SOLAR ECLIPSE | 4 | $26.0(1.2)$ | $33.0(1.6)$ | 18.8(1.6) | 30.9(1.4) | 10.5(1.5) | $150(22)$ |
|  | 8 | 54.4(1.2) | 63.6(1.6) | $45.121 .6)$ | 51.3(1.4) | 29.8(3.1) | 41.5(2.2) |
|  | 12 | 66.5(1.3) | 78.8(1.5) | 54.9(1.7) | 73.0(1.6) | 33.8(2.7) | $57.6(3.8)$ |
| X-SEC OF HORM | 4 | 14.7(0.9) | 13.5(1.1) | $15.9(1.4)$ | 16.4(1.1) | 10.4(1.4) | 9.5(1.8) |
|  | 8 | 29.1(1.2) | 29.6(1.3) | 28.6(1.6) | 31.3(13) | 23.7(3.2) | 23.912.3) |
|  | 12 | 41.6(1.3) | 46.3 (2.0) | 37.1(1.4) | 44.7(1.5) | 27.1(2.7) | 32.7(2.8) |
| PATH OF OBJECT | 4 | $2.7(0.5)$ | $3.7(0.7)$ | 1.7(0.5) | 3.1 (0.6) | $0.1(0.1)$ | 3.311.1) |
|  | 8 | $6.1(0.5)$ | 9.1(0.9) | $3.0(0.5)$ | $7.0(0.6)$ | 3.8(1.5) | $3.8(1.2)$ |
|  | 12 | 16.0(0.5) | $22.8(1.4)$ | 9.6(0.8) | $18.5(0.8)$ | $3.3(0.8)$ | 8.2(2.5) |
| PATE OF BALL FROM TUBE | 4 | 28.2(1.3) | 35.6(1.8) | 20.0(1.3) | 31.0(1.8) | 29.3(1.7) |  |
|  | 8 | 46.6(1.0) | 56.7(1.5) | 36.5(1.2) | 50.5(1.3) | 32.1(3.0) | $43.0(2.5)$ |
|  | 12 | 57.8(1.0) | 70.7(1.3) | 45.7(1.3) | 61.6(1.1) | $38.7(3.0)$ | 52.3(3.0) |
| PREDATOR/PREY GRAPH | 4 | $11.7(0.8)$ | 13.2(1.1) | 10.2(1.2) | 13.6(0.9) | 5.5(2.0) | 6.1(1.8) |
|  | 8 | $42.8(1.2)$ | 46.6(1.5) | $38.981 .6)$ | 48.261.6) | 23.6(3.1) | $27.5(2.9)$ |
|  | 12 | 64.8(1.1) | 70.5(1.1) | 59.6(3.4) | 71.3(1.0) | 35.8(3.1) | 45.8(3.5) |
| FINDING CAUSE OF A SORE throat | 4 | $41.8(1.1)$ | 36.3(1.3) | 47.5(1.6) | 46.711.3) | 25.9(2.3) | 35.0(2.3) |
|  | 8 | $68.711 .0)$ | 62.1(1.6) | 75.5(1.3) | 72.4(1.2) | 58.6(2.8) | 56.0(3.3) |
|  | 12. | $84.0(0.7)$ | 79.1(1.2) | 88.6(0.8) | 87.3(0.9) | 72.2(2.2) | 76.3(3.3) |

#  

## Wembhted Percentage en stadents <br> 

| ITEM DESCRIPTIOS | GRADE | Mation | MALE | FEMALE | WHITE | glacx | HISPANIC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PLAETS EEND TOWARD LIGHT | 4 | 27.3(1.0) | 28.411.6) | 25.3(1.4) | 28.7(1.2) | 20.5(2.1) | 26.812.5) |
| PLANTS BEND TOWAD LIGH | 8 | 55.14.3) | $59.6(1.7)$ | 30.6(1.7) | 60.4(1.4) | 35.3(3.5) | $47.5(2.8)$ |
|  | 12 | 64.4(1.2) | 67.0(1.6) | $62.2(2.8)$ | 69.3(1.5) | 42.3(3.0) | 55.9(3.6) |
| HEIGHI OF CHILDREN IA 1902/197 | 8 | 70.1(0.9) | 68.2(1.4) | 72.0(1.6) | 74.4(1.1) | 55.3(2.6) | 59.0(2.8) |
| BEST TEMP FOR IVY GROWTH | 8 | $46.011 .3)$ | 45.5(1.6) | 4B.0 ${ }^{\text {(1.6) }}$ | 48.812.5) | 39.2(2.6) | 39.7(3.3) |
| EFFECT Of TEMP ON BALL'S BOUNC | 8 | 48.8(1.2) | 46.531.6) | 51.0(1.6) | 52.1(1.4) | 37.9(2.8) | 38.8(2.0) |
| HON MEASURE \% OF SNOWFLAKES | 8 | $62.111 .0)$ | 59.4(1.5) | 64.8(1.7) | 64.4(1.2) | 54.3(3.1) | 54.8(2.6) |
| HOW REPORT OF SNOWFLAKES | 8 | 36.5(1.1) | 36.411.7) | 36.5(1.3) | $391(1.4)$ | 26.3(3.3) | 32.6(2.5) |
| CAUSE OF CCEAN TIDES | 8 | 52.5(1.5) | 58.6(1.6) | 45.4(2.0) | 58.361.9) | 31.5(2.8) | 38.5(2.5) |
| neaning of specific beat | 8 | 15.7(0.6) | 18.0(1.2) | 13.4(0.9) | 15.8(0.8) | 15.7(2.4) | 14.0(1.9) |
| IRRG SOURCE FOR PHOTOSYNTHESIS | 8 | 77.8(1.2) | 77.8(1.6) | 77.9(1.4) | 80.6(2.4) | 66.4(34) | 72.4(2.4) |
| MIXING RED/GREEN LIGHT | 6 | $46.811 .3)$ | 42.0(1.8) | 51.5(1.8) | 47.5(1.6) | 4).6(3.2) | $41.6(2.6)$ |
| HYPOTHESIS:RIVER'S WATER LEVEL | 8 | 37.111.3) | $3603.7)$ | $38.111 .7)$ | 38.2(1.5) | 37.9(3.5) | 29.5:2.2) |
| GRAVITY AND 2 OBJECTS' MASS | 8 | $35711.1)$ | 38.212.5) | 33.3 (1.7) | 37.0(1.4) | $32.8(2.5)$ | 32.1(2.4) |
| ICE CAPS NOT ON MOON'S SURFACE | 8 | $54.2(1.3)$ | 58.8(1.6) | 49.8(1.7) | 58.2(1.5) | $38.7(3.7)$ | 49.2(2.3) |
| CaUSE of Changing seasons | 8 | 70.7(1.2) | 69 3(1.6) | 72.01151 | 74.5(1.2) | 67.7(39) | $52.8(33)$ |
| LIVING/MADE OF CELLS | 8 | $81.2(0.8)$ | 79.9(1.1) | $82.5(1.3)$ | $83.7(0.9)$ | 72.542.8) | 77.3(18) |
| EXP:FOOD PREF OF RABBITS | 8 | 46.5(1.1) | $46.6(1.8)$ | 46.3(1.4) | $45.8(14)$ | $47.3(2.5)$ | 49.3(2.7) |
| STAR FORMATION IN JAPAN | 8 | 30.5(1.0) | $31.6(1.6)$ | 29.3(1.2) | $30.3(1.0)$ | 28.0(2.0) | 32.0(2.8) |
| BEST WAY TO SURVEY STUDENTS | 8 | 43.3(1.2) | $40.2(1.7)$ | 45.4(1.5) | 46.213.4) | $31.8(2.8)$ | 38.2(2.7) |
| MUSELM: HABITATS | 8 | 68.8(1.3) | $62611.8)$ | 75.161.5) | 32.5(1.6) | 530 (3.5) | 59.1(2.6) |
| MUSEUM: SIMILAR ANIMALS | 8 | $54.5(1.2)$ | $51.7(1.8)$ | $57.411 .6)$ | 57.4(1.4) | $447(3.3)$ | $45.9(2.5)$ |
| holves and carigou | 8 | 37.4(1.1) | $40.4(1.9)$ | 34.3(1.6) | 41.4(1.3) | 22.012.2) | 25.1(2.4) |
| CELLS, TISSUES, ORGANS | 8 | $39.361 .0)$ | 39.2(1.5) | 39.5(1.3) | 43.0(1.3) | 28.5(2.2) | 27.8(2.4) |
| PRESENT ENERGY SOURCE IN U.S. | 8 | 44.3(1.0) | $46.8(1.8)$ | 41.7(1.6) | $49.013 .4)$ | 30.2(2.6) | 26.3(2.2) |
| ACCELERATION OF BALL ON RAMP | 8 | 563 il (0) | $59.7(1.5)$ | $52.9(1.7)$ | 60.7(1.1) | $41.912 .9)$ | 46.513.1\% |
| PREDICTING SMOWFALL | 8 | 55.6(1.2) | 53.6(1.9) | $57.5(1.2)$ | $50.0(1.5)$ | 40.9(2.7) | 42.1(2.6) |
| hUMAN ERROR IN MEASURING TIME | 8 | $37.912 .1)$ | $43011.4)$ | 32.6(1.4) | 40.7(1.3) | 28.8(2.6) | 30.8(2.7) |


Responding (irreeth to beience Hems

| ITEM DESCRIPTION | GRADE | Natios | MALE | FEMALE | HBITE | BLACK | HISPANIC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SEASOMAL RAIEFALL GRAPG | 8 | 37.3(1.0) | 37.2(1.5) | $37.5(1.2)$ | 39.7(1.2) | $31.2(2.6)$ | 29.4(2.6) |
| OBJECT WITH MOST IEERTIA | 8 | 39.311.1) | 44.0(1.7) | $34.8(1.2)$ | $42.7(1.2)$ | 28.8(2.8) | 28.3(2.2) |
|  | 12 | 50.7(1.1) | 61.3(1.6) | 40.9(1.5) | 54.8(1.3) | 35.2(2.7) | 39.8(4.8) |
| EXP:APPPOPRIATE CONTROLS | 8 | 42.2(1.1) | 40.8(1.0) | 41.5(1.6) | 43.4(1.5) | 32.2(2.3) | 36.6(2.1) |
|  | 12 | 59.4 (1.2) | $57.7(1.9)$ | 61.0(1.9) | 64.7(1.6) | 36.8(2.1) | $48.0(3.6)$ |
| gYidence that light is gnergy | 8 | $58.9(1.1)$ | 61.3(1.5) | $56.5\{2.7\}$ | $62.9(2.3)$ | $44.2(3.3)$ |  |
|  | 12 | $75.0(1.1)$ | $77.5(1.6)$ | $72.8(1.4)$ | $78.7(1.0)$ | $61.8(3.5)$ | $84.0(4.2)$ |
| SALT LEFT AFTER EVAPORATION | 8 | 23.6(0.9) | 25.5(2.6) | 21.8(1.3) | 24.2(1.2) | 22.0(2.2) | 20.6(2.2) |
|  | $12$ | $34.6(1,1)$ | $39.1(1.4)$ | 30.4(1.2) | 37.7(1.3) | 21.3(2.8) | 25.6(3.2) |
| ESTIMATED AGE OF EARTH | 8 | 23.8(1.0) | 24.2(1.5) | 23.4(1.5) | 25.4(12) | 16 O(2.1) | 22.3(2.4) |
|  | 12 | 32.3(1.1) | 34.5(1.8) | 30.3(1.2) | 34.0(1.2) | 22.2(2.8) | 27.9(2.6) |
| OBSERVING SPIDER AND WEB | 8 | 54.7(1.2) | 54.8(1.6) | 54.5(1.5) | 61.2(1.5) | 35. 512.4$)$ | $40.3(2.8)$ |
|  | 12 | 72.8(1.3) | 74.4(2.4) | 71.4(1.7) | 78.6(1.3) | $51.2(3.9)$ | 55.6(3.8) |
| EXP:MEASURE VINE GROWTH | B | 57.6(1.1) | $55.2(1.4)$ | $60.1(1.6)$ | $60.2(1.4)$ | $47.9(2.7)$ | 52.8(2.i) |
|  | 12 | $67.0(1.1)$ | $66.2(1.6)$ | $67.8(1.6)$ | $58.9(1.3)$ | $60.5(3.0)$ | $50.3(2.8)$ |
| HEASURE MASS WITH SPRIMG SCALE | 8 | 64.6(1.1) | 82.0(1.5) | 67.2(1.7) | 69.0(1.3) | 33.5(3.3) | $49.2(3.1)$ |
|  | 12 | $76.4(1.0)$ | $77.9(1.3)$ | $74.9(1.3)$ | 78.5(1.2) | 68.3(2.8) | 69.3(2.6) |
| EXP DESIGN: CONTROL LIGHT | $8$ | $72.1(0.9)$ | 67.6(1.2) | 76.7(1.2) | 74.6(1.0) | $66.8(2.6)$ |  |
|  | $12$ | $80.8(1.1)$ | $78.5(1.2)$ | $83.0(1.6)$ | $83.6(1.2)$ | $71.6(3.1)$ | $70.4(3.9)$ |
| EXP DESIGN:APPLY FERTILIZER | 8 | 50.3(:.0) | 48.1 (1.4) | 52.6 (1.8) | $56.2(1.3)$ | $30.3(20)$ |  |
|  | 12 | 68.8(1.3) | 68.3(1.7) | $69.3(1.6)$ | $75.0(1.3)$ | $43.9(3.2)$ | $53.1(3.4)$ |
| EXP DESIGN:SAMPLE SIZE | 8 | 27.0(1.1) | 31.1(1.5) | 22.9(1.5) | $31.0(1.4)$ |  |  |
|  | 12 | 46.3(1.4) | $53.9(1.7)$ | 39.0(1.7) | 53.3 (1.5) | $20.0(2.6)$ | $27.9(3.1)$ |
| HYPOTHESIS : SALT/CONTAINER | 8 | $49.7(1.6)$ | 51.5(1.5) | $47.912 .9)$ | 52.711.7) | 38.0(2.7) | 44.7(2.7) |
|  | 12 | 66.5(0.9) | $68.0(1.5)$ | 65.013.4) | 68.4 (1.0) | $58.9(2.7)$ | $59.9(3.2)$ |
| MEASURE ANGLE OF POLARIS | 8 | 56.3(1.3) | $53.5(1.7)$ | $59.2(1.4)$ | $58.8\{1.2\}$ | $48.2(3.5)$ |  |
|  | 12 | 64.5(1.0) | $62.9(1.4)$ | $66.1(1.8)$ | $64.7(2.3)$ | $55.3(3.2)$ | $51.3(2.7)$ |
| EARTE'S TEMP FROM CORE TO CRUS | 8 | $38.3(1.2)$ | $40.161 .6)$ | $36.5(1.7)$ |  |  |  |
|  | 12 | 51.3(1.1) | 53.5(1.6) | $49.2(1.7)$ | $56.2(1.2)$ | $31.5(2.4)$ | $38.3(3.7)$ |
| DIMOSAUR EXTIMCTION |  |  | $49.6(1.8)$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12 | $60.2(1.3)$ | $65.0(1.7)$ | $55.6(1.8)$ | $63.2(1.5)$ | $42.1(2.6)$ | $59.2(3.3)$ |
| WET/DRY BULB : MEAURE RE | 8 | 37.8(1.1) | 39.5(1.6) | 36.312.9) | 39.8(1.4) |  |  |
|  | 12 | 53.211.6) | 55.5(1.7) | 51.1(1.6) | 57.0(1.7) | 38.2(2.7) | $44.5(3.7)$ |
| WET/DRY BULB:EFFECT OF TEMP | 8 | 39.1(1.0) | 40.4(1.4) | $37.8(1.4)$ | 39.4(1.3) | $40.782 .9)$ |  |
|  | 12 | $49.0(1.1)$ | 51.2(1.8) |  |  | $33.4(2.6)$ | $42.2(2.7)$ |




| ITEM DESCRIPTION | GRADE | MATION | MALE | FEMNE | WHITE | BLACK | HISPANIC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EXP:CCMPARE EATIMG Rates | 8 | 29.2(0.9) | 28.7(1.3) | 29.8(1.1) | $31.4(1.2)$ $43.0(1.4)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26.3(2.3) \\ & 29.7(2.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21.2(2.2) \\ & 28.1(3.0) \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 12 | 39.9(1.1) | 39.5(1.4) | 40.4 (1.5) | $43.0(1.4)$ |  |  |
| NE WIND BLOWS TOWARD SW | 8 | 32.8(1.0) | 38.2(1.4) | 27.3(1.4) | 35.6(1.2) | 23.7(2.0) | 24.1(2.1) |
|  | 12 | $47.5(1.3)$ | 54.3(1.7) | 41.0(1.3) | 53.2(1.5) | 24.2(2.5) | 34.1(2.8) |
| DIAERAH OF FOLDED MIS | 8 | 64.0\{1.7) | 47.4(1.8) | 40.5(2.3) | 47.5(2.2) | 33.7(3.2) | 35.7(3.5) |
|  | 12 | 48.5(1.2) | 53.8(2.2) | \$3.4(1.9) | 51.1(1.4) | 35.1(2.2) | $46.6(3.4)$ |
| EXP: MOISTURE PREF OF INSECIS | 8 | $41.5(1.0)$ | 41.5(1.4) | 41.4(1.3) | 44.2(1.2) | 31.912 .72 | 36.7(2.1) |
|  | 12 | 57.4(1.2) | 59.1(2.6) | 55.9(1.5) | 60.2(1.4) | 43.7(3.2) | 52.6(3.0) |
| VOLUME OF SPACE BETWEEN ROCKS | 8 | 38.5(1.2) | 40.4(1.4) | 36.5(1.8) | 62.2(1.5) | 27.2(2.6) | 33.3 (2.9) |
|  | 12 | 53.9(1.3) | $56.8(1.7)$ | 51.2(1.7) | 56.711.5) | 37 6(2.8) | 49.91 |
| RELATIVE AgE of rock layers | 8 | 26.713.1) | 25.3(1.2) | 28.1(1.7) | 300414 | $16.8(2.0)$ | 18.3(2.4) |
|  | 12 | 38.9(1.2) | $376(1.7)$ | $40.1(15)$ | 42.8(1.3) | 22.1(2.8) | 25.73 |
| DETERMINE BEST ELECTROLYTE | 8 | 20.6(0.9) | 20.5(1.2) | 20.8(1.4) | 22.5(1.:) | 23.2 (1.9) | 15.8(2.4) |
|  | 12 | 28.2(1.3) | 30.6(1.7) | 26.0(1.5) | 30.7(1.5) | 17.8(2.7) | 21.6(3.2) |
| INTERPRET GRAPH:DINOSAURS | 8 | 26.7(1.1) | 28.3(1.6) | 24.9(1.6) | 26.8(1.2) | 23.2(3.1) | 27.4(3.4) |
|  | 12 | 27.8(1.2) | $33.5(1.7)$ | 22.5(1.4) | 28.2(1.3) | 25.1(2.3) | 28 8(3.8) |
| gEST MATTERY | 8 | $66.5(1.1)$ | 68.5(1.3) | 64.5(1.6) | $68.2(1.1)$ | 58.5(3.6) | $60.4(30)$ |
|  | 12 | 69.6(1.2) | 68.9(1.7) | 70.2(1.5) | $71.8(14)$ | 63013 5) | 58.9(2 7) |
| HON TO USE INFO | 8 | $60.9(1.6)$ | 59.5(2.0) | 62.4(1.8) | 64.4(1.8) | 47.4(30) | $47.083 .0)$ |
|  | 12 | 70.8(1.3) | 69.2(1.8) | 72.251.5) | $74.5(1.4)$ | $34.2(4.6)$ | 59 |
| ENERGY FROM BATTERY | 8 | $48(0.9)$ | 3.56119 | 4.01201 | $53111)$ | $30613)$ | $0.8(0.7)$ |
|  | 12 | 12.511.1) | 16.6(1.9) | $6.710 .9)$ | 12.811.2) | 4.0(2.4) | 10.7(3.2) |
| TEMPERATURE GRAPH | 8 | 65.9(1.3) | $64.8(1.4)$ | $67.0(2.0)$ | $68.8(1.5)$ | 58.3(4.1) | 55.2(2.9) |
|  | 12 | 77.8(2.2) | 80.9(1.5) | 74.9(1.6) | 81.0(1.2) | 64.3(3.2) | 64.8(5.0) |
| IMAGE ON RETINA | 8 | $32.9(1.0)$ | $40.2(1.6)$ | 25.7(1.3) | 37.2(1.2) | 13.3(2.0) | 25.8(3.3) |
|  | 12 | 42.2(1.3) | $53.2(1.7)$ | 31.8(1.6) | 45.4(1.5) | 24.3(3.8) | 32.3(4.4) |
| EARTH'S CRUST MOTION | 8 | $13.8(0.9)$ | 15.8(1.2) | 11.8(1.2) | 15.3(1.2) | 4.761.6) | $12.7(2.0)$ |
|  | 12 | $14.8(0.9)$ | 15.9(1.2) | 13.8(1.2) | 15.9(1.0) | $110(2.3)$ | 10.2(2.8) |
| BLOOD FLON IN REART | 8 | 15.1(1.1) | 18.2(1.7) | 11.9(1.2) | 17.4(1.4) | $70(1.8)$ | $7.9(1.8)$ |
|  | 12 | 24.5(1.0) | 30.9(1.5) | 18.6(1.2) | 26.6(1.2) | 13.1(2.1) | 16.6(3.0) |
| OBSERVING A SEALED AQUARIUM | 8 | 54.3(1 1) | 54.5(1.3) | $54031.6)$ | $569(1.1)$ | $45.5(3.2)$ | $49.8(2.8)$ |
|  | 12 | $70.8(0.9)$ | 71 2(1.5) | 70.5(1.4) | 73.8(1.1) | 59.5(3.1) | 60.2(3.7) |
| TISSUES AND CELLS | 8 | $57.011 .4)$ | $60.1(2.5)$ | 53.8 (1.7) | $58.6(1.8)$ | $48.1(2.8)$ | 47.9(2.7) |
|  | 12 | $63.111 .2)$ | 64.6(2.7) | 61.711.4) | 65.2(1.5) | $54.7(2.0)$ | 56.3(3.8) |
| MELTING CRUSBED ICE | 8 | 44.3(1.1) | 48.3(1.5) | $40.3(1.5)$ | $48.8(1.4)$ | 28.0(2.3) | 34.7(2.5) |
|  | 12 | 59.3(1.2) | $66.1(1.5)$ | 53.111.6) | 65.0(1.5) | 33.7(2.8) | $49.9(4.3)$ |


| ITEM DESCRIPTICA | GRADE | Mation | MALE | frande | Wilite | BLACX | HISPANIC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SULFUR DIOXIDE AND ACID RAIN | 8 | 55.3(1.1) | $59.5(1.6)$ | 51.1(1.7) | 58.9(1.3) | $46.2(2.6)$ | $40.9(3.3)$ |
|  | 12 | 65.6(2.2) | 74.7(1.4) | $59.2(1.7)$ | 70.8(1.5) | 50.6(2.8) | 56.7(4.5) |
| COMPONENTS OF SOLAR SYSTEM | 8 | 68.9(1.1) | $69.7(1.7)$ | 68.2(1.5) | $72.4(1.4)$ | 57.7(2.9) | 62.7(2.3) |
|  | 12 | 69.3(1.1) | $71.3(1.5)$ | [7.4(1.4) | $71.9(1.2)$ | 58.2(3.2) | S2.4(3.4) |
| COMMNICATING ON THE MOON | 8 | 58.7(1.3) | 63.4(1.8) | 53.9(1.5) | 61.8(1.6) | $45.9(2.6)$ | 54.5(3.0) |
|  | 12 | 67.1(1.0) | 74.3(1.5) | 60.5(1.6) | 68.5(1.3) | $57.9(3.3)$ | 66.1(3.9) |
| ANGLE OF REFLECTION | 8 | 55.4(1.0) | 62.0(1.6) | 48.7(1.5) | 59.4(1.2) | 40.5(2.6) | $43.9(2.0)$ |
|  | 12 | 62.5(1.1) | $73.1(1.6)$ | $52.982 .5)$ | 66.3(1.3) | $46.1(2.6)$ | 57.5(3.2) |
| EARTH'S CRUST: OLDEST LAYERS | 8 | 47.7(1.3) | 49.7(1.8) | 45.6(1.5) | 51.6(1.5) | 31.9(3.2) | $420(3.1)$ |
|  | 12 | $50.7(1.1)$ | 49.3(1.6) | $51.9(1.4)$ | $53.1(1.2)$ | $38.5(2.7)$ | $50.9(3.8)$ |
| EARTH'S CRUST: CURVED LAYERS | 8 | 41.3(1.3) | 43.4(1.6) | 39.1(1.9) | $43.9(1.5)$ | 31.3(3.1) | 39.7(2.4) |
|  | 12 | 44.7(1.1) | 51.3(1.4) | 38.7(1.5) | 47.1(1.2) | 34.4(3.0) | 38.3(2.4) |
| RAIN AND CORN GROwTH | 8 | $48.5(1.2)$ |  | $46.6(1.5)$ | 51.5(1.5) | 83.4(2.6) | $38.0(2.5)$ |
|  | 12 | $68.1(1.1)$ | $69.6(1.2)$ | $66.7(1.8)$ | 70.9(1.4) | 56.0(2.1) | 50.0(3.5) |
| MEANING OF $20 \pm$ CHANCE OF RAIN | 8 | 19.9(2.0) | 23.6(1.6) | 16.2(1.2) | $22.0(1.4)$ | 12.4(1.3) | 16.3(1.8) |
|  | 12 | $40.0(1.0)$ | $48.0(1.4)$ | 32.7(1.4) | $44.6(1.2)$ | 23.612.5) | 26.2(2.4) |
| hater evaporation | 12 | 37.3(1.4) | 35.8(2.0) | 38.7(1.7) | 37.4(1.6) | 35.5(2.8) | 36.6(4.2) |
| REACTION RATES DURING EQUILIB | 12 | 33.5(1.0) | 37.411.7) | 29.8(1.4) | 33.5(2.2) | 29.7(2.6) | 32.3(2.9) |
| What is accuracy? | 12 | 68.6(1.2) | 64.513.4) | 72.4(1.6) | 71.2(14) | 55.3(3.9) | 58.984.7) |
| GRAPH: POPULATION/ADAPTATION | 12 | 66.8(1.0) | 70.0(1.5) | 64.031.4) | 68.5(1.1) | $502(3.1)$ | 64.912.7) |
| EINSTEIN'S EmHC2 | 12 | $78.8(0.9)$ | 80.9(1.1) | 76.9(1.1) | 81.1(1.1) | 70.8(2.6) | 68.2(3.4) |
| INERTIA OF LEAD-FILLED BOX | 12 | 57.4(0.8) | 60.9(1,5) | 54.3(1.1) | 61.0(1.1) | 44. O(2.5) | $50.6(3.3)$ |
| TEST PREDICTIONS W/EXPERIMENT | 12 | 64.5(1.1) | 59.9 (1.6) | 68.7(1.3) | 68.4(1.2) | 50.4(3.2) | $52.3(2.7)$ |
| heat gas, imcrease pressure | 12 | 67.2(0.9) | 67.3(1.3) | $67.1(1.4)$ | 70.012.1) | 55.1(3.2) | 61.8(2.7) |
| EXP: EFFECT OF WT ON PENDULIA | 12 | 48.6(1.4) | 48.9(2.1) | 48.3 (1.5) | 53.3 (1.7) | 30.312.8) | 35.3(3.1) |
| RELATION OF PRESSURE/altitude | 12 | 52.2(1.4) | 53.6(1.7) | $50.9(2.7)$ | 53.7(1.5) | $46.3(2.9)$ | 49.9(3.7) |
| WHICH CAN BE TESTED? | 12 | 56.5(1.3) | 53.3(1.8) | 55.6(1.6) | 58.3 (1.6) | $41.1(2.9)$ | 45.1(3.2) |
| GRAPH: GREATEST SOLUBILITY | 12 | 72.6(0.9) | 73.6(1.3) | 72.7(2.4) | 74.7(1.0) | 63.5(2.9) | 65.812.5) |
| GRAPR:GRAMS TO BE DISSOLVED | 12 | 51.712.2) | 54.3(2.9) | 48.4(1.7) | 55.1(1.5) | 35.4(3.4) | 43.1(2.7) |
| MASS INCREASE AS IRON RUSTS | 12 | 18.2(09) | 22.6(1.3) | 14.1(1.0) | 18.612.1) | 14.2(2.6) | 16.7(3.2) |
| EXP:TEST AIR POLLUTION | 12 | 65.4(1.2) | 65.0(1.4) | 65.8(1.8) | 69.3(1.4) | 51.2(2.3) | 54.5(3.6) |

## 

## Wemphed Percentase on stmitents <br> 

| ITEM DESCRIPIION | GRADE | MATION | MALE | female | WHITE | BLACX | HISPANIC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIAGRAM:NEW CRUST | 12 | 29.2(1.2) | 31.9(1.4) | 26.7(1.5) | $30.2614)$ | 27.8(2.3) | 25.1(2.9) |
| DIAGRAM: SUBDUCTION | 12 | 51.4(1.1) | 56.8(1.8) | 46.5(1.4) | 54.6(1.2) | $38.062 .9)$ | 45.6 (2.6) |
| GRAPP: IMMUNE SYSTEM MEMORY | 12 | 36.711.1) | 41.4(1.7) | 32.3(1.1) | 39.1(1.4) | 27.132.2) | 30.8(3.0) |
| TABLE:PULLING OBJECTS | 12 | 29.4(0.9) | 35.8(1.5) | 23.6(1.1) | 31.9(1.2) | 28.1(2.3) | 23.3(2.6) |
| GRAPH:TEMP/O2 CONSIAED | 12 | 43.0(1.3) | $41.6(1.8)$ | 44.2(1.4) | 46.5(1.4) | 30.913.0) | 31.3(3.6) |
| GRAPA: 02 CONSUATED AT $26{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 12 | 25.1(0.8) | 24.7(1.6) | 25.5(1.2) | 25.4(0.9) | 20.9(2.2) | 26.812.9) |
| AIR MOVEMENT IN HIGH PRESSURE | 12 | 40.5(1.2) | 44.113.9) | 37.2(1.6) | 43.2(1.3) | 29.8(2.3) | 31.6(3.1) |
| EXPLOSION OF STAR | 12 | 28.811.2) | 34.7(1.8) | 23.6(1.3) | 31.5125 | 134(2.4) | 26. 1 (3.6) |
| genetic fedigree/SEX-LINKED | 12 | $17.6110)$ | 14.7(12) | 20.1(1.5) | 18.2132) | 14.6(2.3) | $14.2(3) 3)$ |
| MAKE 1 -MOLAR SOLUTION | 12 | 22 6il 01 | 23.1(1.3) | 22.2(13) | 21.0i1.1) | 28.1(3.5) | 25.5(3.2) |
| WHY STRAWBERRIES APPEAR RED | 12 | $37.6(14)$ | $40.8(2.1)$ | 36.8(1.8) | 41.5(1.7) | 21.5(2.0) | 25.3(4.0) |
| COLORS IN WHITE LIGHT | 12 | 59.4(1.2) | 60.6(1.7) | 58.4(1.4) | 63.3(1.5) | 44.9(30) | $48.43 .3)$ |
| TABLE ELECTRICAL CONDUCTORS | 12 | 70.3(1.1) | 70.5(1.8) | 70.1(1.1) | 70.6(1.3) | $66.7(2.2)$ | 71.612.3) |
| FUNCTIDN OF Plant StEM | 12 | 59.9(1.1) | 59.6(1.7) | 60.1(1.6) | $653(12)$ | $343(3.0)$ | $48.2936)$ |
| POSITIONS OF STARS/PLANETS | 12 | $57.6110)$ | 55.8(1.4) | 58.4(15) | 5).0(1.3) | 56.8(2.8) | 61. $5(28)$ |
| Increase strengit of magnet | 12 | $58.311 .1)$ | 59.8(1.4) | 56.9(1.5) | $59.8(1.2)$ | $52.412 .6)$ | 55.5(3.3) |
| PROS OF M/F CHILDREN | 12 | $50.8(1.2)$ | 4).713.5) | 53.6 (1.8) | $525(14)$ | $423(28)$ | $49.1132)$ |
| EXP;DISSOLVE SELTIER | 12 | 43.2(1.1) | $42.511 .3)$ | 43.812.6) | 47.8(1.2) | 26.3(2.3) | $32.8(3.0)$ |
| GRavity effect on satelilites | 12 | $68.1120)$ | $685(16)$ | $67.8114)$ | $690112)$ | $633(24)$ | $671(2.9)$ |
| PHYLOGENETIC TREES | 12 | $36.2(0.9)$ | 37.7(1.5) | 34.9(1.6) | $37.311 .0)$ | 30 6(2.7) | $36.5(2.2)$ |
| STEPS TO DEVELOP VACCINE | 12 | $34.6(0.8)$ | 33.7(1.1) | 35.4(1.1) | $34.9(1.0)$ | $34.5(3.0)$ | 294(3.2) |
| ANSWER QUESTION W/MEASUREMENT | 12 | $51.2(1.3)$ | 51.2(1.5) | 51.3(1.5) | 54.0(1.4) | 37.9(2.8) | 47.913 .0 ) |
| 1-LITER SAMPLES OF GASES | 12 | $16.2(0.9)$ | 17.1(13) | 15.3(1.3) | 16.011 1: | 12.1119) | $205124)$ |
| GRAPH : TEMP/ENERGY | 12 | 25.2(10) | 27.1(1.6) | 23.6(1.2) | 25.011.3) | 21.981.9) | 24.0(2.9) |
| Ways to measure reaction rate | 12 | 25.4(1.0) | 2631161 | 24.641.1) | 25.7312) | $22.7119)$ | $2443.1)$ |
| RATIO OF LIQUID/GAS DENSITY | 12 | 8.9(0.6) | $6.610 .8)$ | 10.9(1.2) | $8.2(0.7)$ | 10.8(2.0) | 10.0(2.3) |
| SPEED OF SOUND-EXPLORERS | 12 | 25.2(1.0) | 25.111.3) | 25.361.5) | $26.2(0.9)$ | 26.4(2.6) | 29.2(2.7) |

#  




| ITEM DESCRIPTION | GRADE | Nation | MALE | FEMALE | WHITE | BLACK | HISPANIC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GENETI، FGINEERING | 12 | 60.7(1.7) | 56.022 .4 ) | 64.8(1.8) | $63.3(1.9)$ | 44.4(3.8) | 55.74.5) |
| NEW ELIMMENT: REPEAT EXP | 12 | $46.513 .5)$ | $47.3(2.2)$ | $45.7(1.3)$ | $47.7(1.6)$ | 36.6(3.1) | 48.7 (5.8) |
| TABLE:CAUSES OF DEATH | 12 | 57.7(1.4) | 56.7(1.8) | 58.6(2.3) | 61.6(1.7) | $42.2(3.6)$ | 67.4(5.3) |
| CAPACITOR CEARGE AND TIME | 12 | 15.111.0) | 18.0(3.5) | 12.0(1.2) | 15.6(1.2) | 20.0(2.0) | 15.8(4.4) |
| ALTITUDE PROFILE | 12 | 16.1(1.2) | 21.3(2.8) | 10.7(1.1) | 27.212.3) | $5.2(2.1)$ | 10.7(2.4) |
| BALF-LIFE GRAPH | 12 | 23.313.4) | 29.2(2.0) | 16.8(1.6) | 26.8(3.4) | 5.1(1.9) | 14.7(4.2) |
| FOSSILS ON 2 CONTINENTS | 12 | $80.710 .9)$ | 82.3(1.2) | 79.211.3) | $83711.0)$ | 67.4(3.1) | 73.4(2.8) |
| EXP:EFFECT OF VITAMIN K | 12 | $516122)$ | $47.0(1.6)$ | $558(1.3)$ | 537631 | $323(26)$ | 45.3(2.8) |
| MODEL OF ATONS' BEHAVIOR | 12 | $559(12)$ | $532(1.6)$ | 58.4314 | $612(23)$ | 976(27) | 39.2(2.7) |
| DIAGRAM: STOMACH | 12 | $811110)$ | 83.9(1.5) | 78.5(13) | 35.1(0.9) | 69.0(2.3) | $67.0133)$ |
| DIAGRAM: SOLIRCE OF INSULIN | 12 | $584(1.2)$ | 59.8(1.9) | 57.1(1.6) | 60.5(1.6) | 50.5(3.3) | $53.013 .7)$ |
| SPECIFIC HEAT OF H2O/OIL | 12 | 26.711.0) | 27713.3) | 25.7(1.4) | 26.6(1.1) | $269(2.6)$ | 24.9(3.2) |
| INCREASE GREENHOUSE EFFECT | 12 | 62.2(1.3) | 65.5(1.6) | 57.2(1.6) | 65.54.4) | $42.0(2.8)$ | 50.143.2) |
| GRAPH:VELOCITY OF 3 OBJECTS | 12 | $34.2(15)$ | 37.1(2.2) | $31.5(1.7)$ | $35.2117)$ | 29.0(2.9) | $30.6(3.4)$ |
| GRAPH:COOLING OF 2 LIOUIDS | 12 | $37811.2)$ | 39.7(1.7) | 36.0(1.5) | $40.711 .5)$ | 24) 2 2.4) | $30.4(2) 8$ |
| SHADOWS-NORIH | 12 | 20.8(0.8) | 21.2(1.1) | 20.4(1.2) | 2216101 | $17.463)$ | 17.72.4) |
| SHADOWS-LABEL | 12 | 28.711.0) | 30.7(1.2) | 26.9(1.4) | 31.9(1.4) | $130620)$ | $20.0(25)$ |
| SHADOWS-LENGTH | 12 | $36.5(1.3)$ | 42.4(2.0) | $31.0615)$ | 41.1(1.5) | 15.4(2.7) | 27.8(3.8) |
| NUCLEAR PDWER | 12 | 34.631.7) | 59.6(2.1) | 4981.9 ) | $584.17)$ | $36.2643)$ | 36.614.7) |
| GRAPH:VELOCITY/TIME | 12 | 16.5(0.9) | 20.6(1.4) | 13.0(1.1) | 15.9(1.1) | 15.9(1.9) | 18.4(2.8) |
| CAUSE OF SEASONS | 12 | 28.5(1.2) | 33.5(1.9) | 24.1(1.5) | 30.1(1.3) | 19.9(2.9) | 22.4(3.3) |
| POISONS IN FOOD CHAINS | 12 | 75.6(1.2) | 76.9(1.6) | 76.4(1.5) | 79.2(1.5) | $64.7(3.1)$ | 70.3(3.3) |
| WHY PUBLISH? SHARE FINDINGS | 12 | 83.6(1.0) | 80.9(2.8) | 86.2(1.1) | 85.2(1.3) | 77.8(1.8) | $78.083 .7)$ |
| WHY PUBLISH? CHECK findings | 12 | 77.6(10) | $768114)$ | $78.2(1.3)$ | 78.5(1.2) | 72.262.7) | 75.8(2.6) |
| WHY PUELISH? ADD TO KNOWLEDGE | 12 | 84.6(0.9) | 84.4(1.3) | 84.7(1.4) | 87.0(1.2) | $739(2.4)$ | 82.6(2.6) |
| OCEAN CURRENTS AND CLIMATE | 12 | $32.0(1.2)$ | $362(1.5)$ | $28213.5)$ | 33.912.3) | 19.2(2.2) | 25.5(3.8) |
| LIGFt BuLbS IN SERIES | 12 | 26.1310) | 32,1(1.5) | 20.711.3) | 28.5(1) | 14.4(2.0) | 21.0(2.9) |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITEN DESCRIPTION | GRADE | Mation | MALE | Ferale | WHITE | BLACK | EISPANIC |
| INTERPRET A CBIEMICAL FOPMALA | 12 | 56.8(2.1) | 56.8(2.6) | $56.9(1.6)$ | 59.2(1.3) | 48.532.4) | 50.4(4.6) |
| ANALYZING CAUSES OF DISEASE | 12 | 49.1(2.1) | 47.5(1.4) | $50.5(1.6)$ | 53.5(1.2) | 38.2(2.9) | 41.9(4.4) |
| RATIO OF OXYGEN/COPPER | 12 | $49411.4)$ | 50.131.8) | $48.8(1.9)$ | 51.712.6) | 37. 7 (3.6) | 44.1(2.9) |
| PLANT EXPERIMENT: CONTROL | 12 | 78.911.0) | 74.5(2.3) | 82.8(1.1) | 81.8(1.3) | 66.7(2.6) | 72.0(2.5) |
| BALANCING A CHEMICAL EQUATION | 12 | $51.0(1.7)$ | 48.912.4) | $52.8(1.8)$ | 54.2(2.2) | 36.5(2.7) | 39.1(3.5) |

This report is the culmination of efforts by many individuals who contributed their considerable knowledge, experience, creativity, and energy to the development, administration, scoring, analysis, and reporting of the 1990 NAEP science assessment. Most importantly, NAEP is grateful to the students and school staff who made the assessment possible.

The 1990 NAEP science assessment was funded through the National Center for Education Statistics, in the Office of Educational Research and Improvement of the U.S. Department of Education. Emerson Elliott, NCES Acting Commissioner, provided consistent support and guidance, and his staff — particularly Gary Phillips, Eugene Owen, Steven Gorman, and Carol Sue Fromboluti - worked closely and collegially with ETS.

Under the NAEP contract to ETS, Archie Lapointe served as the project director and Ina Mullis as the deputy director. The science assessment development activities were coordinated by Walter MacDonald. The statistical and psychometric activities were led by Nancy Allen with direction from Eugene Johnson and Rebecca Zwick, assistance from Angela Grima and Joling Liang, and consultation from Kentaro Yamamoto. John Barone managed the data analysis activities, and the analyses reported herein were conducted by Steven Isham, with assistance from David Freund, Edward Kulick, and Phiilip Leung. Jules Goodison directed the operational aspects of the assessment. Westat, inc., under the supervision of Renee Slobasky, Keith Rust, and Nancy Caldwell, coordinated and performed sampling and data collection activities. The printing, distribution, and processing of the materials were the responsibility of National Computer Systems, under the direction of Lynn Zaback and Iohn $\mathrm{O}^{\prime}$ Neill.

Lee Jones, Ina Mullis, Senta Raizen, Iris Weiss, and Elizabeth Weston wrote the text for the report. Many thanks are due to the numerous reviewers who suggested improvements to successive drafts, especially Nancy Allen, Mary Foertsch, Steven Isham, Eugene Johnson, Stephen Koffler, and John Olson. Margaret Mate, Sharon Davis-Johnson, and Alice Kass provided the excellent word-processing skills essential to the project. Kent Ashworth, Rebekkah Melchor Logan, and the ETS Publications Division coordinated the final production of this report, which was designed by Rivermead Studio.
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